Insulin Resistance as Estimated by Homeostasis Model Assessment Predicts Incident Symptomatic Cardiovascular Disease in Caucasian Subjects From the General Population

The Bruneck Study

Enzo Bonora, md, phd[1]
Stefan Kiechl, md[2]
Johann Willeit, md[2]
Friedrich Oberhollenzer, md[3]

Georg Egger, md[3]
James B. Meigs, md, mph [4]
Riccardo C. Bonadonna, md[1]
Michele Muggeo, md[1]

OBJECTIVE — The purpose of this study was to evaluate whether insulin resistance is associated to cardiovascular disease (CVD) and to understand whether this association can be explained by traditional and novel CVD risk factors associated with this metabolic disorder.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS — We examined a sample representative of the population of Bruneck, Italy ($n = 919$; aged 40–79 years). Insulin-resistant subjects were those with a score in the top quartile of the homeostasis model assessment (HOMA) for insulin resistance (HOMA-IR). Risk factors correlated with insulin resistance included BMI, A1C, HDL cholesterol, triglycerides, blood pressure, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP), fibrinogen, oxidized LDL, vascular cell adhesion molecule-1 (VCAM-1), and adiponectin. Subjects without CVD at baseline were followed up for 15 years for incident CVD, a composite end point including fatal and nonfatal myocardial infarction and stroke, transient ischemic attack, and any revascularization procedure.

RESULTS — During follow-up, 118 subjects experienced a first symptomatic CVD event. Levels of HOMA-IR were higher at baseline among subjects who developed CVD (2.8) compared with those remaining free of CVD (2.5) ($P < 0.05$). Levels of HOMA-IR also were significantly correlated ($P < 0.05$) with most CVD risk factors we evaluated. In Cox proportional hazard models, insulin-resistant subjects had an age-, sex-, and smoking-adjusted 2.1-fold increased risk (95% CI 1.3–3.1) of incident symptomatic CVD relative to non–insulin-resistant subjects. After sequential adjustment for physical activity and classic risk factors (A1C, LDL cholesterol, and hypertension) as well as BMI, HDL cholesterol, triglycerides, and novel risk factors, including fibrinogen, oxidized LDL, hsCRP, VCAM-1, and adiponectin, the association between HOMA-IR and incident CVD remained significant and virtually unchanged (hazard ratio 2.2 [95% CI 1.4–3.6], $P < 0.001$).

CONCLUSIONS — HOMA-estimated insulin resistance is associated with subsequent symptomatic CVD in the general population independently of all classic and several nontradi-

● ●

tional risk factors. These data suggest that insulin resistance may be an important target to reduce CVD risk.

Insulin resistance is a pathogenic factor for type 2 diabetes (1,2) and is associated with diverse cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk states, including obesity, essential hypertension, hypertriglyceridemia, and low HDL cholesterol (3). A significant proportion of apparently healthy subjects also are insulin resistant (4,5). In aggregate, insulin resistance and related conditions are very common, affecting as many as 30–40% of subjects living in affluent countries. Insulin resistance is also a common finding in developing countries. Throughout the world hundreds of millions of people and perhaps even >1 billion people are estimated to have insulin resistance (6).

In recent years the question as to whether insulin resistance is involved in the pathogenesis of CVD has persisted. This question was originated by the observation that subjects with coronary, carotid, or femoral artery atherosclerosis are insulin resistant compared with subjects without CVD, after matching or adjusting for classic risk factors (7–9). Its validity was supported by the findings that insulin possesses a variety of antiatherogenic effects, which might be blunted by insulin resistance (10–16), and that insulin resistance is related to several nontraditional CVD risk factors, including markers of coagulation, systemic inflammation, subclinical vascular disease, oxidative stress, or dysregulated adipokine signaling (17–20). Findings from prospective studies testing the association of insulin resistance with CVD independently of classic risk factors have been inconsistent (21–31). In addition, no longitudinal study to extensively evaluate the potential con-

founding role of novel CVD risk factors has been done. In other words, the association between insulin resistance and CVD, if observed, might be attenuated or abolished after adjustment for novel, insulin resistance–related risk factors.

The effect of ameliorating insulin resistance on CVD outcomes has been tested in only one intervention trial (32). However, although pioglitazone reduced the risk for CVD events in a post hoc subgroup analysis, the trial was conducted among diabetic patients and the suggested benefit of insulin sensitization may have been related to the observed reduction of risk factors (hyperglycemia, dyslipidemia, and hypertension) known to improve with pioglitazone therapy. Thus, the role of insulin resistance in the pathogenesis of CVD remains an open question.

