

# Prevention of Diabetes Self-Management Program (PREDIAS): Effects on Weight, Metabolic Risk Factors, and Behavioral Outcomes

BERNHARD KULZER, PHD<sup>1</sup>  
 NORBERT HERMANN, PHD<sup>1</sup>  
 DANIELA GORGES, MA<sup>1</sup>

PETER SCHWARZ, MD<sup>2</sup>  
 THOMAS HAAK, PHD<sup>1</sup>

**OBJECTIVE** — To evaluate the efficacy of the group program PREDIAS for diabetes prevention.

**RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS** — PREDIAS consists of 12 lessons and aims at lifestyle modification. The control group received written information about diabetes prevention. In this study, a total of 182 persons with an elevated diabetes risk participated (aged 56.3 ± 10.1 years, 43% female, and BMI 31.5 ± 5.3 kg/m<sup>2</sup>).

**RESULTS** — After 12 months, weight loss was significantly higher ( $P = 0.001$ ) in PREDIAS than in the control group ( $-3.8 \pm 5.2$  vs.  $-1.4 \pm 4.09$  kg). There were also significant effects ( $P = 0.001$ ) on fasting glucose (control group  $1.8 \pm 13.1$  mg/dl vs. PREDIAS  $-4.3 \pm 11.3$  mg/dl), duration of physical activity per week (control group  $17.9 \pm 63.8$  min vs. PREDIAS  $46.6 \pm 95.5$  min;  $P = 0.03$ ), and eating behavior.

**CONCLUSIONS** — PREDIAS significantly modified lifestyle factors associated with an elevated diabetes risk.

*Diabetes Care* 32:1143–1146, 2009

The prevalence of type 2 diabetes is increasing worldwide. Diabetes is associated with an increased risk for morbidity and mortality (1,2). Meta-analyses have shown that type 2 diabetes can be effectively prevented or delayed by lifestyle modification (3,4). We developed a group program (PREDIAS) for the prevention of type 2 diabetes that is based on the Diabetes Prevention Program (5,6). The aim of this randomized controlled trial was to evaluate in a 12-month follow-up the efficacy of PREDIAS with regard to the primary outcome variable, weight reduction, as well as behavioral, metabolic, and psychological outcomes as secondary variables.

**RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS** — PREDIAS was compared with a control group whose members received the PREDIAS group intervention written information and patient materials. Inclusion criteria were those aged 20–70 years with BMI  $\geq 26$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>, impaired glucose tolerance or impaired fasting glucose, and an ability to read and understand German. Exclusion criteria were manifest diabetes or diagnosis of a serious illness (e.g., cancer). All patients gave informed consent. The study was approved by the local ethics committee.

Individuals with an elevated diabetes risk based on a high score ( $>10$ ) on the Diabetes Risk Score (7) or according to the assessment of a primary care physi-

cian were invited to a baseline examination. After a pool of 12–20 patients was created, a centrally performed block randomization (1:1) assigned subjects randomly to the PREDIAS or the control group.

The results refer to changes between baseline and the 12-month follow-up measurement. Patients underwent an oral glucose tolerance test. Weight, height, waist circumference, and blood pressure were assessed by study nurses, who were blinded to the treatment assignment of the subjects. Also, lipids and A1C were measured. Glucose was measured from capillary blood samples.

Physical activity was assessed by a physical activity questionnaire used in a representative federal health survey in Germany (8). Physical activity is reported as minutes per week. The Three Factor Eating Questionnaire, with the three scales cognitive restraint of eating, disinhibition, and hunger, was used to measure psychological determinants of eating behavior (9,10). Trait anxiety was measured by the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (11). High scores on the scales always indicate a high parameter value.

The World Health Organization-Five Well-Being Index (WHO-5) assessed psychological well-being (12), and the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D) measured depressive symptoms (13). Low scores on the WHO-5 indicate reduced psychological well-being, whereas high scores on the CES-D indicate elevated depressive symptoms.

## Statistical analysis

A power analysis showed that, assuming an additional weight reduction of  $2.5 \pm 4.6$  kg and a power of  $1 - \beta = 0.90$  (two-sided  $\alpha = 0.05$ ), 73 participants per group were appropriate. Calculating with a nonevaluable rate of maximum 20%, a total of 182 individuals (91 in each treatment group) was needed.

An intention-to-treat analysis was performed using the baseline observation carried forward method. Statistical analy-

From the <sup>1</sup>Research Institute of the Diabetes Academy Mergentheim and Diabetes Centre Mergentheim, Bad Mergentheim, Germany; and the <sup>2</sup>Department of Medicine, University of Dresden, Carl Gustav Carus, Dresden, Germany.

