## Incidence and Prevalence of Unrecognized Myocardial Infarction in People With Diabetes

A substudy of the Rosiglitazone Evaluated for Cardiac Outcomes and Regulation of Glycemia in Diabetes (RECORD) study

MICHAEL R. MACDONALD, MD<sup>1</sup>
MARK C. PETRIE, MD<sup>1</sup>
PHILIP D. HOME, DM<sup>2</sup>
MICHEL KOMAJDA, MD<sup>3</sup>
NIGEL P. JONES, MA<sup>4</sup>
HENNING BECK-NIELSEN, DMSC<sup>5</sup>

RAMON GOMIS, MD<sup>6</sup>
MARKOLF HANEFELD, MD<sup>7</sup>
STUART J. POCOCK, PHD<sup>8</sup>
PAULA S. CURTIS, PHD<sup>9</sup>
JOHN J.V. McMurray, MD<sup>10</sup>

**OBJECTIVE**—To examine the prevalence and incidence of unrecognized myocardial infarction in a contemporary population with type 2 diabetes.

**RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS**—We performed a retrospective analysis of the electrocardiograms (ECGs) recorded at baseline and after 2 years for the first 1,004 type 2 diabetic individuals to be randomized in the Rosiglitazone Evaluated for Cardiac Outcomes and Regulation of Glycemia in Diabetes (RECORD) study.

**RESULTS**—ECGs suitable for analysis were obtained from 669 participants. The prevalence of unrecognized Q-wave myocardial infarction at baseline was 1.9% (n=13). The incidence of unrecognized Q-wave myocardial infarction at the end of 2 years of follow-up was 1.5/1,000-person-years (n=2). One-third (13 of 39) of prevalent and one-quarter (2 of 8) of incident myocardial infarctions were unrecognized.

**CONCLUSIONS**—Although the prevalence and incidence of myocardial infarction was low, unrecognized Q-wave myocardial infarctions made up a substantial proportion of all events.

Diabetes Care 34:1394-1396, 2011

Ithough usually accompanied by typical symptoms, some myocardial infarctions (MIs) are not clinically recognized. Unrecognized MIs are thought to be important because there is some evidence that they carry a similar prognosis to recognized MIs (1–3). People with diabetes are considered to be more at risk for unrecognized MIs than those without diabetes, but few data have directly addressed this issue. Consequently,

we examined the prevalence and incidence of clinically unrecognized MI in a contemporary population with type 2 diabetes enrolled in the Rosiglitazone Evaluated for Cardiac Outcomes and Regulation of Glycemia in Diabetes (RECORD) study.

## RESEARCH DESIGN AND

**METHODS**—The design of the RECORD study has been described in

From the <sup>1</sup>Golden Jubilee National Hospital, Glasgow, U.K.; <sup>2</sup>Newcastle Diabetes Centre and Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, U.K.; the <sup>3</sup>Département de Cardiologie, Hôpital Pitié-Salpêtrière and Université Pierre et Marie Curie Paris 6, Paris, France; <sup>4</sup>GlaxoSmithKline Research and Development, Harlow, U.K.; the <sup>5</sup>Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Odense, Denmark; the <sup>6</sup>Hospital Clinic-Idibaps-Ciberdem, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain; the <sup>7</sup>Zentrum für Klinische Studien Forschungsbereich Endokrinologie und Stoffwechsel, Dresden, Germany; the <sup>8</sup>Medical Statistics Unit, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, U.K.; <sup>9</sup>GlaxoSmithKline Research and Development, Greenford, U.K.; and the <sup>10</sup>British Heart Foundation Cardiovascular Research Centre, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, U.K.

Corresponding author: Michael R. MacDonald, michael.macdonald@nhs.net.

Received 21 December 2010 and accepted 26 March 2011.

DOI: 10.2337/dc10-2398. Clinical trial reg. no. NCT00379769, clinicaltrials.gov.

© 2011 by the American Diabetes Association. Readers may use this article as long as the work is properly cited, the use is educational and not for profit, and the work is not altered. See http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/ for details.

detail previously (4). In brief, the RECORD study enrolled 4,458 people with type 2 diabetes that was inadequately controlled with metformin or sulfonylurea monotherapy. History of MI was obtained from the trial case-report forms completed by the study investigators.

This report describes a retrospective analysis of the electrocardiograms (ECGs) recorded at baseline and after 2 years in the study for the first 1,004 people to be randomized. The ECG recordings were coded independently by two cardiologists using a list derived from the Minnesota Code Classification System for Electrocardiographic Findings. When the two cardiologists did not agree, the ECG was reviewed by a third cardiologist. A fourth cardiologist reviewed all ECGs that were identified with potential features of MI and made the final decision whether the ECG demonstrated an MI.

A prevalent unrecognized Q-wave MI was defined as a Q wave (Minnesota codes 1-1 through 1-2 only) in the absence of a previous clinical history of MI at baseline (1,5–9). An incident unrecognized Q-wave MI was defined as a Q wave (Minnesota codes 1-1 through 1-2 only) in the absence of an event as adjudicated by the end point committee using European Society of Cardiology definitions during the course of the study (5,6,8,9). The incident population only included people without Q waves on their baseline ECG.