With these issues in mind, in the present study we evaluated whether insulin resistance was associated with new cases of symptomatic CVD independently of traditional and nontraditional risk factors known to cluster with this metabolic disorder in a large sample from the general population of Bruneck, Italy.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS — The Bruneck Study is a long-term prospective population-based survey of atherosclerosis and its risk factors. It is being conducted in Bruneck, a small town of ~13,500 people, located in Northeastern Italy, close to the Austrian border. As reported previously (33), a baseline evaluation was performed between July and November 1990. Among the 1,000 randomly selected men and women of the 4,793 Caucasians subjects aged 40–79 years, 936 volunteered after the purposes and modalities of the study had been carefully presented. As 17 subjects had incomplete data collection, the sample we used for most statistical analyses included 919 subjects. Insulin measurements were performed in 888 subjects because 2 subjects were receiving insulin treatment, and 29 subjects had no serum available for the measurement of insulin. After exclusion of 49 subjects with preexisting CVD, 839 subjects (416 men and 423 women) remained for the current analysis. The main clinical features of the study population and the subset with insulin measurements available have been reported in previous publications (1,4,17,33–35).

Reevaluations were performed every 5 years, i.e., in 1995, 2000, and 2005. In this period 210 of the 839 subjects died.

Follow-up was 100% complete for clinical end points.

The protocol was approved by the ethics committee of the University of Verona. All subjects gave an informed consent.

Clinical data
The following data were collected with a standardized questionnaire: cigarette smoking, alcohol consumption, physical activity, socioeconomic status, previous diseases, and drug prescriptions. BMI, waist circumference, and blood pressure were assessed with standard techniques. Overweight was defined by a BMI from 25 to 29.9 kg/m^2 and obesity by a BMI $\geq$30 kg/m^2. Hypertension was diagnosed when systolic blood pressure was $\geq$140 mmHg, diastolic blood pressure was $\geq$90 mmHg, or antihypertensive treatment was ongoing. At baseline, all subjects underwent a standard oral glucose tolerance test. Details on the methodology have been reported previously (1,4,17,33–35).

Laboratory data
In the morning after an overnight fast, venous blood was sampled for the measurement of A1C, as well as the plasma concentration of glucose and the serum concentrations of total and HDL cholesterol, triglycerides, insulin, adiponectin, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP), fibrinogen, oxidized LDL, and vascular adhesion molecule-1 (VCAM-1). LDL cholesterol was calculated by the formula of Friedewald. Details on analytical procedures have been reported previously (1,4,17,33–35). Impaired fasting glucose (IFG), impaired glucose tolerance (IGT), and diabetes were diagnosed according to current criteria.

Assessment of insulin resistance
The degree of insulin resistance at baseline was estimated by the homeostasis model assessment (HOMA) of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) (36). In a recent article, we reported on the good reliability of the HOMA for estimating insulin resistance (37). Subjects in the top quartile of HOMA-IR distribution values were considered to be insulin resistant.

Assessment of CVD
The present report focuses on symptomatic CVD, an aggregate end point that included cardiovascular death, nonfatal myocardial infarction and stroke, transient ischemic attack (TIA), and coronary, carotid, or lower limb revascularization.

Myocardial infarction was deemed confirmed when World Health Organization criteria for definite disease status were met (38). Ischemic stroke and TIA were classified according to the criteria of the National Survey of Stroke (39). Vascular mortality included deaths due to myocardial infarction and stroke and sudden cardiac deaths. All of these events or procedures were ascertained by examining the medical records of the local general practitioners and confirmed by reviewing the files of Bruneck Hospital. Cardiovascular deaths were identified by reviewing death certificates. Actual event dates were used, and only the first event was considered in this analysis. Major advantages of the Bruneck Study cohort are that virtually all subjects living in the area of Bruneck are referred to the local hospital and that the network existing between the local hospital and the general practitioners allows retrieval of virtually all medical information on people living in the area.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analyses were performed with SPSS-X and BMDP software. Skewed variables were log$_e$-transformed to improve the approximation to a Gaussian distribution. Nonparametric tests yielded very similar results (data not presented). Reported P values are two-sided.

Overall, missing values for the variables adiponectin, hsCRP, oxidized LDL, and VCAM-1 were rare ($<$5%) and occurred randomly. They were replaced by estimates derived from the "regression procedure" of the SPSS missing value approach.

The correlations of demographic and behavioral variables, as well as laboratory parameters with log$_e$-transformed insulin resistance (HOMA-IR) were expressed by standard correlation coefficients. Partial correlation coefficients were corrected for sex, age, smoking, and BMI.

Cox proportional hazard models were used to assess whether baseline insulin resistance was an independent predictor of incident CVD. For this purpose, HOMA-IR was modeled as a categorical variable, and subjects were stratified into those belonging to the top quartile (insulin-resistant subjects) versus those belonging to the other three quartiles (non–insulin-resistant subjects). Five nested models were run: the first one included sex, age, smoking, and HOMA-IR; the second model included model 1 variables, physical activity, and the three

