



RESPONSE TO COMMENT ON GERBAUD ET AL.

# Glycemic Variability Is a Powerful Independent Predictive Factor of Midterm Major Adverse Cardiac Events in Patients With Diabetes With Acute Coronary Syndrome. *Diabetes Care* 2019;42:674–681

*Diabetes Care* 2019;42:e170–e171 | <https://doi.org/10.2337/dci19-0033>

Bogdan Catargi,<sup>1</sup> Romain Darier,<sup>2</sup> Michel Montaudon,<sup>3</sup> Marie-Christine Beauvieux,<sup>4</sup> Christine Coffin-Boutreux,<sup>5</sup> Pierre Coste,<sup>2,3</sup> Hervé Douard,<sup>6</sup> Alexandre Ouattara,<sup>7,8</sup> and Edouard Gerbaud<sup>2,3</sup>

We thank Yang and Hu (1) for their interest in our study (2) on the prognostic value of glycemic variability (GV) in patients with diabetes with acute coronary syndrome (ACS).

First, with respect to the comment (1) by Yang and Hu, a Cox proportional hazards regression analysis was carried out additionally including all similar variables as previously studied (2). This multivariable analysis demonstrated that GV still remains the strongest independent predictive factor for midterm major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) in patients with diabetes with ACS: hazard ratio 2.20 [95% CI 1.67–2.90;  $P < 0.001$ ]. Furthermore, regarding the impact of the hypoglycemia status in this multivariable adjusted model, analysis of deviance was conducted to assess whether each of the individual terms in the regression are statistically significant after adjusting for all other terms in the model. Thus, the results of a  $\chi^2$  test showed that each of the individual variables included in the multivariate model were still statistically

significant after adjusting for status of hypoglycemia.

Second, nowadays, three parameters seem to be preferred for assessing GV: 1) the SD around the mean glucose values, because it remains the “gold standard”; 2) the mean amplitude of glycemic excursions (MAGE), because its calculation is relatively simple and allows the achievement of the major intraday glucose oscillations while minor ones are not taken into account; and 3) the mean of daily differences (MODD), because it is the sole parameter to provide an assessment of the interday glycemic variability (3). SD is probably the most appropriate and easiest tool for assessing GV for everyday use in the intensive cardiovascular care unit. Time in range is another tool, but continuous glucose monitoring is currently not validated in the intensive cardiovascular care unit. Furthermore, blood glucose stability is needed for calibration, and the most fragile patients are especially instable with regard to this parameter.

Third, we agree with the commenters' concern about the real additional value of intensive insulin administration in patients with diabetes with ACS. However, as previously described, our own clinical practice in this acute setting followed the French Society of Cardiology guidelines (4,5).

**Duality of Interest.** No potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported.

## References

1. Yang H, Hu Y. Comment on Gerbaud et al. Glycemic variability is a powerful independent predictive factor of midterm major adverse cardiac events in patients with diabetes with acute coronary syndrome. *Diabetes Care* 2019; 42:674–681 (Letter). *Diabetes Care* 2019;42:e168–e169. DOI: 10.2337/dci19-1159
2. Gerbaud E, Darier R, Montaudon M, et al. Glycemic variability is a powerful independent predictive factor of midterm major adverse cardiac events in patients with diabetes with acute coronary syndrome. *Diabetes Care* 2019;42:674–681

<sup>1</sup>Endocrinology-Metabolic Diseases, Hôpital Saint-André, Bordeaux University, Bordeaux, France

<sup>2</sup>Cardiology Intensive Care Unit and Interventional Cardiology, Hôpital Cardiologique du Haut Lévêque, Pessac, France

<sup>3</sup>Bordeaux Cardio-Thoracic Research Centre, U1045, Bordeaux University, Bordeaux, France

<sup>4</sup>Biochemistry Laboratory, Hôpital Cardiologique du Haut Lévêque, Bordeaux University, Pessac, France

<sup>5</sup>Endocrinology-Nutrition Department, Centre Hospitalier de Périgueux, Périgueux, France

<sup>6</sup>Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Hôpital Cardiologique du Haut Lévêque, Bordeaux University, Pessac, France

<sup>7</sup>Department of Anaesthesia and Critical Care, Magellan Medico-Surgical Centre, Bordeaux University, Pessac, France

<sup>8</sup>Biology of Cardiovascular Diseases Centre, U1034, Bordeaux University, Pessac, France

Corresponding author: Bogdan Catargi, [bogdan.catargi@chu-bordeaux.fr](mailto:bogdan.catargi@chu-bordeaux.fr)

© 2019 by the American Diabetes Association. Readers may use this article as long as the work is properly cited, the use is educational and not for profit, and the work is not altered. More information is available at <http://www.diabetesjournals.org/content/license>.

3. Ceriello A, Monnier L, Owens D. Glycaemic variability in diabetes: clinical and therapeutic implications. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol* 2019; 7:221–230
4. Vergès B, Avignon A, Bonnet F, et al.; Diabetes and Cardiovascular Disease study group of the Société francophone du diabète (SFD); Société française de cardiologie (SFC). Consensus statement on the care of the hyperglycaemic/diabetic patient during and in the immediate follow-up of acute coronary syndrome. *Arch Cardiovasc Dis* 2012;105:239–253
5. Vergès B, Avignon A, Bonnet F, et al.; Diabetes and Cardiovascular Disease study group of the Société francophone du diabète (SFD), in collaboration with the Société française de cardiologie (SFC). Consensus statement on the care of the hyperglycaemic/diabetic patient during and in the immediate follow-up of acute coronary syndrome. *Diabetes Metab* 2012;38:113–127