





Helen Murphy and Sarah Finer

Gestational Diabetes Mellitus and the Offspring—Jack and Jill Are Different Still

Diabetes Care 2015;38:345-346 | DOI: 10.2337/dc14-2874

Hyperglycemia is the most common metabolic disorder complicating pregnancies across the globe. With rising maternal age, obesity, physical inactivity (1), and increasingly stringent diagnostic criteria, about one in seven women now has a pregnancy complicated by hyperglycemia (2). The Australian Carbohydrate Intolerance Study in Pregnant Women (ACHOIS) confirmed that treating women with gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) reduces serious perinatal complications (infant death, shoulder dystocia, fracture and nerve palsy) (3). Likewise, a trial by Landon et al. (4) showed that treating women with hyperglycemia reduces maternal weight gain, gestational hypertension, preeclampsia, cesarean delivery, infant adiposity, and birth weight. Of note, the degree of glycemia in the Landon et al. trial was comparatively less than that in ACHOIS, as suggested by only 8% of women in the intervention arm requiring insulin therapy compared with 20% in ACHOIS. A secondary analysis in a subgroup of offspring in the original Landon et al. trial showed that male offspring had a lower birth weight percentile and fat mass and gained greater benefit from the maternal GDM intervention compared with female offspring (5). These landmark trials have changed the clinical practice of GDM, placing greater emphasis on glucose-lowering

interventions to reduce obstetric and perinatal complications.

Emerging evidence suggests that the intrauterine and early postnatal environment can influence cardiovascular and metabolic health in later life. Maternal hyperglycemia in GDM is thought to confer a greater risk of diabetes and obesity in exposed offspring via fetal programming. Animal models suggest similar associations but are hampered by a lack of replication (6), exposure to severe hyperglycemia (7,8), and unclear relevance to human pathophysiology (9). Most human studies in this area are observational and therefore cannot control for transmission of risk from parent to child by shared genetic and environmental susceptibility.

Randomized trials of GDM treatment offer an opportunity to examine whether maternal GDM does "program" obesity and diabetes in exposed offspring: attenuation of this association with GDM treatment would identify a causal relationship. To date, only one randomized trial (ACHOIS) has followed this approach, finding no difference between obesity (BMI z-score) in children born to mothers from intervention (n =94) or control (n = 105) arms (3). The study had substantial limitations, notably insufficient power (only 200 offspring were included), childhood follow-up occurring at preschool age before the expected emergence of diabetes and obesity, and lack of detailed anthropometry. In this issue of *Diabetes Care*, a follow-up study performed by Landon et al. (10) presents a welcome addition to the field with its larger size including 500 children born to mothers with mild hyperglycemia enrolled in their original GDM treatment trial (4).

In their original trial, Landon et al. (4) recruited 958 pregnant women with mild GDM defined by established diagnostic thresholds (11) and randomized them to treatment (92% diet, 8% insulin) or no treatment. Treatment was associated with reductions in gestational weight gain (2.8 vs. 5.0 kg), hypertensive disorders, cesarean delivery, fetal overgrowth, and shoulder dystocia. Surprisingly, secondary analysis suggests a differential impact of GDM treatment according to offspring sex, with greater reductions in birth weight and neonatal fat mass in males than in females (5). In this unplanned follow-up study, only 55% of the original study offspring (n =500) at age 5-10 years were included (10). By 7 years of age, prevalence of childhood obesity and impaired fasting glucose were 21.8% and 6.4%, respectively. No differences in measures of obesity (BMI >95th centile) or hyperglycemia (fasting glucose, impaired fasting glucose, diabetes, HOMA-IR) were found in children born to mothers

Metabolic Research Laboratories, University of Cambridge, Wellcome Trust-Medical Research Council Institute of Metabolic Science, Addenbrooke's Hospital, Cambridge, U.K.

Corresponding author: Helen Murphy, hm386@medschl.cam.ac.uk.

© 2015 by the American Diabetes Association. Readers may use this article as long as the work is properly cited, the use is educational and not for profit, and the work is not altered.

from either treatment arm. Female children of mothers in the treatment arm had lower fasting glucose, log HOMA-IR, and rates of impaired fasting glucose, suggesting a sex-specific impact. Girls from the treatment arm with highest neonatal adiposity had a lower BMI z-score at follow-up, an interaction that may suggest beneficial effect of treatment among higher-risk GDM-exposed female offspring.

This study brings additional uncertainty regarding the risks of GDM exposure and extent to which they are mitigated by treatment. First, even with 500 offspring, the study (as acknowledged) was underpowered to detect differences in childhood obesity. Second, the treatment effect size (a onethird reduction in childhood obesity from 30 to 20%) was optimistic in a population where 400 (80%) infants had a birth weight appropriate for gestational age. Third, BMI was used despite wide recognition of it being a poor marker of childhood adiposity due to its inability to reflect the complexity of body composition (fat versus lean mass). Fourth, the age of onset of programmed phenotypic differences in GDM-exposed offspring is not known and the absence of difference may be due to the young age (75% < Tanner stage 1) at which they were studied. Finally, a post hoc design with a recruitment rate of 55% could allow ascertainment bias and is indeed suggested by fewer Hispanic offspring participants at follow-up than in the original trial.