Table 1—Clinical features of subjects in the cohort at baseline

	CVD negative at follow-up	CVD positive at follow-up
n	721	118
Male sex (%)	47.6	61.9*
Age (years)	57.2 ± 11.2	65.8 ± 9.8*
Smokers (%)	24.1	30.5*
Physical activity (score)	4.4 ± 1.5	4.3 ± 1.6
BMI (kg/m^2)	24.9 ± 3.7	25.1 ± 4.3
Waist (cm)	87 ± 10	89 ± 10
Fasting glucose (mmol/l)	5.50 ± 0.92	5.89 ± 1.67*
A1C (%)	5.5 ± 0.6	5.8 ± 0.9*
Fasting insulin (pmol/l)	75 (49–111)	78 (40–127)
HOMA-IR	2.5 (1.7–3.9)	2.8 (1.4–4.8)*
LDL cholesterol (mmol/l)	3.52 ± 0.97	3.72 ± 0.91*
HDL cholesterol (mmol/l)	1.47 ± 0.36	1.43 ± 0.37
Triglycerides (mmol/l)	1.22 (0.90–1.78)	1.33 (1.08–1.82)
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	144 ± 21	155 ± 24*
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	88 ± 10	92 ± 10*
hsCRP (mg/l)	1.4 (0.8–2.8)	2.0 (1.1–4.2)*
Fibrinogen (g/l)	2.56 (2.21–2.92)	2.71 (2.35–3.03)*
Oxidized LDL (units/l)	30.4 (22.4–36.9)	33.0 (27.9–41.9)*
VCAM-1 (ng/ml)	605 (488–808)	669 (553–817)*
Adiponectin (μg/ml)	10.9 (7.6–15.6)	11.1 (7.4–17.5)

Data are means ± SD or median (interquartile range). $n = 839$. *$P < 0.05$.

most classic risk factors (hyperglycemia, here represented by A1C, LDL cholesterol, and hypertension); the third model included model 2 variables and traditional risk factors strongly related to insulin resistance (BMI, HDL cholesterol, and triglycerides); the fourth model included model 3 variables as well as novel risk factors related to insulin resistance, including fibrinogen (prothrombotic state), oxidized LDL (oxidative stress), hsCRP (inflammation), and VCAM-1 (endothelial dysfunction); and the fifth model included model 4 variables as well as adiponectin. In the principal analyses, smoking, hypertension, and HOMA-IR were modeled as categorical variables and the others as continuous variables. Proportional hazard assumptions were satisfied in all models.

RESULTS — At baseline, the prevalences of diabetes, IFG, and IGT were 6.8, 8.6, and 9.2%, respectively; those of overweight and obesity were 27.5 and 8.6, respectively; and that of hypertension was 61.9%. Table 1 displays baseline clinical features of subjects with and without CVD during follow-up. Those who developed CVD had a higher risk profile at baseline. After adjustments for sex, age, smoking, and BMI, log$_e$-transformed HOMA-IR was significantly correlated to A1C, LDL cholesterol and HDL cholesterol, triglycerides, hsCRP, fibrinogen, oxidized LDL, VCAM-1, and adiponectin (Table 2).

During the 15 years of follow-up, 118 subjects experienced one or more symptomatic CVD events. In particular, we observed 55 cases of nonfatal and fatal myocardial infarction and 58 cases of fatal and nonfatal stroke and TIA. Forty-four subjects underwent coronary, carotid, or lower limb revascularization.

Cox models revealed that insulin-resistant subjects had an increased risk of incident symptomatic CVD compared with non–insulin-resistant subjects (Table 3). This result was found in the model including only sex, age, and smoking; in the model also including physical activity and classic risk factors (A1C, LDL cholesterol, and hypertension); and in the model also including BMI, HDL cholesterol, and triglycerides. Moreover, when the models also included nontraditional risk factors (fibrinogen, oxidized LDL, hsCRP, VCAM-1, and adiponectin), the association between HOMA-IR and CVD remained significant and virtually unchanged (Table 3). With model 5, which also included nontraditional risk factors, the hazard ratios (HRs) for CVD in the different HOMA-IR quartiles were 1.0 (quartile 1, reference), 0.9 ([95% CI 0.5–1.5], quartile 2), 0.9 ([0.5–1.6], quartile 3), and 2.1 ([1.1–3.9], quartile 4) (P for trend = 0.005). Therefore, there was no dose-response relation but a clear binary relation. Accordingly, when HOMA-IR was used as a continuous variable, no significant association was found with HOMA-IR (in model 5 per 1 unit change in HOMA-IR the HR was 1.3 [0.9–1.7], NS).

Results did not change when we used systolic blood pressure instead of hypertension (model 5, HR 2.4 [95% CI 1.5–3.8], $P < 0.001$) or number of cigarettes/day instead of smoking (model 5, 2.5 [1.5–4.0], $P < 0.001$) or when waist circumference replaced BMI (model 5, 2.4 [1.5–3.8], $P < 0.001$) or the presence/absence of IFG/IGT/diabetes replaced A1C (model 5, 2.5 [1.5–4.0], $P < 0.001$).

