

## OBSERVATIONS

## Association Between Vitamin D Receptor Genotype and Age of Onset in Juvenile Japanese Patients With Type 1 Diabetes

1,25-dihydroxyvitamin D<sub>3</sub> [1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D<sub>3</sub>] not only regulates calcium metabolism but also modulates the immune system. Some reports have suggested that 1,25(OH)<sub>2</sub>D<sub>3</sub> helps to prevent the development of type 1 diabetes. The association between the vitamin D receptor (VDR) genotype and susceptibility to type 1 diabetes has been examined, but a definitive conclusion has not yet been reached (1,2). We examined the VDR genotype in juvenile Japanese patients with type 1 diabetes.

A total of 108 diabetic patients (41 boys and 67 girls, age of onset 0.4–18 years with a median age of 8.9) and 120 nonrelated nondiabetic subjects were studied. Three polymorphic restriction fragment–length polymorphisms (RFLPs), i.e., Fok I, ApaI, and TaqI, were genotyped by PCR-RFLP method. The genotype or allele frequencies were compared statistically by the  $\chi^2$  test. The significance of differences in each genotype for the age of onset was tested with the Mann-Whitney *U* test.

Among the patients, the FF (*n* = 50) and tt (*n* = 5) genotypes were found relatively frequently, and aa (*n* = 46) was infrequent compared with those in control subjects, but these differences were not statistically significant (*P* = 0.14, 0.18, and 0.38 for FF, tt, and aa genotypes, respectively). There was also no significant difference in the allele frequency of each polymorphism, although the incidence of the F allele tended to be higher in the diabetic patients (*P* = 0.051). Concerning the age of onset of diabetes, patients with the ff genotype (*n* = 12, median 5.2 years, range 1.7–11.0) were significantly younger at onset than those with FF (*n* = 50, 9.7 years, 0.4–15.9, *P* = 0.01) or Ff (*n* = 46, 8.9 years, 0.9–18.0, *P* = 0.03). No significant

association was observed between the TaqI or ApaI genotype and the age of onset.

The ff genotype has been reported to be associated with a lower expression of VDR mRNA and reduced inhibition of phytohemagglutinin-stimulated growth of peripheral blood mononuclear cells. Thus, the Fok I genotype may influence the rate of the progression of insulinitis by modifying the autoimmune process, which may have led to the significant difference in the age of onset. The relatively high frequency of the F allele in diabetic patients, which has also been found in Japanese adult diabetic patients (2), is apparently inconsistent with this observation. A possible explanation is that the impact of Fok I polymorphism may not be strong enough to prevent the progression of autoimmune insulinitis into overt diabetes and thus does not influence susceptibility to the disease itself.

In conclusion, VDR gene polymorphism does not appear to have a strong enough impact to clearly influence susceptibility to the disease itself, but Fok I polymorphism might influence the age of onset of diabetes in juvenile Japanese diabetic patients.

ICHIRO YOKOTA, MD<sup>1</sup>  
SHIGEKO SATOMURA, MD<sup>1</sup>  
SEIKO KITAMURA, MD<sup>1</sup>  
YUMIKO TAKI, MD<sup>1</sup>  
ETSUO NAITO, MD<sup>1</sup>  
MICHINORI ITO, MD<sup>1</sup>  
KAHORU NISISHO, MD<sup>2</sup>  
YASUHIRO KURODA, MD<sup>1</sup>

From the <sup>1</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Tokushima University School of Medicine, Tokushima, Japan; and the <sup>2</sup>Department of Pediatrics, National Kagawa Children's Hospital, Zentsuji, Japan.

Address correspondence to Ichiro Yokota, Department of Pediatrics, Tokushima University School of Medicine, Kuramoto-cho 3, Tokushima 770-8503, Japan. E-mail: yichiro@clin.med.tokushima-u.ac.jp.

### References

1. Pani MA, Knapp M, Donner H, Braun J, Baur MP, Usadel KH, Badenhop K: Vitamin D receptor allele combinations influence genetic susceptibility to type 1 diabetes in Germans. *Diabetes* 49:504–507, 2000
2. Ban Y, Taniyama M, Yanagawa T, Yamada S, Maruyama T, Kasuga A, Ban Y: Vitamin

D receptor initiation codon polymorphism influences genetic susceptibility to type 1 diabetes mellitus in the Japanese population. *BMC Med Genet* 2:7, 2001

## The Cost of Self-Monitoring of Blood Glucose Is an Important Factor Limiting Glycemic Control in Diabetic Patients

Maintenance of near normoglycemia can delay or prevent microvascular complications, but it cannot be carried out without a program of patient education, including self-monitoring of blood glucose (SMBG) (1,2). Motivation toward SMBG depends on several ill-defined factors, and there is no consensus on the effectiveness of SMBG in diabetes management (3–6).

We undertook a single-blinded, control-matched, longitudinal study of patients with insulin-requiring diabetes (*n* = 62) to examine barriers to SMBG and determine whether eliminating the cost barrier would increase SMBG frequency and glycemic control. Eligibility criteria were insulin treatment with at least two injections/day for at least 1 year (1), HbA<sub>1c</sub> >120% of upper limit of normal (2), and recent diabetes education (3). The patients completed questionnaires reporting their habitual SMBG frequency, perceived barriers to SMBG, monthly income, and any private health insurance plans to verify coverage for glucometer reagents. They were randomly assigned in a patient-blinded fashion to two groups of 31 patients each, matched for age, sex, education, income, private health insurance coverage, diabetes type, diabetes duration, number of years on insulin, habitual SMBG frequency, random blood glucose, HbA<sub>1c</sub>, and number of daily insulin injections. They were asked to participate in the study over a period of 12 months, with second monthly visits to the research nurse, and they were instructed in the use of the glucometer DEX (Bayer, Etobicoke, Canada), but they were not

given any information on how frequently they should self-monitor. A glucometer and 50 strips were supplied to one group of patients (control or C group), who were instructed to purchase additional strips as needed. A glucometer and 100 strips/month were given to the second group (no-cost or NC group). At each visit, random blood glucose and HbA<sub>1c</sub> were measured, familiarity with the glucometer was checked, and the glucometer memory was downloaded using a computer software program (WinGlucofacts; Bayer, Elkhart, IN). No feedback was provided to the patient. Because of the small number of patients and the similar representation of diabetes types in both groups, the data were combined for statistical analysis.

