



COMMENT ON SEGAR ET AL.

## Machine Learning to Predict the Risk of Incident Heart Failure Hospitalization Among Patients With Diabetes: The WATCH-DM Risk Score. Diabetes Care 2019;42:2298–2306

Diabetes Care 2020;43:e25 | https://doi.org/10.2337/dc19-1891

We congratulate Segar et al. (1) on their Further, their method requires electroprediction of hospitalization for heart cardiogram parameters that may not be failure (HHF) in type 2 diabetes, which available in primary care. Not only is the confirms our previously published find-BRAVO risk engine possibly more precise, ing (2). Indeed, we have used a more its simplicity allows it to be integrated with information that is routinely colsophisticated approach (machine learning, left truncation, time-varying risk lected within an electronic medical refactors, parametric proportional hazard) cord and then inform the clinician about risk at the point of care without any with a superior predictive capability

Segar et al. have validated their engine using a subgroup of a blood pressure—lowering trial, which may not be the most robust method. We have validated BRAVO in prediction of the results of several diabetes cardiovascular outcome trials, demonstrating high predictive value of all events, including HHF (4), utilizing simple clinical baseline data.

further input—an approach currently

being evaluated in clinical practice.

Segar et al. rightly suggest that such risk engines may be useful in predicting who would benefit from the use of sodium–glucose cotransporter 2 inhibitors. We agree, but we caution that the risk of HHF in a U.S. population without heart failure at baseline is very low and the cost effectiveness of such an approach at primary prevention is unclear. We are evaluating the cost effectiveness of various novel approaches in diabetes management with the BRAVO risk engine (5).

Hui Shao,<sup>1</sup> Lizheng Shi,<sup>2</sup> and Vivian Fonseca<sup>2</sup>

**Duality of Interest.** All three authors have ownership interest in the BRAVO risk engine and BRAVO4Health, LLC. No other potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported.

## References

- 1. Segar MW, Vaduganathan M, Patel KV, et al. Machine learning to predict the risk of incident heart failure hospitalization among patients with diabetes: the WATCH-DM risk score. Diabetes Care 2019;42:2298–2306
- 2. Shao H, Fonseca V, Stoecker C, Liu S, Shi L. Novel risk engine for diabetes progression and mortality in USA: Building, Relating, Assessing, and Validating Outcomes (BRAVO). Pharmacoeconomics 2018;36:1125–1134
- 3. Tromp J, Lim SL, Tay WT, et al.; ASIAN-HF Investigators. Microvascular disease in patients with diabetes with heart failure and reduced ejection versus preserved ejection fraction. Diabetes Care 2019;42:1792–1799
- 4. Shao H, Yang S, Fonseca V, Stoecker C, Shi L. Globalization module for a diabetes progression prediction model: the Building, Relating, Acting, and Validating Outcomes (BRAVO) model (Abstract). Value in Health 2018;21(Suppl. 1):S5
- 5. Shao H, Yang S, Fonseca V, Stoecker C, Shi L. Estimating quality of life decrements due to diabetes complications in the United States: the Health Utility Index (HUI) diabetes complication equation. Pharmacoeconomics 2019;37: 921–929
- 6. Shao H, Shi L, Furman R, Meneghini L, Harlan TS, Fonseca V. Late-breaking abstract presented at the 79th Annual Meeting of the American Diabetes Association, 7–11 June 2019, at the Moscone Center, San Francisco, CA

(5-year c-statistic >0.80) for Action to Con-

trol Cardiovascular Risk in Diabetes (AC-

CORD) patients without heart failure at

baseline. We have risk equations not just

for HHF and other cardiovascular events,

but for a wide range of complications of

diabetes, including microvascular com-

plications. Indeed, the latter are also

used to further predict future cardiovas-

cular events that are made likely by

microvascular events (3). An online tool

to calculate the Building, Relating, Assess-

ing, and Validating Outcomes (BRAVO)

risk models has been made publicly avail-

the advantage of simplicity using inte-

gers. However, it is not clear whether the

conversion of continuous variables such

The approach used by Segar et al. has

able at www.bravo4health.com.

as age and blood pressure into dichotomous ones was used in model selection; if not, prediction accuracy may be less than is suggested in the internal validation subset of the trial cohort.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>University of Florida, Gainsville, FL

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Tulane University, New Orleans, LA