Table 2—Simple and multiple-adjusted (partial) correlations of log$_e$-transformed HOMA-IR with selected variables

	Simple	Multiple adjusted
BMI	0.44 (0.001)	—
Waist	0.31 (<0.001)	0.07 (0.048)
A1C	0.26 (<0.001)	0.24 (<0.001)
LDL cholesterol	0.09 (0.012)	0.07 (0.037)
HDL cholesterol	−0.29 (<0.001)	−0.21 (<0.001)
Triglycerides	0.41 (<0.001)	0.38 (<0.001)
Systolic blood pressure	0.22 (<0.001)	0.07 (0.063)
Diastolic blood pressure	0.23 (<0.001)	0.04 (0.256)
hsCRP	0.19 (<0.001)	0.09 (0.012)
Fibrinogen	0.21 (<0.001)	0.12 (<0.001)
Oxidized LDL	0.09 (0.012)	0.08 (0.032)
VCAM-1	0.09 (0.009)	0.09 (0.011)
Adiponectin	−0.18 (<0.001)	−0.16 (<0.001)

Data are correlation coefficient (P value) for simple correlations and partial correlation coefficient (P value) for multiple-adjusted correlations. Multiple adjustment included sex, age, smoking, and BMI. $n = 839$.

Table 3—*Cox proportional HR for CVD in subjects of top quartile versus combined other quartiles of HOMA-IR after adjusting for different sets of potential confounding factors*

	n	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4	Model 5
All subjects	839	2.1 (1.4–3.1)*	2.0 (1.3–3.1)†	2.2 (1.4–3.4)*	2.2 (1.4–3.5)*	2.2 (1.4–3.6)*
Men	416	2.1 (1.2–3.7)†	1.8 (1.0–3.8)‡	2.3 (1.2–4.3)†	2.6 (1.3–5.0)†	2.6 (1.3–5.0)†
Women	423	2.0 (1.1–3.7)‡	2.5 (1.3–4.9)†	2.4 (1.1–5.0)‡	2.1 (1.0–4.5)‡	2.1 (1.0–4.5)‡
NFG/NGT	661	2.2 (1.2–3.8)†	2.1 (1.2–3.7)†	2.4 (1.3–4.3)†	2.4 (1.3–4.4)†	2.5 (1.4–4.6)†
IFG/IGT/diabetes	178	2.0 (1.0–4.1)	1.8 (0.8–4.1)	1.9 (0.8–4.5)	2.2 (0.9–5.6)	2.2 (0.9–5.5)
No diabetes	782	1.9 (1.2–3.0)†	1.8 (1.2–3.0)†	2.1 (1.3–3.4)†	2.2 (1.3–3.6)†	2.2 (1.3–3.7)†
Diabetes	57	5.3 (1.0–28.2)‡	5.7 (0.9–37.1)	10.0 (0.9–113)	12.8 (1.0–162)‡	17.2 (1.2–245)‡

Data are HR (95% CI). Model 1: sex, age, and smoking; model 2: model 1 + A1C, LDL cholesterol, hypertension, and physical activity; model 3: model 2 + BMI, triglycerides, and HDL cholesterol; model 4: model 3 + fibrinogen, hsCRP, oxizided LDL, and VCAM-1; model 5: model 4 + adiponectin. *$P < 0.001$; †$P < 0.01$; ‡$P < 0.05$. NFG, normal fasting glucose; NGT, normal glucose tolerance.

When subjects were stratified according to sex, results were confirmed separately in men and women (Table 3). Results were also confirmed separately in those with normal fasting glucose and normal glucose after an oral glucose tolerance test and in those with abnormal glucose regulation (IFG, IGT, or diabetes), as well as in those without and with type 2 diabetes (Table 3). Moreover, the introduction of an interaction term between insulin resistance (HOMA-IR quartile 4) and abnormal glucose regulation (IFG/IGT/diabetes) in a model including these variables yielded similar results, and the interaction term was not significant (model 5, $P = 0.63$).

Results were similar when subjects developing TIA or undergoing revascularization where excluded and when the analysis was restricted to those with fatal and nonfatal myocardial infarction or stroke (model 5, HR 2.2 [95% CI 1.3–3.9], $P = 0.006$). In subjects with insulin resistance the 15-year risks of CVD were 17.5 and 35%, according to the absence or presence of diabetes, respectively ($P = 0.02$ for difference).

When we used insulin rather than HOMA-IR results were quite similar. The HR for CVD in subjects belonging to the top versus the other three quartiles of fasting plasma insulin was 2.0 ([95% CI 1.2–3.2], $P < 0.005$) in model 5. When insulin was modeled as a continuous variable, no association was found with CVD (model 5, per 1 unit change of insulin level, 1.3 [0.99–1.02], $P = 0.5$).

CONCLUSIONS — The main finding of this study is that insulin resistance, as estimated by a simple method based on the measurement of plasma glucose and serum insulin in a single fasting blood sample, was associated with incident symptomatic CVD in a cohort extracted from a population with a low prevalence of diabetes and obesity. The association of insulin resistance with CVD was independent of classic risk factors (including hyperglycemia, hypertension, high LDL cholesterol, smoking, and physical activity) and of other components of the metabolic syndrome (obesity, hypertriglyceridemia, and low HDL cholesterol). Most importantly and originally, the association remained significant and virtually unchanged after accounting for novel risk factors related to insulin resistance, including adiponectin and biomarkers indicating a prothrombotic state (high fibrinogen), increased oxidative stress (high circulating oxidized LDL), endothelial dysfunction (high VCAM-1), and chronic mild inflammation (increased hsCRP).