At entry, patients indicated that they were not self-monitoring more often because testing was not convenient (47%), strips were too expensive (31%), they could feel their own blood glucose without testing (21%), testing was too painful (14%), or testing did not help (10%). Totals of 16 and 25 patients in the C and NC groups, respectively, completed the study (dropout rates of 48 and 19%, respectively). At the end of the study, the remaining patients indicated that testing was not convenient (29%), they could feel their own blood glucose without testing (20%), testing was too painful (17%), strips were too expensive (10%), or testing did not help (7%). The stated reasons were not significantly different between groups.

Glucometer-recorded SMBG frequency increased with time and was higher in the NC than in the C group ( $2.0 \pm 0.2$  vs.  $1.4 \pm 0.1$  during the first 4 months,  $P < 0.05$ ). Insulin dose increased  $\sim 1.5$ -fold in the C group ( $58.5 \pm 6.9$  to  $75.1 \pm 12.1$  unit/day,  $P < 0.05$ ) but not in the NC group ( $52.5 \pm 3.0$  to  $52.6 \pm 3.4$  units/day). HbA<sub>1c</sub> initially decreased in both groups and then increased in the C group, and final HbA<sub>1c</sub> was lower in the NC than in the C group ( $8.7 \pm 0.3$  vs.  $9.9 \pm 1.1\%$ ,  $P < 0.01$ ). Average blood glucose at the end of the study was also lower in the NC than in the C group ( $205.2 \pm 10.6$  vs.  $252.0 \pm 39.6$  mg/dl,  $P < 0.05$ ).

Thus, although inconvenience was the main reported barrier to SMBG, cost was an important factor, perhaps explaining the higher dropout rate in the C than in the NC group. The simple strategy of

supplying free strips increased compliance with SMBG and enhanced diabetes self-management. Overall, patients who were given free strips had lower HbA<sub>1c</sub> and average blood glucose and insulin doses versus control subjects.

B.L. GREGOIRE NYOMBA, MD, PHD  
LORI BERARD, RN, CDE  
LIAM J. MURPHY, MB, FRCPC

From the Diabetes Research Group, Department of Internal Medicine, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Canada.

Address correspondence to B.L.G. Nyomba, MD, PhD, Health Sciences Centre, 820 Sherbrook St., Room GG449, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada R3A 1R9. E-mail: bnyomba@cc.umanitoba.ca.

This study was supported by Bayer and by a grant from the Canadian Diabetes Association.

The authors thank Linda Tang and Tracy Sadowy for assistance with volunteer recruitment.

#### References

1. The Diabetes Control and Complications Trial Research Group: The effect of intensive treatment of diabetes on the development and progression of long-term complications in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *N Engl J Med* 329:977–986, 1993
2. U.K. Prospective Diabetes Study Group: Intensive blood-glucose control with sulphonylureas or insulin compared with conventional treatment and risk of complications in patients with type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 33). *Lancet* 352:837–853, 1998
3. Evans JMM, Newton RW, Ruta DA, MacDonald TM, Stevenson RJ, Morris AD: Frequency of blood glucose monitoring in relation to glycaemic control: observational study with diabetes. *Br Med J* 319: 83–86, 1999
4. Faas A, Schellevis FG, Van Eijk JT: The efficacy of self-monitoring of blood glucose in NIDDM subjects: a criteria-based literature review. *Diabetes Care* 20:1482–1486, 1997
5. Karter AJ, Ferrara A, Darbinian JA, Ackerson LM, Selby JV: Self-monitoring of blood glucose: language and financial barriers in a managed care population with diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 23:477–483, 2000
6. Harris MI: Frequency of blood glucose monitoring in relation to glycemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 24:979–982, 2001

## Association Between Plasma Thrombin-Activatable Fibrinolysis Inhibitor Levels and Activated Protein C in Normotensive Type 2 Diabetic Patients

Hypofibrinolysis is a common finding in patients with diabetes and a risk factor for the occurrence of micro- and macroangiopathy (1–3). Recently, a new potent inhibitor of fibrinolysis, the thrombin-activatable fibrinolysis inhibitor (TAFI) was isolated from human plasma (4). It has been reported that the plasma levels of TAFI are increased in diabetic patients, and it may play an important role in the mechanism of hypofibrinolysis observed in these patients (5).

Activated protein C (APC) is a serine protease that inhibits thrombin formation by proteolytically inactivating factors Va and VIIIa and by stimulating fibrinolysis (6,7). Thrombin stimulates the conversion of TAFI in its active form. APC may indirectly promote fibrinolysis by inhibiting thrombin generation and by inhibiting the action of plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 (7,8). Both TAFI and APC are regulated by thrombin-thrombomodulin complex on the plasma membrane of endothelium (6). This mechanism appears to be important for controlling the balance between coagulation and fibrinolysis in diabetic patients. In the present study, we investigated the plasma levels of TAFI and its relationship with APC in normotensive type 2 diabetic patients.