Corresponding author: Norbert Hermanns, hermanns@diabetes-zentrum.de.

Received 2 December 2008 and accepted 6 March 2009.

DOI: 10.2337/dc08-2141. Clinical trial reg. no. NCT00707447, clinicaltrials.gov.

© 2009 by the American Diabetes Association. Readers may use this article as long as the work is properly cited, the use is educational and not for profit, and the work is not altered. See <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/> for details.

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Table 1—Baseline and 12-month follow-up results in the control group and the PREDIAS group

	Control	PREDIAS	Between-group P-value
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )			
Baseline	32.0 ± 5.7	31.0 ± 4.7	
Endpoint	31.5 ± 5.8	29.7 ± 4.7	
Change from baseline to endpoint	-0.5 ± 1.4 (P = 0.002)*	-1.3 ± 1.7 (P < 0.001)*	0.002
Weight (kg)			
Baseline	93.6 ± 19.3	92.1 ± 16.5	
Endpoint	92.2 ± 19.4	88.3 ± 15.9	
Change from baseline to endpoint	-1.4 ± 4.0 (P = 0.002)*	-3.8 ± 5.2 (P < 0.001)*	0.001
Waist circumference (cm)			
Baseline	106.3 ± 13.7	106.8 ± 13.7	
Endpoint	105.9 ± 14.1	102.7 ± 12.5	
Change from baseline to endpoint	-0.4 ± 6.2 (P = 0.559)*	-4.1 ± 6.0 (P < 0.001)*	0.001
Fasting glucose (mg/dl)			
Baseline	105.5 ± 12.4	105.7 ± 12.4	
Endpoint	107.3 ± 14.3	101.4 ± 11.3	
Change from baseline to endpoint	1.8 ± 13.1 (P = 0.211)*	-4.3 ± 11.3 (P = 0.001)*	0.001
2-h postprandial OGTT (mg/dl)			
Baseline	138.5 ± 34.9	133.1 ± 36.2	
Endpoint	130.3 ± 36.1	125.8 ± 41.3	
Change from baseline to endpoint	-8.2 ± 36.9 (P = 0.060)*	-7.3 ± 30.8 (P = 0.041)*	0.865
A1C (%)			
Baseline	5.7 ± 0.6	5.7 ± 0.5	
Endpoint	5.8 ± 0.5	5.7 ± 0.4	
Change from baseline to endpoint	0.1 ± 0.4 (P = 0.165)*	0.0 ± 0.3 (P = 0.203)*	0.060
Physical exercise (min/week)			
Baseline	96.9 ± 76.3	104.2 ± 80.24	
Endpoint	114.0 ± 72.6	150.8 ± 75.18	
Change from baseline to endpoint	17.9 ± 63.8 (P = 0.035)*	46.6 ± 95.5 (P < 0.001)*	0.034
Total cholesterol (mg/dl)			
Baseline	209.9 ± 36.6	212.2 ± 43.8	
Endpoint	207.9 ± 36.8	201.9 ± 35.6	
Change from baseline to endpoint	-2.0 ± 35.1 (P = 0.607)*	-10.3 ± 35.9 (P = 0.011)*	0.144
HDL cholesterol (mg/dl)			
Baseline	53.5 ± 13.2	55.9 ± 14.1	
Endpoint	51.3 ± 14.5	54.6 ± 14.9	
Change from baseline to endpoint	-2.2 ± 9.4 (P = 0.044)*	-1.3 ± 6.9 (P = 0.104)*	0.479
Triglycerides (mg/dl)			
Baseline	144.1 ± 102.1	156.2 ± 151.0	
Endpoint	141.6 ± 99.5	120.6 ± 65.5	
Change from baseline to endpoint	-2.5 ± 100.3 (P = 0.823)*	-35.6 ± 136.8 (P = 0.022)*	0.087
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)			
Baseline	139.1 ± 15.9	141.8 ± 18.6	
Endpoint	138.1 ± 15.3	137.2 ± 17.1	
Change from baseline to endpoint	-1.0 ± 16.7 (P = 0.610)*	-4.6 ± 19.1 (P = 0.035)*	0.217
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)			
Baseline	87.3 ± 9.7	88.5 ± 10.5	
Endpoint	85.2 ± 12.3	84.1 ± 10.4	
Change from baseline to endpoint	-2.1 ± 12.6 (P = 0.151)*	-4.4 ± 11.7 (P = 0.001)*	0.255
TFEQ			
Cognitive restraint			
Baseline	10.2 ± 4.3	10.0 ± 4.0	
Endpoint	11.7 ± 4.7	13.9 ± 4.2	
Change from baseline to endpoint	1.5 ± 3.0 (P < 0.001)*	3.9 ± 3.8 (P < 0.001)*	0.0011
Disinhibition			
Baseline	6.3 ± 3.9	6.1 ± 3.2	
Endpoint	5.8 ± 3.9	4.9 ± 2.6	
Change from baseline to endpoint	-0.4 ± 2.6 (P = 0.247)*	-1.2 ± 2.7 (P < 0.001)*	0.049