Data have been summarized for the combined treatment groups using simple descriptive statistics. Incidence rates per 1,000 person-years of follow-up have been calculated as the number of people with an event during the first 2 years of follow-up/total person-years.

**RESULTS**—The analysis excluded 335 of the 1,004 randomized people: 25 had no baseline ECG, 99 had no ECG at 2 years, 82 withdrew from study, 16 died during follow-up (7 were adjudicated as cardiovascular deaths), ECGs for four

individuals were not available for unknown reasons, and 109 ECGs were not suitable for 12-lead analysis. ECGs were available and suitable for analysis at baseline and at 2 years for 669 people, and Q waves were present in 21 on their baseline ECG. Therefore, 648 people (with no Q waves at baseline) were evaluable for the incidence rate calculation of MIs. Table 1 describes the baseline characteristics of the 669 people included in this analysis.

A history of MI was ascertained in 26 participants (3.9% [95% CI 2.6–5.7]). Of these, eight had a Q wave present on their baseline ECG, and 18 had no Q wave. Q waves were present on the baseline ECG (i.e., an unrecognized Q-wave MI) in 13 people (1.9% [1.1–3.3]) with no history

of MI. The overall prevalence of MI, identified by history or ECG criteria (recognized Q-wave/non–Q-wave MI + unrecognized Q-wave MI), was 5.8% (4.3–7.9; n = 39). Unrecognized Q-wave MIs constituted 33% of all of these MIs.

Among the 648 people with no Q waves at baseline, there were six adjudicated MIs, one of which resulted in a Q wave, and Q waves developed in an additional two people that were not associated with an adjudicated MI (i.e., two people had an unrecognized Q-wave MI). The incidence of unrecognized Q-wave MI was 1.5 (95% CI 0.0–3.6)/1,000 person-years, and unrecognized Q-wave MIs accounted for two of eight (25%) of all incident MIs documented using

Table 1—Baseline characteristics

Variable	n (%)	Mean (SD)
Total population	669	_
Male	346 (51.7)	_
Age (years)	<u> </u>	58.8 (8.2)
White	666 (99.6)	_
Duration of diabetes (years)	_	7.2 (5.2)
Physiologic measurements	_	_
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	_	31.2 (4.6)
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	_	139.7 (15.4)
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	_	83.1 (8.9)
Heart rate (bpm)	_	73.8 (8.4)
Medical history	_	_
Angina-stable	66 (9.9)	_
Clinical history of MI	26 (3.9)	_
Hypertension	541 (80.9)	_
Hyperlipidemia	106 (15.8)	_
Percutaneous coronary intervention	11 (1.6)	_
Coronary artery bypass grafting	13 (1.9)	_
Transient ischemic attack	13 (1.9)	_
Stroke	13 (1.9)	_
Peripheral arterial disease	21 (3.1)	_
Diabetic retinopathy	80 (12)	_
Heart failure	5 (0.7)	_
Current smoker	103 (15.4)	_
Previous smoker	146 (21.8)	_
Neuropathy	38 (5.7)	_
Laboratory measurements	_	_
HbA <sub>1c</sub> (%)	_	7.9 (0.7)
Fasting plasma glucose (mmol/L)	_	9.8 (2.2)
Total cholesterol (mmol/L)	_	5.4 (1.0)
Microalbuminuria	96 (14.3)	_
Macroalbuminuria	8 (1.2)	_
Drug therapy		_
Metformin monotherapy	344 (51.4)	_
Sulfonylurea monotherapy	325 (48.6)	_
Statin	110 (16.4)	_
<b>β</b> -Blocker	161 (24.2)	_
Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor	257 (38.4)	_
Antiplatelet	144 (21.5)	

both approaches. The incidence of any detected MI during the 2-year period was 8 of 648 or 6.2 (1.9–10.4)/1,000 person-years.

**CONCLUSIONS**—In absolute numbers, the prevalence and incidence of unrecognized Q-wave MIs were low in this substudy of RECORD, but because the prevalence and incidence of recognized MI was also low, a notable proportion of all detected MIs were unrecognized Q-wave MIs: 33% of prevalent MIs and 25% of incident MIs were unrecognized Q-wave MIs.

An Australian prospective observational cohort study (n = 1,269) is the only other study to examine the prevalence of unrecognized Q-wave MI in a cohort with type 2 diabetes. The prevalence of unrecognized Q-wave MI was 3.9%, making up 44% of all Q-wave MIs (7).

Three previous studies have examined the incidence of unrecognized MI in people with diabetes (5,10,11). All reported a higher incidence of unrecognized MI than in this RECORD cohort. The greater absolute prevalence and incidence of unrecognized MI in other studies most likely reflects the higher rates of cardiovascular disease at baseline. We acknowledge that ours is a relatively young clinical trial cohort, with small numbers of participants and events. Our findings may be subject to some uncertainty and may not be applicable to older, unselected populations with diabetes.