In previous articles, it was reported that several nontraditional risk factors are related to insulin resistance (17–20). In the present article, we confirm and extend these observations. Nontraditional risk factors might represent further links (or intermediate phenotypes) between insulin resistance and CVD. Accordingly, in vitro and in vivo data suggest that insulin reduces platelet aggregation (10) and fibrinogen synthesis (12), possesses anti-inflammatory and antioxidant properties (13,14), and favorably influences the endothelial function and the physiology of the vascular wall (11,15,16). If we assume that insulin resistance is not confined to glucose metabolism but encompasses many, if not all, biological effects of the hormone, these effects of insulin would be blunted in insulin-resistant states. Insulin resistance, therefore, might be viewed as a common denominator and perhaps a cofactor of several metabolic and nonmetabolic disorders representing cardiovascular risk factors. This mechanistic interpretation is strongly supported

by studies reporting that an improvement in insulin resistance yields a correction of diverse metabolic abnormalities. This has been observed with lifestyle changes (40,41), as well as with chronic treatment with drugs such as metformin (42) and, to a greater extent, glitazones (43). Interestingly, metformin was the only pharmacological agent achieving a significant prevention of CVD in the UK Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) (44), and glitazones have been shown to reduce carotid intima-media thickness (45) and to prevent coronary restenosis after angioplasty (46) and perhaps reduce risk for CVD in type 2 diabetes (32).

In this article, we report the novel observation that, although it is statistically and perhaps causally related to diverse novel metabolic and nonmetabolic abnormalities, insulin resistance remains independently associated with incident CVD even after accounting for them. The probable interpretation of this finding is that insulin resistance contributes to the development of CVD by pathophysiological mechanisms that are, at least in part, distinct from those that we tried to gauge in the present study. For instance, we did not measure plasminogen activator inhibitor-1. Fibrinolytic abnormalities might be a major link between insulin resistance and CVD (47). Other intermediates in the link might be free fatty acids, which are higher in subjects with insulin resistance (48), impair endothelial function (49), and predict CVD (50). A further intermediate abnormality might be proinsulin, which is generally increased in insulin-resistant states (51) and is related to CVD (52).

Further, we recognize that a single assessment of a given biochemical or clinical parameter might be insufficient to fully describe its association with insulin resistance and CVD and that more accu-

rate assessments of risk factor (e.g., long-term blood pressure monitoring instead of three spot measurements) or the choice of other parameters reflecting endothelial dysfunction, oxidative stress, or chronic inflammation may better identify their possible role as intermediates between insulin resistance and CVD. Therefore, the hypothesis that insulin resistance might also lead to CVD through its deleterious impact on glucose and lipid metabolism, blood pressure, coagulation abnormalities, inflammation, oxidant stress, and endothelial dysfunction cannot be definitely ruled out.

The present results on the association between insulin resistance and CVD agree with data generated by using our same methodology for estimating insulin resistance (i.e., HOMA) in large samples from the general populations of the U.S. (23,26,31), Finland (22), and Sweden (25). They also are consistent with reports in which insulin sensitivity was more directly assessed with the glucose clamp (29) and the insulin suppression test (21). Of note, our findings extend and strengthen these observations and, for the first time, point out that the association between insulin resistance and CVD is also confirmed when one allows for a greater number of potential confounders, including adiponectin and biomarkers of thrombophilia, inflammation, oxidant stress, and endothelial dysfunction.

The increased cardiovascular risk in subjects with insulin resistance was observed separately in those with and without diabetes. In the latter, however, the HRs increased across the various models and the 95% CIs were broad. This finding is reasonably attributable to the small number of events and sample size relative to the number of variables in the model. The increased risk in diabetic subjects with higher HOMA-IR scores is consistent with results we have found in a study focusing on a large number of patients (24) and in diabetic subjects recruited in the Veterans Affairs HDL Intervention Trial (VA-HIT) (26). Also they are consistent with data generated by insulin tolerance testing in a large sample of Japanese type 2 diabetic patients (28).

In two studies carried out in nondiabetic American Indians (27) and in diabetic Caucasians (30), HOMA-IR was not a predictor of CVD. These discrepancies with all other studies, including ours, might be attributed to differences in the study population, in the statistical methods (in these studies HOMA-IR was modeled as a continuous variable), and to the imperfect estimate of insulin resistance by HOMA. In other words, in some situations HOMA might underestimate the true association between insulin resistance and CVD. In our experience, however, the variability of clamp-measured insulin resistance explained by HOMA-IR was 65% (37). On the other hand, from the clinical perspective, HOMA-IR has the potential to be useful, whereas glucose clamp or other more direct methods are not suitable.