Forty normotensive (<140/90 mmHg) nonobese type 2 diabetic patients (28 men and 12 women, aged  $54.7 \pm 1.8$  years [means  $\pm$  SE], BMI  $22.5 \pm 0.4$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>, diabetes duration  $9.1 \pm 1.1$  years, systolic blood pressure  $129.1 \pm 2.1$  mmHg, diastolic blood pressure  $77.0 \pm 1.6$  mmHg, fasting blood glucose levels  $8.59 \pm 0.32$  mmol/l, and HbA<sub>1c</sub>  $9.1 \pm 0.3\%$ ) with normal hepatic function and without any medication that may influence blood coagulation profile were enrolled in the present study. There were 30 patients with normoalbuminuria (albumin excretion rate  $8.6 \pm 0.6$   $\mu$ g/min) and 10 with microalbuminuria ( $47.6 \pm 6.9$

μg/min). No patient had cardiovascular autonomic neuropathy. Twenty six patients were being treated with diet therapy alone, 14 with oral hypoglycemic agents, but none with thiazolidine. Twenty age-matched nonobese healthy individuals (16 men and 4 women) were used as control subjects.

The plasma levels of TAFI were measured using a commercially available EIA kit (TAFI-EIA; Kordia Laboratory Supplies, Leiden, the Netherlands) (5). APC-PCI complex, a marker of ongoing protein C (PC) activation, was measured by enzyme-linked immunoassay as described (9). PC antigen was measured by solid-phase immunoassay as described (9). Total protein S (PS), which is a cofactor for activation of PC, was measured as reported (9). The plasma levels of the thrombin-antithrombin complex (TAT) were measured by EIA method as described (9). The plasma levels of D-dimer (DD) were measured by a commercial EIA kit (D-dimer test-F; Kokusai-Shiyaku, Kobe, Japan).

The ratio between the plasma concentrations of DD and TAT complex (DD/TAT), an index of fibrinolytic activity, was significantly decreased in diabetic patients compared with healthy subjects ( $15.3 \pm 1.3$  vs.  $26.5 \pm 2.2$ ,  $P < 0.05$ ). The plasma levels of TAFI were significantly higher ( $139.1 \pm 10.3$  vs.  $99.5 \pm 4.9\%$ ,  $P < 0.05$ ) in diabetic patients than in normal subjects. The plasma levels of APC-PCI were significantly higher ( $3.36 \pm 0.28$  vs.  $2.17 \pm 0.48$  pmol/l,  $P < 0.05$ ) in diabetic patients than in normal subjects. The plasma levels of TAFI were positively and significantly correlated with the plasma levels of APC-PCI ( $r = 0.53$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ) in diabetic patients. There was significant correlation between the plasma levels of TAFI and PS in diabetic patients ( $r = 0.50$ ,  $P < 0.005$ ). There was no significant correlation between TAFI and PC antigen levels ( $r = 0.04$ ).

The thrombomodulin-thrombin complex formed on the plasma membrane of endothelium exerts anticoagulant activity by catalyzing the conversion of PC to activated APC, which inhibits activation of blood coagulation (6,7). On the other hand, this thrombomodulin-thrombin complex may also promote coagulation by activating TAFI (6). Activated TAFI inhibits fibrinolysis by removing COOH-terminal lysine residues from

fibrin. Lysine residues are high affinity binding sites for plasminogen, which is a precursor of plasmin, the key serine protease for fibrinolysis (10). In the present study, the DD/TAT ratio was significantly decreased in diabetic patients compared with healthy control subjects, suggesting the occurrence of hypofibrinolysis in diabetes. This decrease in fibrinolytic activity may be related to the increase in the plasma levels of TAFI.

Interestingly, the circulating levels of TAFI were significantly correlated with those of APC-PCI complex, a marker of APC generation. It has been reported that APC improves decrease of fibrinolytic activity induced by TAFI in vitro (11,12). The fact that circulating levels of TAFI and APC-PCI complex are significantly correlated suggests that APC may promote fibrinolysis in diabetic patients by modulating the action of TAFI. However, the significant decrease of DD/TAT in diabetic patients compared with control subjects suggests that APC may not be sufficient for suppressing the decrease in fibrinolytic activity in diabetes.

This insufficient activity of APC may be due to an imbalance between the thrombomodulin-mediated activity of both TAFI and PC in favor of the former. In brief, PC activation may be important for the regulation of TAFI-induced hypofibrinolysis in diabetes.

YUTAKA YANO, MD  
ESTEBAN C. GABAZZA, MD  
YASUKO HORI, MD  
NAGAKO KITAGAWA, MD  
AKIRA KATSUKI, MD  
RIKA ARAKI-SASAKI, MD  
YASUHIRO SUMIDA, MD  
YUKIHIKO ADACHI, MD

From the Third Department of Internal Medicine, Mie University School of Medicine, Tsu, Mie, Japan.

Address correspondence to Dr. Yutaka Yano, Third Department of Internal Medicine, Mie University School of Medicine, Edobashi 2-174, Tsu, Mie 514-8507, Japan. E-mail: yanoyuta@clin.medic.mie-u.ac.jp.