Continued on following page

Downloaded from <http://ada.literon.com/care/article-pdf/32/7/1143/604165zdc00709001143.pdf> by guest on 16 April 2024

Table 1—Continued

	Control	PREDIAS	Between-group P-value
Hunger			
Baseline	4.9 ± 3.8	4.5 ± 3.4	
Endpoint	4.7 ± 3.8	3.4 ± 3.1	
Change from baseline to endpoint	−0.2 ± 2.7 (P = 0.434)*	−1.1 ± 3.1 (P = 0.002)*	0.066
Psychological well-being by WHO-5			
Baseline	14.3 ± 4.9	15.3 ± 5.1	
Endpoint	14.3 ± 5.1	16.7 ± 4.8	
Change from baseline to endpoint	0.0 ± 4.2 (P = 0.901)*	1.4 ± 3.9 (P = 0.015)*	0.101
Depression by CES-D			
Baseline	13.7 ± 8.2	12.0 ± 9.5	
Endpoint	11.4 ± 7.8	9.8 ± 7.5	
Change from baseline to endpoint	−2.3 ± 6.8 (P = 0.009)*	−2.2 ± 7.7 (P = 0.031)*	0.876
Trait Anxiety by STAI			
Baseline	39.5 ± 9.8	38.5 ± 10.4	
Endpoint	38.5 ± 9.5	34.5 ± 9.5	
Change from baseline to endpoint	−1.0 ± 6.1 (P = 0.142)*	−3.5 ± 7.1 (P = 0.001)*	0.023

Data are means ± SD. \*P = within group test. OGGT, oral glucose tolerance test; STAI, State-Trait Anxiety Inventory; TFEQ, Three Factor Eating Questionnaire.

ses were performed by paired *t* tests for within-group differences and independent *t* tests for between-group differences.

### Program

The prevention program consisted of 12 lessons lasting ~90 min each. During the first 8 weeks, eight core lessons were given with one per week; the last four lessons were bimonthly booster lessons. The PREDIAS program, which is based on self-management theory, was conducted in small groups (median size seven people). PREDIAS was delivered by either diabetes educators or psychologists. The program comprised a set of transparencies for the lessons and a curriculum for the prevention manager. Each participant received an exercise book, which contained information about diabetes prevention. This book also contained resources for the participants such as a table of caloric values and worksheets (e.g., eating diaries and logbooks for physical activity) for each lesson. More details about PREDIAS can be accessed at the homepage of the European Union Project: Development and Implementation of a European Guideline and Training Standards for Diabetes Prevention (IMAGE) at <http://www.image-project.eu/pdf/praedias> (14).

**RESULTS**— A total of 182 participants were randomized (aged 56.3 ± 10.1 years, 43% female, education 13.2 ± 4.1 years, BMI 31.5 ± 5.3 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, fasting

glucose 105.7 ± 12.8 mg/dl, and 2-h postprandial postoral glucose 135.7 ± 35.8 mg/dl). There were no significant baseline differences between those in the PREDIAS and the control group. The study lost 17 participants (9.3%) to follow-up. A dropout analysis showed no significant differences between participants who remained in the study and those who dropped out.

After 12 months, there was a significant effect on body weight (Table 1). Participants in the PREDIAS group had lost 3.8 kg of weight, whereas members of the control group had reduced their weight by 1.4 kg (P = 0.001). An intention-to-treat analysis yielded similar results (control group −1.3 ± 3.9 kg vs. PREDIAS group −3.6 ± 5.1 kg; P < 0.001). A significantly higher proportion of weight was lost by those in the PREDIAS than in the control group (4 ± 5.4 vs. 1.6 ± 4.1%, respectively; P = 0.002). Similar results were obtained regarding BMI and waist circumference.

Both groups increased their physical activity significantly, but the increase was significantly greater in the PREDIAS than in the control group. Cognitive restraint of eating behavior was significantly more increased in the PREDIAS than in the control group, and eating disinhibition was significantly more decreased in the PREDIAS than in the control group. Members of the PREDIAS group showed a significant within-group reduction on the hunger scale,

but there was no significant between-group difference.