In the discussion of future applications in clinical routine, a suggestion from our study is that insulin resistance might reasonably be included among the metabolic parameters that the physician should evaluate to quantify the overall cardiovascular risk. However, before giving this measure a strong recommendation, further studies are required to confirm our findings and to prove that adding HOMA-IR (or other surrogate measures of insulin resistance) to clinical testing indeed improves prediction accuracy. Moreover, before translation of our results to clinical practice, a standardization of insulin assay and, therefore, HOMA-IR is warranted.

In a mechanistic interpretation of our results, insulin resistance might be reasonably considered among targets for a specific intervention, and the population might be strongly recommended to adopt a lifestyle capable of ameliorating insulin sensitivity. For instance, physical exercise, which was proved to successfully improve insulin sensitivity (53), should be encouraged. In this regard, it might be hypothesized that the lower CVD risk observed in subjects who are less sedentary or who exercise regularly (54) could be attributed also to their higher insulin sensitivity.

In summary, in a general population sample, insulin resistance conferred a greater risk for CVD independently of diverse potential confounders, including traditional and novel risk factors. The identification of intermediate abnormalities linking insulin resistance to CVD deserves further studies. Improvement of insulin sensitivity might be an additional goal in prevention of cardiovascular risk.

References

1. Bonora E, Kiechl S, Willeit J, Oberhollenzer F, Egger G, Meigs JB, Bonadonna RC, Muggeo M: Population-based incidence rates and risk factors for type 2 diabetes in white individuals: the Bruneck Study. *Diabetes* 53:1782–1789, 2004
2. Weyer C, Bogardus C, Mott DM, Pratley RE: The natural history of insulin secretory dysfunction and insulin resistance in the pathogenesis of type 2 diabetes mellitus. *J Clin Invest* 104:787–794, 1999
3. Reaven GM: Banting Lecture 1988: Role of insulin resistance in human disease. *Diabetes* 37:1595–1607, 1988
4. Bonora E, Kiechl S, Willeit J, Oberhollenzer F, Egger G, Targher G, Alberiche M, Bonadonna RC, Muggeo M: Prevalence of insulin resistance in metabolic disorders: the Bruneck Study. *Diabetes* 47:1643–1649, 1998
5. Zavaroni I, Bonora E, Pagliara M, Dall'Aglio E, Luchetti L, Buonanno G, Bonati PA, Bergonzani M, Gnudi L, Passeri M, Reaven G: Risk factors for coronary artery disease in healthy persons with hyperinsulinemia and normal glucose tolerance. *N Engl J Med* 320:703–706, 1989
6. Eckel RH, Grundy SM, Zimmet PZ: The metabolic syndrome. *Lancet* 365:1415–1428, 2005
7. Laakso M, Sarlund H, Salonen R, Suhonen M, Pyorala K, Salonen JT, Karhapaa P: Asymptomatic atherosclerosis and insulin resistance. *Arterioscler Thromb* 11:1068–1076, 1991
8. Bressler P, Bailey SR, Matsuda M, DeFronzo RA: Insulin resistance and coronary heart disease. *Diabetologia* 39:1345–1350, 1996
9. Bonora E, Tessari R, Micciolo R, Zenere M, Targher G, Padovani R, Falezza G, Muggeo M: Intimal-medial thickness of the carotid artery in nondiabetic and non-insulin-dependent diabetic subjects: relationship with insulin resistance. *Diabetes Care* 20:627–631, 1997
10. Trovati M, Anfossi G, Cavalot F, Massucco P, Mularoni E, Emanuelli G: Insulin directly reduces platelet sensitivity to aggregating agents: studies in vitro and in vivo. *Diabetes* 37:780–786, 1988
11. Kahn AM, Allen JC, Seidel CL, Zhang S: Insulin inhibits migration of vascular smooth muscle cells with inducible nitric oxide synthase. *Hypertension* 35:303–306, 2000
12. De Feo PP, Gaisano MG, Haymond MW: Differential effects of insulin deficiency on albumin and fibrinogen synthesis in hu-

mans. *J Clin Invest* 88:833–840, 1991

13. Jeschke MG, Klein D, Bolder U, Einspanier R: Insulin attenuates the systemic inflammatory response in endotoxemic rats. *Endocrinology* 145:4084–4093, 2004

14. Dandona P, Mohanty P, Chaudhuri A, Garg R, Aljada A: Insulin infusion in acute illness. *J Clin Invest* 115:2069–2072, 2005

15. Federici M, Menghini R, Mauriello A, Hribal ML, Ferrelli F, Lauro D, Sbraccia P, Spagnoli LG, Sesti G, Lauro R: Insulin-dependent activation of eNOS is impaired by O-linked-glycosylation modification of signaling proteins in human coronary endothelial cells. *Circulation* 106:466–472, 2002

16. Kuboki K, Jiang ZY, Takahara N, Ha SW, Igarashi M, Yamauchi T, Feener EP, Herbert TP, Rhodes CJ, King GL: Regulation of endothelial constitutive nitric oxide synthase gene expression in endothelial cells and in vivo: a specific vascular action of insulin. *Circulation* 101:676–681, 2000

