

RESPONSE TO COMMENT ON VISTISEN ET AL.

A Validated Prediction Model for End-Stage Kidney Disease in Type 1 Diabetes. Diabetes Care 2021;44:901–907

Diabetes Care 2021;44:e140-e141 | https://doi.org/10.2337/dci21-0010

We would like to thank Østergaard et al. (1) for their perspective on our article (2), which includes a suggestion for using lifetime prediction models to estimate disease-free life expectancy. The lifetime prediction models previously published are primarily based on Cox proportional hazards models including age as timescale (3). We have used Poisson models that facilitate the inclusion of multiple timescales such as age and diabetes duration. Both modeling approaches estimate a hazard function for the event of interest as well as for the competing event of death, and with both approaches these hazard functions can be used to estimate lifetime risk and diseasefree life expectancy.

As pointed out by Østergaard et al., risk prediction should ideally cover a long time horizon, and in that sense estimating lifetime risk may seem attractive. Models predicting diseases in the future assume current risk factor levels of the patient, such as blood pressure, kidney function, and lifestyle, to remain constant over time. However, health status is a nonstatic entity with changes driven by individual behavioral choices and by temporal changes in incidence of disease, associated risk factors, and treatment regimens that may alter the current associations between risk

factors and diseases. Lifetime risk models either assume no future underlying calendar time trend in disease rates or make untestable assumptions about such trends. In our study, we found model calibration to be insufficient beyond 5 years. Extending our model into a lifetime risk model will not improve calibration but rather introduce more uncertainty around the estimated risk. Current lifetime models still need to demonstrate good calibration beyond 10 years of follow-up (3–5).

Østergaard et al. advocate that lifetime prediction models for end-stage kidney disease will more accurately illustrate the potential for preventive treatment. However, this is only true if the risk of end-stage kidney disease with and without treatment can be estimated with enough precision. We acknowledge that disease-free life expectancy may improve risk communication to especially younger patients who have low absolute risk within a short time period. However, rather than providing an uncertain estimate of lifetime risk, comparing the patient's cumulative risk within a short time period to a reference patient with ideal levels of risk factors seems a better alternative.

It has been claimed that the lifetime risk models may spot unfavorable

Dorte Vistisen,¹ Gregers S. Andersen,¹ Adam Hulman,² Stuart J. McGurnaghan,³ Helen M. Colhoun,³ Jan E. Henriksen,⁴ Reimar W. Thomsen,⁵ Frederik Persson,¹ Peter Rossing,^{1,6} and Marit E. Jørgensen^{1,7}

prognosis at much younger age than risk models for a shorter time horizon (3). Although, this approach may facilitate preventive treatment earlier, it also carries a potential risk of overtreatment. Lifestyle interventions are likely beneficial, but medical interventions are to some degree associated with risk and should only be initiated based on a valid estimate of their benefits.

All modeling approaches have limitations and are useless in clinical practice if risk is estimated with low precision. Advancements within this field should focus on improving discrimination and calibration of prediction models. Both by including various sources of health data and by allowing for high-level interactions between predictors to better accommodate the heterogeneity in disease development among patients.

Funding. The Steno Diabetes Centers (Aarhus and Copenhagen) are partially funded by an unrestricted donation from the Novo Nordisk Foundation.

Duality of Interest. D.V. and G.S.A. own shares in Novo Nordisk A/S. F.P. reports having received research grants from AstraZeneca and lecture fees from AstraZeneca, MSD, Janssen, Eli Lilly, Boehringer Ingelheim, Novo Nordisk A/S, and Novartis as well as being a consultant/advisory board member for Astra-Zeneca, Bayer, Amgen, and MSD. P.R. has

e140

¹Steno Diabetes Center Copenhagen, Gentofte, Denmark

²Steno Diabetes Center Aarhus, Aarhus, Denmark

³University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, U.K.

⁴Steno Diabetes Center Odense, Odense, Denmark

⁵Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark

⁶University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

⁷National Institute of Public Health, University of Southern Denmark, Copenhagen, Denmark

Corresponding author: Dorte Vistisen, dorte.vistisen@regionh.dk

^{© 2021} by the American Diabetes Association. Readers may use this article as long as the work is properly cited, the use is educational and not for profit, and the work is not altered. More information is available at https://www.diabetesjournals.org/content/license.

e141

served as a consultant for AstraZeneca, Astellas, Bayer, Boehringer Ingelheim, Gilead, Merck, Mundipharma, Vifor, Sanofi, and Novo Nordisk A/S (all honoraria to his institution) and received research grants from AstraZeneca and Novo Nordisk A/S. M.E.J. has received research grants from AstraZeneca, Amgen, Sanofi, and Boehringer Ingeheim (investigator-initiated research). M.E.J. also owns shares in Novo Nordisk A/S. No other potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported.

References

1. Østergaard HB, van der Leeuw J, Visseren FLJ, Westerink J. Comment on Vistisen et al. A validated prediction model for end-stage kidney disease in type 1 diabetes. Diabetes Care 2021; 44:901–907 (Letter). Diabetes Care 2021;44:e140

2. Vistisen D, Andersen GS, Hulman A, et al. A validated prediction model for end-stage kidney disease in type 1 diabetes. Diabetes Care 2021; 44:901–907

3. Kaasenbrood L, Bhatt DL, Dorresteijn JAN, et al. Estimated life expectancy without recurrent

cardiovascular events in patients with vascular disease: the SMART-REACH model. J Am Heart Assoc 2018;7:e009217

4. Hippisley-Cox J, Coupland C, Robson J, Brindle P. Derivation, validation, and evaluation of a new QRISK model to estimate lifetime risk of cardiovascular disease: cohort study using QResearch database. BMJ 2010;341:c6624

5. Lloyd-Jones DM, Wilson PW, Larson MG, et al. Framingham risk score and prediction of lifetime risk for coronary heart disease. Am J Cardiol 2004;94:20–24