There was a significant effect of PREDIAS on fasting glucose; however, the 2-h postprandial glucose values and A1C did not change significantly between the groups. Total cholesterol and triglycerides, as well as systolic and diastolic blood pressure, were significantly reduced in the PREDIAS group, whereas in the control group there was no substantial change in these risk factors. However, the between-group difference failed to reach significance.

In both groups, psychological well-being increased, whereas anxiety and depressive symptoms decreased. However, except for anxiety, there were no significant differences between the two groups.

**CONCLUSIONS**— The PREDIAS prevention program was able to reduce weight and modify eating behavior and physical activity significantly; thus, diabetes risk was reduced. The magnitude of these effects and the observed metabolic changes were in the range of previously published results of diabetes prevention programs (3–5,15).

**Acknowledgments**— The conduct of this study was supported by an unrestricted grant from Roche Diagnostics, Germany. No other potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported.

Parts of this study were presented in abstract form at the 69th Scientific Sessions of

the American Diabetes Association, New Orleans, Louisiana, 5-9 June 2009.

We thank Siemens AG, Erlangen, Germany; the cities of Erlangen and Wuerzburg; the Association of Primary Care Physicians, Wuerzburg, Germany; and the Main Tauber Country, Germany, for their collaboration.

### References

1. Zimmet P, Alberti KG, Shaw J. Global and societal implications of the diabetes epidemic. *Nature* 2001;414:782-787
2. Schwarz PE, Schwarz J, Schuppenies A, Bornstein SR, Schulze J. Development of a diabetes prevention management program for clinical practice. *Public Health Rep* 2007;122:258-263
3. Gillies CL, Abrams KR, Lambert PC, Cooper NJ, Sutton AJ, Hsu RT, Khunti K. Pharmacological and lifestyle interventions to prevent or delay type 2 diabetes in people with impaired glucose tolerance: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ* 2007;334:299
4. Orozco LJ, Buchleitner AM, Gimenez-Perez G, Roque IF, Richter B, Mauricio D. Exercise or exercise and diet for preventing type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2008;3:CD003054
5. Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group. Reduction in the incidence of type 2 diabetes with lifestyle intervention or metformin. *N Engl J Med* 2002;346:393-403
6. Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group: The Diabetes Prevention Program (DPP). Description of lifestyle intervention. *Diabetes Care* 2002;25:2165-2171
7. Lindström J, Tuomilehto J. The diabetes risk score: a practical tool to predict type 2 diabetes risk. *Diabetes Care* 2003;26:725-731
8. Mensink GBM. Körperliche Aktivität [Physical activity]. *Gesundheitswesen* 61 Sonderheft 1999;2:S216-S131 [in German]
9. Pudiel V, Westenhöfer J. *Fragebogen zum Ernährungsverhalten (FEV) [Questionnaire about eating behavior]*. Göttingen, Germany, Hogrefe, 1989 [in German]
10. Stunkard AJ, Messick S. The three factor eating questionnaire to measure dietary restraint, disinhibition and hunger. *J Psychosom Res* 1985;29:71-83
11. Laux L, Glanzmann P, Schaffner P, Spielberger D. *Das State-Trait-Angstinventar. Theoretische Grundlagen und Handanweisung [The State Trait Anxiety Inventory. Theoretical principles and manual]*. Weinheim, Germany, Beltz, 1981 [in German]
12. Psychiatric Research Unit and WHO Collaborating Center for Mental Health. WHO-Five Well-Being Index (WHO-5) [Internet], 1998. Available from <http://www.who-5.org/>. Accessed 15 January 2009
13. Hautzinger M, Bailer J. *Allgemeine Depressions-Skala [General Depression Scale]*. Göttingen, Germany, Hogrefe, 1993 [in German]
14. IMAGE Project Management. IMAGE (Development and Implementation of a European Guideline and Training Standards for Diabetes Prevention) [Internet], 2009. Available from <http://www.image-project.eu/pdf/praedias>. Accessed 11 April 2009
15. Tuomilehto J, Lindström J, Eriksson JG, Valle TT, Hämäläinen H, Ilanne-Parikka P, Keinänen-Kiukaanniemi S, Laakso M, Louheranta A, Rastas M, Salminen V, Uusitupa M. Prevention of type 2 diabetes mellitus by changes in lifestyle among subjects with impaired glucose tolerance. *N Engl J Med* 2001;344:1343-1350