17. Bonora E, Kiechl S, Willeit J, Oberhollenzer F, Egger G, Bonadonna R, Muggeo M: The metabolic syndrome: epidemiology and more extensive phenotypic description: cross-sectional data from the Bruneck Study. *Int J Obes* 27:1283–1289, 2003

18. Hak AE, Pols HA, Stehouwer CD, Mejer J, Kiliaan AJ, Hofman A, Bretler MM, Witteman JC: Markers of inflammation and cellular adhesion molecules in relation to insulin resistance in nondiabetic elderly: the Rotterdam Study. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 86:4398–4405, 2001

19. Carantoni M, Abbasi F, Warmerdam F, Klebanov M, Wang PW, Chen YD, Azhar S, Reaven GM: Relationship between insulin resistance and partially oxidized LDL particles in healthy, nondiabetic volunteers. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 18:762–767, 1998

20. Weyer C, Funahashi T, Tanaka S, Hotta K, Matsuzawa Y, Pratley RE, Tataranni A: Hypoadiponectinemia in obesity and type 2 diabetes: close association with insulin resistance and hyperinsulinemia. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 86:1930–1935, 2001

21. Yip J, Facchini F, Reaven GM: Resistance to insulin mediated glucose disposal as a predictor of cardiovascular disease. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 83:2773–2776, 1998

22. Isomaa B, Almgren P, Tuomi T, Forsen B, Lahti K, Nissen M, Taskinen MR, Groop L: Cardiovascular morbidity and mortality associated with metabolic syndrome. *Diabetes Care* 24:683–689, 2001

23. Hanley AJG, Williams K, Stern MP, Haffner SM: Homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance in relation to the incidence of cardiovascular disease: the San Antonio Heart Study. *Diabetes Care* 25:1177–1184, 2002

24. Bonora E, Formentini G, Calcaterra F, Lombardi S, Marini F, Zenari L, Saggiani F, Poli M, Perbellini S, Raffaelli A, Cacciatori V, Santi L, Targher G, Bonadonna RC, Muggeo M: HOMA-estimated insulin resistance is an independent predictor of cardiovascular disease in type 2 diabetic subjects: Prospective data from the Verona Diabetes Complications Study. *Diabetes Care* 25:1135–1141, 2002

25. Hedblad B, Nilsson P, Engstrom G, Berglund G, Janzon L: Insulin resistance in non-diabetic subjects is associated with increased incidence of myocardial infarction and death. *Diabet Med* 19:470–475, 2002

26. Robins SJ, Bloomfield Rubins H, Faas FH, Schaefer EJ, Elam MB, Anderson JW, Collins D, the VA-HIT Study Group: Insulin resistance and cardiovascular events with low HDL cholesterol: the Veteran Affairs HDL Intervention Trial (VA-HIT). *Diabetes Care* 26:1513–1517, 2003

27. Resnick HE, Jones K, Ruotolo G, Jain AK, Henderson J, Lu W, Howard BV: Insulin resistance, the metabolic syndrome and risk of incident cardiovascular disease in nondiabetic American Indians: the Strong Heart Study. *Diabetes Care* 26:861–867, 2003

28. Matsumoto K, Sera Y, Abe Y, Ueki Y, Tominaga T, Miyake S: Inflammation and insulin resistance are independently related to all-cause and cardiovascular events in Japanese patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Atherosclerosis* 169:317–321, 2003

29. Zethelius B, Lithell H, Hales CN, Berne C: Insulin sensitivity, proinsulin and insulin as predictors of coronary heart disease: a population-based 10-yr, follow-up study in 70-year-old men using the euglycemic glucose clamp. *Diabetologia* 48:862–867, 2005

30. Adler AI, Levy JC, Matthews DR, Stratton IM, Hines G, Holman RR: Insulin sensitivity at diagnosis of type 2 diabetes is not associated with subsequent cardiovascular disease (UKPDS 67). *Diabet Med* 22:306–311, 2005

31. Rutter MK, Meigs JB, Sullivan LM, D'Agostino RB Sr, Wilson PW: Insulin resistance, the metabolic syndrome, and incident cardiovascular events in the Framingham Offspring Study. *Diabetes* 54:3252–3257, 2005

32. Dormandy JA, Charbonnel B, Eckland DJ, Erdmann E, Massi-Benedetti M, Moules IK, Skene AM, Tan MH, Lefebvre PJ, Murray GD, Standl E, Wilcox RG, Wilhelmsen L, Betteridge J, Birkeland K, Golay A, Heine RJ, Koranyi L, Laakso M, Mokan M, Norkus A, Pirags V, Podar T, Scheen A, Scherbaum W, Schernthaner G, Schmitz O, Skrha J, Smith U, Taton J, the PROACTIVE Investigators: Secondary prevention of macrovascular events in patients with type 2 diabetes in the PROactive Study (PROspective pioglitAzone Clinical Trial In macroVascular Events): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 366:1279–1289, 2005