Most previous studies of "unrecognized MI" have used the presence of Q waves to indicate an event (12). We believe that many unrecognized MIs will be missed by the requirement of a Q wave. In our own population, only 8 of 26 patients with a baseline history of MI had Q waves, and only 1 of 6 adjudicated incident MIs resulted in a Q wave on the ECG. Any study using ECG criteria, and particularly Q waves only, is therefore likely to considerably underestimate the true burden of disease. Because of uncertainty about the validity of a diagnosis of MI solely based on ECG findings, clinical definitions in line with European Society of Cardiology guidelines were used to adjudicate events in RECORD (4). Thus, although including unrecognized Q-wave MIs would have increased the number of events, we judged that they would not add to the rigor of the study.

We found in this analysis of people with diabetes in the RECORD study that unrecognized Q-wave MIs made up a substantial proportion of all detected MIs. In view of the small numbers in

## Unrecognized myocardial infarction in people with diabetes

our analysis, further studies (or metaanalyses of studies) are needed to confirm (or refute) our findings and recommend how best to establish the true burden of MI in people with diabetes.

**Acknowledgments**—The RECORD study was funded by GlaxoSmithKline PLC.

P.D.H., M.C.P., H.B.-N., M.H., and M.K., or the institutions with which they are involved, receive funding for research, educational, and/or advisory activities from pharmaceutical companies, including GlaxoSmithKline, and in some cases from the manufacturers of sulfonylureas and metformin preparations and other competing products. P.S.C. and N.P.J. are employees of and hold stock in GlaxoSmithKline. No other potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported.

M.R.M. analyzed data and drafted the manuscript. M.C.P. drafted the manuscript, reviewed and edited the manuscript, and contributed to discussion. P.D.H. and M.K. conceived of and designed the study. N.P.J. analyzed data. H.B.-N., R.G., and M.H. conceived of and designed the study. S.J.P. reviewed and edited the manuscript. P.S.C. analyzed data. J.J.V.M. conceived of and designed study and analyzed data. All authors took part in drafting or revising the manuscript.

## References

1. Sheifer SE, Gersh BJ, Yanez ND 3rd, Ades PA, Burke GL, Manolio TA. Prevalence,

- predisposing factors, and prognosis of clinically unrecognized myocardial infarction in the elderly. J Am Coll Cardiol 2000;35:119–126
- 2. Sigurdsson E, Thorgeirsson G, Sigvaldason H, Sigfusson N. Unrecognized myocardial infarction: epidemiology, clinical characteristics, and the prognostic role of angina pectoris. The Reykjavik Study. Ann Intern Med 1995;122:96–102
- Yano K, MacLean CJ. The incidence and prognosis of unrecognized myocardial infarction in the Honolulu, Hawaii, Heart Program. Arch Intern Med 1989;149: 1528–1532
- Home PD, Pocock SJ, Beck-Nielsen H, et al. Rosiglitazone Evaluated for Cardiac Outcomes and Regulation of Glycaemia in Diabetes (RECORD): study design and protocol. Diabetologia 2005;48:1726–1735
- 5. Aguilar D, Goldhaber SZ, Gans DJ, et al.; Collaborative Study Group. Clinically unrecognized Q-wave myocardial infarction in patients with diabetes mellitus, systemic hypertension, and nephropathy. Am J Cardiol 2004;94:337–339
- Boland LL, Folsom AR, Sorlie PD, et al. Occurrence of unrecognized myocardial infarction in subjects aged 45 to 65 years (the ARIC study). Am J Cardiol 2002;90: 927–931
- 7. Davis TM, Fortun P, Mulder J, Davis WA, Bruce DG; Fremantle Diabetes Study. Silent myocardial infarction and its prognosis in a community-based cohort of

- Type 2 diabetic patients: the Fremantle Diabetes Study. Diabetologia 2004;47: 395–399
- 8. Jónsdóttir LS, Sigfusson N, Sigvaldason H, Thorgeirsson G. Incidence and prevalence of recognised and unrecognised myocardial infarction in women. The Reykjavik Study. Eur Heart J 1998;19: 1011–1018
- 9. Nadelmann J, Frishman WH, Ooi WL, et al. Prevalence, incidence and prognosis of recognized and unrecognized myocardial infarction in persons aged 75 years or older: the Bronx Aging Study. Am J Cardiol 1990;66:533–537
- Dormandy JA, Charbonnel B, Eckland DJ, et al.; PROactive investigators. Secondary prevention of macrovascular events in patients with type 2 diabetes in the PROactive Study (PROspective pioglitAzone Clinical Trial In macroVascular Events): a randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2005;366:1279– 1289
- 11. Burgess DC, Hunt D, Li L, et al. Incidence and predictors of silent myocardial infarction in type 2 diabetes and the effect of fenofibrate: an analysis from the Fenofibrate Intervention and Event Lowering in Diabetes (FIELD) study. Eur Heart J 2010; 31:92–99
- Ammar KA, Kors JA, Yawn BP, Rodeheffer RJ. Defining unrecognized myocardial infarction: a call for standardized electrocardiographic diagnostic criteria. Am Heart J 2004;148:277–284