References

1. Fuller JH, Keen H, Jarrett RJ, Omer T, Meade TW, Chakrabarti R, North WR, Stirling Y: Haemostatic variables associated with diabetes and its complications. *Br Med J* 2:964-966, 1979
2. Christe M, Fritschi J, Lämmlle B, Tran TH, Marbet GA, Berger W, Duckert F: Fifteen coagulation and fibrinolysis parameters in

- diabetes mellitus and in patients with vasculopathy. *Thromb Haemost* 52:138-143, 1984
3. Kannel WB, D'Agostino RB, Wilson PWF, Belanger AJ, Gagnon DR: Diabetes, fibrinogen and risk of cardiovascular disease: the Framingham experience. *Am Heart J* 120:672-676, 1990
4. Bajzar L, Manuel R, Nesheim ME: Purification and characterization of TAFI, a thrombin-activatable fibrinolysis inhibitor. *J Biol Chem* 270:14477-14484, 1995
5. Hori Y, Gabazza EC, Yano Y, Katsuki A, Suzuki K, Adachi Y, Sumida Y: Insulin resistance is associated with increased circulating level of thrombin-activatable fibrinolysis inhibitor in type 2 diabetic patients. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 87:660-665, 2002
6. Bajzar L: Thrombin activatable fibrinolysis inhibitor and an antifibrinolytic pathway. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 20:2511-2518, 2000
7. Esmon CT: Protein C anticoagulant pathway and its role in controlling microvascular thrombosis and inflammation. *Crit Care Med* 29:S48-S52, 2001
8. Sakata Y, Loskutoff DJ, Gladson CL, Hekman CM, Griffin JH: Mechanism of protein C-dependent clot lysis: role of plasminogen activator inhibitor. *Blood* 68:1218-1223, 1986
9. Gabazza EC, Takeya H, Deguchi H, Sumida Y, Taguchi O, Murata T, Nakatani K, Yano Y, Mohri M, Sata M, Shima T, Nishioka J, Suzuki K: Protein C activation in NIDDM patients. *Diabetologia* 39:1455-1461, 1996
10. Wang W, Boffa MB, Bajzar L, Walker JB, Nesheim ME: A study of the mechanism of inhibition of fibrinolysis by activated thrombin-activable fibrinolysis inhibitor. *J Biol Chem* 273:27176-27181, 1998
11. Bajzar L, Nesheim ME, Tracy PB: The profibrinolytic effect of activated protein C in clots formed from plasma is TAFI dependent. *Blood* 88:2093-2100, 1996
12. Mosnier LO, Meijers JCM, Bouma BN: Regulation of fibrinolysis in plasma by TAFI and protein C is dependent on the concentration of thrombomodulin. *Thromb Haemost* 85:5-11, 2001

## Metabolic Syndrome in American Indians

The National Cholesterol Education Program Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III [ATP III]) recently proposed a formal definition of the metabolic

(insulin resistance) syndrome (1). For the purposes of ATP III, metabolic syndrome is present when  $\geq 3$  of the following determinations are present: waist circumference  $>102$  or  $>88$  cm in men and women, respectively; triglycerides  $\geq 150$  mg/dl; HDL cholesterol  $<40$  or  $<50$  mg/dl in men and women, respectively; blood pressure  $\geq 130/\geq 85$  mmHg; and fasting glucose  $\geq 110$  mg/dl.

Data from the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES III) show that among U.S. adults  $\geq 20$  years of age, metabolic syndrome is present in 23.8, 21.6, and 31.9% of whites, blacks, and Hispanics, respectively (2). NHANES III does not include data for American Indians. The baseline examination of the Strong Heart Study (SHS), a longitudinal, population-based study of cardiovascular disease (CVD) and CVD risk factors in 4,549 American Indians, was concurrent with NHANES III. Therefore, SHS data provide a unique opportunity to contrast the dramatic ethnic differences in prevalence of metabolic syndrome between American Indians and other ethnic groups in the U.S. The prevalence of metabolic syndrome in SHS men aged 45–49 years was 43.6% compared with 20.0% among all men in NHANES III, a prevalence ratio of 2.18. The prevalence of metabolic syndrome in SHS women in the same age group was 56.7% compared with 23.1% among NHANES III women, a ratio of 2.45.

Ethnic differences in prevalence of metabolic syndrome between SHS men and NHANES III men diminished with age, resulting in similar prevalence rates in the 60–69 and 70–74 age groups ( $\sim 43\%$  for both SHS and men in both age groups). In contrast, the prevalence of metabolic syndrome in SHS women was considerably higher than that in NHANES III women, even in the older-aged participants. In the 60–69 and 70–74 age groups, the prevalence ratio contrasting SHS women to NHANES III women was 1.56. The overall prevalence of metabolic syndrome was 55.2% in SHS participants aged 45–74 years.

The lack of increase in metabolic syndrome with age in SHS men may reflect maintenance of a traditional lifestyle among men of older generations and/or selective mortality among less healthy older men. The high prevalence of metabolic syndrome among older SHS women may reflect relatively better survival with

CVD risk factors and/or earlier adoption of a sedentary lifestyle. Metabolic syndrome among American Indians is likely a combination of genetics (3) and environmental factors, such as low physical activity and obesity. The high prevalence of metabolic syndrome in American Indians may, in part, explain the rapidly increasing rates of CVD in this population (4). Additional efforts are needed to achieve desirable practice patterns that are sufficient to meet the needs of people with metabolic syndrome. This is especially pressing for American Indians, in whom the high prevalence of metabolic syndrome and increasing CVD rates underscore the need for effective treatment of risk factors.

HELAIN E. RESNICK, PhD,  
ON BEHALF OF THE STRONG HEART  
STUDY INVESTIGATORS

**Acknowledgments**— This study was supported by Grants U01 HL-41642, U01 HL-41652, and U01 HL-41654.

The Strong Heart Study gratefully acknowledges Dr. Earl Ford for contributing the NHANES III data that appear in this letter.

From the MedStar Research Institute, Washington, D.C.