Landon et al. suggest that treatment of maternal hyperglycemia may reduce the immediate risk of adiposity in male neonates, whereas it may have an enduring impact on glucose metabolism in prepubertal girls. Additional sexspecificity is suggested as female offspring who were overweight at birth show reduced BMI in childhood associated with treatment of maternal GDM. These intriguing sex-specific differences in metabolic phenotype are previously described, with studies showing male neonates prone to greater adiposity and female neonates having higher cord blood C-peptide concentrations (12–14). Future studies investigating the causal relationship between maternal GDM and offspring phenotype must be sufficiently large to detect sex-specific differences and should include more detailed phenotypic assessment (using anthropometric or DXA measures of fat mass) to increase the precision with which differences are detected.

The study by Landon et al. (10) also highlights the need to quantify the overall risk reduction that a GDM intervention can achieve, including immediate maternal and fetal complications as well as future risk of metabolic disorders in offspring. While relative risk reductions associated with GDM treatment are large, the rate of GDM-associated maternal and immediate fetal complications is relatively low (compared with pregnancies complicated by pregestational diabetes), leading to a small absolute risk reduction. If it transpires that GDM exposure does not have a causal relationship with the development of childhood obesity and diabetes, the diagnosis and treatment of mild hyperglycemia in otherwise healthy pregnant women must be rebalanced alongside the risks and costs (15) associated with increased medicalization of a GDM pregnancy.

This study (10) is an important addition to a research area currently limited by multiple studies showing association without proof of causation. It provides an intriguing suggestion that maternal GDM may indeed have a beneficial effect on fasting glucose in female offspring but does not provide conclusive proof of this or its association with childhood obesity. The seemingly negative result overall leaves the field open to ongoing debate and necessitates future longitudinal studies that follow children through puberty and, ideally, that follow the females throughout their reproductive life span. This approach may allow the development of a "programmed" phenotype to become overt and provide the much-needed evidence to support or refute the hypothesis that GDM can have long-term adverse metabolic consequences on offspring. Follow-up of maternal cohorts is also needed to evaluate the impact of GDM treatment on future maternal outcomes, including GDM in subsequent pregnancy and progression to type 2 diabetes.

Duality of Interest. No potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported.

References

1. Zhang C, Ning Y. Effect of dietary and lifestyle factors on the risk of gestational diabetes:

review of epidemiologic evidence. Am J Clin Nutr 2011;94(Suppl):1975S-1979S

- 2. Sacks DA, Hadden DR, Maresh M, et al.; HAPO Study Cooperative Research Group. Frequency of gestational diabetes mellitus at collaborating centers based on IADPSG consensus panel-recommended criteria: the Hyperglycemia and Adverse Pregnancy Outcome (HAPO) Study. Diabetes Care 2012;35:526–528
- 3. Crowther CA, Hiller JE, Moss JR, McPhee AJ, Jeffries WS, Robinson JS; Australian Carbohydrate Intolerance Study in Pregnant Women (ACHOIS) Trial Group. Effect of treatment of gestational diabetes mellitus on pregnancy outcomes. N Engl J Med 2005;352:2477–2486
- 4. Landon MB, Spong CY, Thom E, et al.; Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Maternal-Fetal Medicine Units Network. A multicenter, randomized trial of treatment for mild gestational diabetes. N Engl J Med 2009;361:1339–1348
- 5. Bahado-Singh RO, Mele L, Landon MB, et al. Fetal male gender and the benefits of treatment of mild gestational diabetes mellitus. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2012;206:422.e1–5
- Gauguier D, Bihoreau MT, Ktorza A, Berthault MF, Picon L. Inheritance of diabetes mellitus as consequence of gestational hyperglycemia in rats. Diabetes 1990;39:734–739
- 7. Clausen TD, Mathiesen ER, Hansen T, et al. Overweight and the metabolic syndrome in adult offspring of women with diet-treated gestational diabetes mellitus or type 1 diabetes. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2009;94:2464–2470
- 8. Lindsay RS, Nelson SM, Walker JD, et al. Programming of adiposity in offspring of mothers with type 1 diabetes at age 7 years. Diabetes Care 2010;33:1080–1085
- Van Assche FA, Holemans K, Aerts L. Longterm consequences for offspring of diabetes during pregnancy. BMJ 2001;60:173–182
- 10. Landon MB, Rice MM, Varner MW, et al.; Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development Maternal-Fetal Medicine Units (MFMU) Network. Mild gestational diabetes mellitus and long-term child health. Diabetes Care 2015;38:445–452
- 11. Carpenter MW, Coustan DR. Criteria for screening tests for gestational diabetes. Am J Obstet Gynecol 1982;144:768–773
- 12. Regnault N, Gillman MW, Rifas-Shiman SL, Eggleston E, Oken E. Sex-specific associations of gestational glucose tolerance with childhood body composition. Diabetes Care 2013;36: 3045–3053
- 13. O'Tierney-Ginn P, Presley L, Minium J, Hauguel deMouzon S, Catalano PM. Sex-specific effects of maternal anthropometrics on body composition at birth. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2014; 211:292.e1–9
- 14. Regnault N, Botton J, Heude B, et al.; EDEN Mother-Child Cohort Study Group. Higher cord C-peptide concentrations are associated with slower growth rate in the 1st year of life in girls but not in boys. Diabetes 2011;60:2152–2159
- 15. Weile LK, Kahn JG, Marseille E, Jensen DM, Damm P, Lohse N. Global cost-effectiveness of GDM screening and management: current knowledge and future needs. Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol. 21 August 2014 [Epub ahead of print]. DOI: 10.1016/j.bpobgyn.2014.06.00