33. Willeit J, Kiechl S: Prevalence and risk factors of asymptomatic extra-cranial carotid artery atherosclerosis: a population-based study. *Arterioscler Thromb* 13:661–668, 1993

34. Bonora E, Kiechl S, Willeit J, Oberhollenzer F, Egger G, Bonadonna R, Muggeo M: Carotid atherosclerosis and coronary heart disease in the metabolic syndrome: prospective data from the Bruneck Study. *Diabetes Care* 26:1251–1257, 2003

35. Kiechl S, Lorenz E, Reindl M, Wiedermann CJ, Oberhollenzer F, Bonora E, Willeit J, Schwartz DA: Toll-like receptor 4 polymorphisms and atherogenesis. *N Engl J Med* 347:185–192, 2002

36. Matthews DR, Hosker JP, Rudenski AS, Naylor BA, Treacher DF, Turner RC: Homeostasis model assessment: insulin resistance and beta-cell function from fasting plasma glucose and insulin concentrations in man. *Diabetologia* 28:412–419, 1985

37. Bonora E, Targher G, Alberiche M, Bonadonna RC, Saggiani F, Zenere MB, Monauni T, Muggeo M: Homeostasis model assessment closely mirrors the glucose clamp technique in the assessment of insulin sensitivity: studies in subjects with various degree of glucose tolerance and insulin sensitivity. *Diabetes Care* 23:57–63, 2000

38. *Report of the Fifth Working Group.* IHD Register, Copenhagen, 1971

39. Walker A, Robins M, Weinfeld F: The National Survey of Stroke: clinical findings. *Stroke* 12 (Suppl. 1):13–49, 1981

40. O'Dea K: Marked improvement in carbohydrate and lipid metabolism in diabetic Australian Aborigines after temporary reversion to traditional lifestyle. *Diabetes* 33:596–603, 1984

41. Ziccardi P, Nappo F, Giugliano G, Esposito K, Marfella R, Cioffi M, D'Andrea F, Molinari AM, Giugliano D: Reduction of inflammatory cytokine concentration and improvement of endothelial functions in obese women after weight loss for one year. *Circulation* 105:804–809, 2002

42. Nagi DK, Yudkin JS: Effects of metformin on insulin resistance, risk factors for cardiovascular disease, and plasminogen activator inhibitor in NIDDM subjects: a study of two ethnic groups. *Diabetes Care* 16:621–629, 1993

43. Parulkar AA, Pendergrass ML, Granda-Ayala R, Lee TR, Fonseca VA: Nonhypoglycemic effects of thiazolidinediones. *Ann Intern Med* 134:61–71, 2001

44. UK Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) Group: Effect of intensive blood glucose control with metformin on complications in overweight patients with type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 34). *Lancet* 352:854–865, 1998

45. Langenfeld MR, Forst T, Hohberg C, Kann P, Lubben G, Konrad T, Fullert

SD, Sachara C, Pfutzner A: Pioglitazone decreases carotid intima-media thickness independently of glycemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: results from a controlled randomized study. *Circulation* 111:2525–2531, 2005

46. Choi D, Kim SK, Choi SE, Ko YG, Ahn CW, Jang Y, Lim SK, Lee HC, Cha BS: Preventive effect of rosiglitazone on restenosis after coronary stent implantation in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 27:2654–2660, 2004

47. Nagi DK, Tracy R, Pratley R: Relationship of hepatic and peripheral insulin resistance with plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 in Pima Indians. *Metabolism* 45:1243–1247, 1996

48. Bonadonna R, Bonora E: Glucose and free fatty acid metabolism in human obesity: relationship with insulin resistance. *Diabetes Rev* 5:21–51, 1997

49. Steinberg HO, Baron AD: Vascular function, insulin resistance and fatty acids. *Diabetologia* 45:623–634, 2002

50. Jouven X, Charles MA, Desnos M, Ducimetière P: Circulating nonesterified fatty acid level as predictive risk factor for sudden death in the population. *Circulation* 104:756–761, 2001

51. Phillips DIW, Clark PM, Hales CN, Osmond C: Understanding oral glucose tolerance: comparison of glucose or insulin measurements during the oral glucose tolerance test with specific measurements of insulin resistance and insulin secretion. *Diabet Med* 11:286–292, 1994

52. Yudkin JS, May M, Elwood P, Yarnell JW, Greenwood R, Davey Smith G: Concentrations of proinsulin-like molecules predict coronary heart disease risk independently of insulin: prospective data from the Caerphilly study. *Diabetologia* 45:327–336, 2002

53. Short KR, Vittone JL, Bigelow ML, Proctor DN, Rizza RA, Coenen-Schimke JM, Nair KS: Impact of aerobic exercise training on age-related changes in insulin sensitivity and muscle oxidative capacity. *Diabetes* 52:1888–1896, 2003

54. Oguma Y, Shinoda-Tagawa T: Physical activity decreases cardiovascular disease risk in women: review and meta-analysis. *Am J Prev Med* 26:407–418, 2004