Address correspondence to Dr. Helaine Resnick, MedStar Research Institute, 108 Irving St., NW Annex 5, Washington, D.C. 20010. E-mail: helaine.e.resnick@medstar.net.

## References

1. National Institutes of Health: *Third Report of the National Cholesterol Education Program Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III)*. Bethesda, MD, National Institutes of Health, 2001 (NIH publ. no. 01-3670)
2. Ford ES, Giles WH, Dietz WH: Prevalence of the metabolic syndrome among US adults: findings from the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *JAMA* 287:356–359, 2002
3. Hanson RL, Imperatore G, Narayan KM, Roumain J, Fagot-Campagna A, Pettitt DJ, Bennett PH, Knowler WC: Family and genetic studies of indices of insulin sensitivity and insulin secretion in Pima Indians. *Diabet Metab Res Rev* 4:296–303, 2001
4. Howard BV, Lee ET, Cowan LD, Devereux RB, Galloway JM, Go OT, Howard WJ, Rhoades ER, Robbins DC, Sievers ML, Welty TK: Rising tide of cardiovascular disease in American Indians: the Strong Heart Study. *Circulation* 99:2389–2395, 1999

## Fasting Hyperglycemia Predicts the Magnitude of Postprandial Hyperglycemia

Implications for diabetes therapy

Postprandial blood glucose is a strong predictor of HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels and cardiovascular mortality (1–3). The treatment of postprandial hyperglycemia has become prominent with the recent availability of oral hypoglycemic agents that specifically target the postmeal glucose rise. The aim of this study was to examine the relationship between the fasting blood glucose level and the magnitude of the postprandial glucose rise in type 2 diabetes. Specifically, if the fasting blood glucose level is a determinant of the postprandial glucose excursion, then correction of fasting hyperglycemia should precede attempts at limiting postprandial hyperglycemia.

All results are expressed as means  $\pm$  SD. A total of 21 subjects (11 men and 10 women) with non-insulin-requiring type 2 diabetes and average glycemic control (HbA<sub>1c</sub>  $7.3 \pm 1.4\%$ ) were recruited. The subjects were aged  $59.4 \pm 11.1$  years, were moderately obese (BMI  $31.3 \pm 5.5$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>), and had been diagnosed with diabetes for  $8.7 \pm 8.8$  years. Two of the patients were treated with diet and exercise alone, and the remaining 19 were taking one or two oral hypoglycemic agents for diabetes control ( $n = 13$  for sulfonylureas,  $n = 6$  for metformin, and  $n = 3$  for thiazolidinediones).

Subjects were admitted overnight to the General Clinical Research Center for stabilization. At 2200, subjects ate a 5-kcal/kg American Diabetes Association (ADA) snack and then fasted until morning. The volunteers' diabetes medications were withheld on the morning of the study. Between 0800 and 0815, the subjects ate a standardized 8-kcal/kg ADA breakfast. The breakfast was prepared in the metabolic kitchen and consisted of an English muffin, bacon, a scrambled egg, and a noncaffeinated beverage. Blood was drawn for analysis at  $-0.05$ , 0, 0.5, 1, 2, 3, and 4 h relative to the test meal. Plasma

glucose was analyzed using the glucose oxidase method. The glucose excursion at each time point was expressed as the change from the fasting plasma glucose level. Area under the curve (AUC) for the glucose excursion was calculated using the linear trapezoidal rule. The relationship between the fasting plasma glucose level and the postprandial glucose excursions was analyzed using linear regression.

The average fasting plasma glucose was  $7.4 \pm 2.4$  mmol/l ( $135 \pm 43$  mg/dl), with a range of 4.3–14.3 mmol/l (78–259 mg/dl). The fasting plasma glucose level was strongly correlated with the 30-min ( $r = 0.86$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ), 1-h ( $r = 0.9$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ), 2-h ( $r = 0.89$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ), 3-h ( $r = 0.84$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ), and 4-h ( $r = 0.89$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ) absolute postmeal plasma glucose levels and with the integrated AUC ( $r = 0.93$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ) for the absolute postmeal plasma glucose levels (not baseline corrected). Furthermore, the fasting plasma glucose level had a strong positive correlation with the 1-h ( $r = 0.55$ ,  $P = 0.01$ ), 2-h ( $r = 0.7$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ), 3-h ( $r = 0.59$ ,  $P = 0.005$ ), and 4-h ( $r = 0.6$ ,  $P = 0.004$ ) glucose excursions from baseline. Overall, the correlation between the fasting plasma glucose and the AUC for the postprandial glucose excursion was highly significant ( $r = 0.71$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ).

We conclude that the fasting plasma glucose level predicts the degree of postmeal hyperglycemia and the magnitude of the postmeal glucose excursion from baseline. It is not surprising that the uncorrected postmeal glucose levels are strongly related to the premeal baseline glucose concentration. However, the observation that the prandial glycemic excursion from baseline is predicted by the fasting plasma glucose level is more relevant to decisions regarding diabetes therapy. The premeal glucose concentration accounts for 50% of the variability in the postmeal glucose rise in subjects with non-insulin-requiring diabetes. The remaining variability in glycemic responses after a standardized meal could be explained by relatively fixed factors, such as the renal threshold for glycosuria, endogenous insulin reserves, and the gastric emptying time.

The strength of this study is that participants had a wide range of fasting blood glucose levels with HbA<sub>1c</sub> values close to targets recommended by the ADA. The subjects enrolled in this study were taking standard oral hypoglycemic agents, in-

cluding sulfonylureas, metformin, and thiazolidinediones, until the morning of the study and were tested after a standardized meal. Our results extend a recently published study that employed non-standardized meals and variable medications (4). In that study, the investigators found a weaker correlation between the fasting and absolute postbreakfast glucose levels ( $r = 0.64$ ,  $P < 0.01$ ). A small number of studies have shown equivalent reductions in HbA<sub>1c</sub> regardless of whether treatments were used to specifically correct fasting or postprandial hyperglycemia (5–7). The outcomes of these studies suggest a carry over beneficial effect on premeal glucose levels when postmeal and nocturnal hyperglycemia is reduced with meal-based therapies. To date, no published studies have compared the glycemic response to a standardized meal in subjects with type 2 diabetes where each subject was studied at varying levels of fasting glucose.

The importance of the current study to health care providers is that it shows that the postmeal glucose excursion is directly related to overnight fasting blood glucose concentration. Data from this study suggest that, in order to improve overall glycemic control, fasting hyperglycemia should be corrected before starting specific treatment for postprandial hyperglycemia in subjects with non-insulin-requiring type 2 diabetes. Because correction of fasting hyperglycemia may be easier to achieve (in some patients) than correction of postprandial hyperglycemia, this strategy may result in improved overall glycemic control at reduced medication cost.

MARY F. CARROLL, MD  
AHMAD IZARD, BS  
KATRINA RIBONI, BS  
MARK R. BURGE, MD  
DAVID S. SCHADE, MD

From the Department of Internal Medicine, University of New Mexico Health Sciences Center, Albuquerque, New Mexico.

Address correspondence to Mary F. Carroll, MD, University of New Mexico Health Sciences Center, Department of Internal Medicine, 5-ACC, 2211 Lomas Blvd. NE, Albuquerque, NM 87131. E-mail: mcarroll@salud.unm.edu.

This research was supported by the University of New Mexico General Clinical Research Center (NIH NCCR GCRC Grant no. 5 Mo1-RR00997)

## References

1. Avignon A, Radauceanu A, Monnier L: Non-fasting plasma glucose is a better marker of diabetic control than fasting plasma glucose in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 20:1822–1826, 1997
2. Hanefeld M, Fischer S, Julius U, Schulze J, Schwanebeck U, Schmechel H, Ziegelsch HJ, Lindner J, the DIS group: Risk factors for myocardial infarction and death in newly detected NIDDM: the Diabetes Intervention Study, 11-year follow-up. *Diabetologica* 39:1577–1583, 1996
3. DECIDE Study Group, European Diabetes Epidemiology Group: Glucose tolerance and mortality: comparison of WHO and American Diabetes Association diagnostic criteria. *Lancet* 354:617–621, 1999
4. Bonora E, Calcaterra F, Lombardi S, Bonfante N, Formentini G, Bonadonna RC, Mugge M: Plasma glucose levels throughout the day and HbA<sub>1c</sub> interrelationships in type 2 diabetes: implications for treatment and monitoring of metabolic control. *Diabetes Care* 24:2023–2029, 2001
5. Gerstein HC, Garon J, Joyce C, Rolfe A, Walter CM: Meal-based repaglinide therapy in type 2 diabetes can be titrated using either preprandial or postprandial blood glucose to maximize glycemic control (Abstract). *Diabetes* 50 (Suppl. 2):A114, 2001
6. Landgraf R, Bilo HG, Muller PG: A comparison of repaglinide and glibenclamide in the treatment of type 2 diabetic patients previously treated with sulphonylureas. *Eur J Clin Pharmacol* 55:165–171, 1999
7. Wilms B, Ruge D: Comparison of acarbose and metformin in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus insufficiently controlled with diet and sulphonylureas: a randomized, placebo-controlled study. *Diabet Med* 16:755–761, 1999

## Dysfunction of Active Transport of Blood-Retinal Barrier in Patients With Clinically Significant Macular Edema in Type 2 Diabetes

Diabetic macular edema (DME), which causes retinal thickening, is a main cause of visual impairment in patients with diabetes (1,2). The important pathophysiology of DME is the loss of retinal capillary pericytes, resulting in increased vascular permeability of the blood-retinal barrier (BRB) (3). However,

there is only one report about the active transport of the BRB in patients with DME (4). The aim of this study was to evaluate the active transport of the BRB in patients with clinically significant diabetic macular edema (CSME) (5) in type 2 diabetes using differential vitreous fluorophotometry (DVF).

We studied six eyes of six patients with type 2 diabetes with CSME (age range 53–70 years, mean 63 years), five eyes of five patients with type 2 diabetes without CSME (age range 64–73 years, mean 69 years), and seven eyes of seven normal subjects (age range 58–66 years, mean 62 years). Informed consent was obtained from all subjects. All procedures adhered to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki. The eyes were diagnosed based on the findings of a best-corrected visual acuity, slit-lamp biomicroscopy, indirect ophthalmoscopy, fundus photography, and fluorescein angiography (5).

Fluorescein (F) and fluorescein monoglucuronide (FG) concentrations in the vitreous were determined using DVF modified Fluorotron Master (OcuMetrics, Mountain View, CA). The fluorescence readings were converted to F and FG concentrations using the methods of McLaren et al. (6). DVF was performed 120 min after intravenous injection of 14 mg/kg sodium fluorescein. The F/FG ratio, a good indicator of the estimated active transport of the BRB, was calculated based on the concentration of F and FG in the vitreous obtained by DVF (7). If the active transport of the BRB is low, the F/FG ratio increases. We compared the F/FG ratio in the three groups using one-way ANOVA and Scheffe's test.

The F/FG ratio in the control subjects, the patients without CSME, and the patients with CSME were  $0.42 \pm 0.32$  (0.13–0.95),  $0.50 \pm 0.34$  (0.10–0.80), and  $2.84 \pm 1.20$  (1.13–4.12), respectively. The F/FG ratio was markedly higher in the patients with CSME than in the control subjects ( $P = 0.0001$ ) and in the patients without CSME ( $P = 0.0004$ ).

This result indicates directly and clinically the active transport dysfunction of the BRB in the patients with CSME. We reported the abnormal inward permeability of the retina caused by BRB breakdown in patients without CSME with diabetes using vitreous fluorophotometry (8). However, in the present study, the active transport of the BRB was normal in the patients without CSME. Dysfunctional

active transport of the BRB may not be found until DME develops. The abnormality of the active transport of the BRB may be a pathogenic mechanism of DME. The pharmacologic normalization of the active transport of the BRB may be the future treatment of DME.

FUMIHIKO MORI, MD, PHD  
TAICHI HIKICHI, MD, PHD  
JUNICHI TAKAHASHI, MD  
TAIJI NAGAOKA, MD, PHD  
AKITOSHI YOSHIDA, MD, PHD

From the Department of Ophthalmology, Asahikawa Medical College, Asahikawa, Japan.

Address correspondence to Fumihiko Mori, MD, PhD, Department of Ophthalmology, Asahikawa Medical College, Midorigaoka Higashi 2-1-1-1, Asahikawa 078-8510, Japan. E-mail: morinao@d5.dion.ne.jp.

This study was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Encouragement of Young Scientists 13771007 (to F.M.) and Scientific Research (C) 14571652 (to A.Y.).

The authors thank Dr. Bruce Ishimoto for assistance on the Fluorotron Master.

#### References

- Klein R, Klein BEK, Moss SE, Davis MD, DeMets DL: The Wisconsin epidemiologic study of diabetic retinopathy. IV. Diabetic macular edema. *Ophthalmology* 91: 1464–1474, 1984
- Klein R, Moss SE, Klein BEK, Davis MD, Demets DL: The Wisconsin epidemiologic study of diabetic retinopathy. XI. The incidence of macular edema. *Ophthalmology* 96:1501–1510, 1989
- Frank RN: Etiologic mechanisms in diabetic retinopathy. In *Retina*. Vol. 2, 2nd ed. Ryan SJ, Ed. St. Louis, MO, Mosby, 1994, p. 1243–1276
- Sander B, Larsen M, Moldow B, Lund-Andersen H: Diabetic macular edema: passive and active transport of fluorescein through the blood-retina barrier. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 42:433–438, 2001
- Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study Research Group: Photocoagulation for diabetic macular edema: Early Treatment Diabetic Study report number 1. *Arch Ophthalmol* 103:1796–1806, 1985
- McLaren J, Brubaker R: Measurement of fluorescein and fluorescein glucuronide in the living human eye. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 27:966–974, 1986
- Takahashi J, Mori F, Hikichi T, Yoshida A: The effect of acetazolamide on outward permeability of blood retinal barrier using differential vitreous fluorophotometry. *Curr Eye Res* 23:166–170, 2001
- Yoshida A, Ishiko S, Kojima M, Ogasawara H: Permeability of the blood-ocu-

lar barrier in adolescent and adult diabetic patients. *Br J Ophthalmol* 77:158–161, 1993

## Necrobiosis Lipodica Is a Clinical Feature of Maturity-Onset Diabetes of the Young

**N**ecrobiosis lipodica (NL) is a recognized feature of diabetes affecting 0.3–1.2% of patients (1,2). It presents with elevated, erythematous lesions (usually on the shins), which typically become atrophic in the center over time. It is most commonly seen in patients with type 1 diabetes, but 7–30% of diabetic patients with NL have type 2 diabetes (1–3). This gives a prevalence of NL of 6.5% in patients with type 1 diabetes and 0.4% in patients with type 2 diabetes. Numerous underlying mechanisms have been proposed, including vascular dysfunction, autoimmunity, and genetic factors (4).

Maturity-onset diabetes of the young (MODY) is a subtype of non-insulin-dependent diabetes characterized by a young age of onset (usually before 25 years), autosomal dominant inheritance, and  $\beta$ -cell dysfunction. Mutations in five genes have been found to cause MODY: glucokinase, hepatocyte nuclear factor (HNF)-1 $\alpha$ , HNF-4 $\alpha$ , HNF-1 $\beta$ , and insulin promoter factor-1 (5). Two family members from a Chinese family with an HNF-1 $\alpha$  mutation have been described with diabetes and NL (6). There have been no studies looking at the prevalence and course of NL in MODY.

We reviewed the records of 178 patients from 108 families referred to Exeter fitting the clinical criteria for MODY (diagnosis <25 years and three-generation history of diabetes with autosomal dominant inheritance). If evidence of a rash was noted, further details were collected from the patient and clinical records.

Five patients (three female) from five families had a rash typical of NL (confirmed on biopsy in one patient), giving a prevalence of 2.8%. The mean age of onset of NL was 19 years (range 15–25 years). Onset varied between 3 years before and 5 years after diagnosis of diabetes. The diagnosis of NL led directly to the diagnosis of diabetes in two patients. Patients had good glycemic control, and no

other diabetic complications were present at diagnosis of NL. In two patients the rash resolved within 1 year, whereas there has been no improvement for the other three patients (17–43 years after diagnosis). Mutations in the HNF-1 $\alpha$  gene have been found by direct sequencing in three patients (P291fsinsC, R159Q, and R54X), one patient declined genetic testing, and in the fifth patient direct sequencing of the full coding region and minimal promoter of the HNF-1 $\alpha$  and HNF-4 $\alpha$  genes has failed to identify a mutation.

We have shown that NL is a feature of MODY in 2.8% of patients, a prevalence lower than that seen in type 1 diabetes (6.5%) and higher than that found in type 2 diabetes (0.4%) (1–4). This higher prevalence of NL in MODY compared with type 2 diabetes may be caused by selection bias in a well-characterized group.

The MODY patients described here developed NL early in their disease course, often before diagnosis of diabetes; their glycemic control was good, and other diabetic complications were not present. This is in contrast with other reports that have suggested an association with microvascular complications (7,8). The finding of NL in this monogenic form of diabetes makes a specific etiology related to a type of diabetes, such as autoimmunity, unlikely.

We conclude that NL is a feature of diabetes due to MODY. If NL is found in a young nonobese diabetic patient, a diagnosis of MODY as well as type 1 diabetes should be considered, especially in the presence of a family history.

AMANDA STRIDE, MRCP<sup>1</sup>  
 PAUL LAMBERT, MRCP<sup>2</sup>  
 A.C. FELIX BURDEN, MD<sup>3</sup>  
 PETER MANSELL, FRCP<sup>4</sup>  
 SIMON PAGE, FRCP<sup>4</sup>  
 ANDREW T. HATTERSLEY, DM<sup>1</sup>

From the <sup>1</sup>Department of Diabetes and Vascular Medicine, Exeter, U.K.; the <sup>2</sup>Department of Diabetes and Metabolism, Medical School Unit, Southmead Hospital, Bristol, U.K.; the <sup>3</sup>Heart of Birmingham Diabetes Care, Birmingham, U.K.; and the <sup>4</sup>Department of Diabetes, Endocrinology and Nutrition, Queens Medical Centre, Nottingham, U.K.

Address correspondence requests to Andrew T. Hattersley, Department of Diabetes and Vascular Medicine, Barrack Rd., Exeter, EX2 5AX, Devon, U.K. E-mail: a.t.hattersley@exeter.ac.uk.

**Acknowledgments**—The work in Exeter on MODY was funded by Diabetes UK. Paul Lam-

bert is funded by a Diabetes UK Clinical Training Fellowship.

We thank our patients for their support with our research.

## References

1. Boulton AJ, Cutfield RG, Abouganem D, Angus E, Flynn HW Jr, Skyler JS, Penneys NS: Necrobiosis lipodica diabetorum: a clinicopathologic study. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 18:530–537, 1988
2. Shall L, Millard LG, Stevens A, Tattersall RB, Peacock I: Necrobiosis lipodica: 'the footprint not the footstep' (Letter). *Br J Dermatol* 123 (Suppl. 37):47, 1990
3. O'Toole EA, Kennedy U, Nolan JJ, Young MM, Rogers S, Barnes L: Necrobiosis Lipodica: only a minority of patients have diabetes mellitus. *Br J Dermatol* 140:283–286, 1999
4. Lowitt MH, Dover JS: Necrobiosis lipodica. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 25:735–748, 1991
5. Owen K, Hattersley AT: Maturity-onset diabetes of the young: from clinical description to molecular genetic characterization. *Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab* 15:309–323, 2001
6. Ng MCY, Li JKY, So WY, Critchley JAJH, Cockram CS, Bell GI, Chan JCN: Nature or nurture: an insightful illustration from a Chinese family with hepatocyte nuclear factor - 1alpha diabetes (MODY3). *Diabetologia* 43:816–818, 2000
7. Sharpe GR: The skin in diabetes mellitus. In *Textbook of Diabetes*. 2nd ed. Pickup J, Williams G, Eds. Oxford, U.K., Blackwell Science, 1996
8. Dandona P, Freedman D, Barter S, Majewski BB, Rhodes EL, Watson B: Glycosylated haemoglobin in patients with necrobiosis lipodica and granuloma annulare. *Clin Exp Dermatol* 6:199–202, 1981

## Atorvastatin, Diabetic Dyslipidemia, and Cognitive Functioning

Cognitive functioning is reduced in patients with type 2 diabetes as compared with age-matched patients without diabetes (1). In particular, verbal memory and complex information processing are affected in patients with diabetes, which has an impact on daily functioning (2). The severity of cognitive dysfunction in patients with diabetes presumably results from an interaction be-

tween risk factors for macro- and microvascular disease (3). Previous studies suggest a positive association between indexes of cognitive impairment and elevation of plasma triglyceride level (4,5). The effect of lowering serum triglyceride levels by gemfibrozil on cognitive functioning has been investigated in elderly hypertriglyceridemic patients (11 of the 44 patients had diabetes). Lowering triglyceride levels appeared beneficial to cerebral perfusion and cognitive performance after 4–6 months (6). Therefore, we studied in the Diabetes Atorvastatin Lipid Intervention (DALI) study (7), the effect of atorvastatin on diabetic dyslipidemia and cognitive functioning. Thirty patients with diabetes, aged 45–75 years, with fasting triglycerides between 1.5 and 6.0 mmol/l and total cholesterol levels between 4.0 and 8.0 mmol/l, and without ischemic heart and cerebrovascular disease were included. Patients received placebo ( $n = 8$ ), 10 mg atorvastatin ( $n = 7$ ), or 80 mg atorvastatin ( $n = 11$ ) during 30 weeks. Two patients withdrew before the end of the study for personal reasons, and two patients withdrew because of protocol violation. Fasting lipids and neuropsychological tests were assessed at baseline and after 30 weeks. The neuropsychological test-battery was composed in line with the findings of previous studies with comparable groups (1). Orientation and auditory-verbal memory were tested, as well as attention, psychomotor speed, and executive functioning. Furthermore, we estimated premorbid intelligence with the Dutch version of the National Adult Reading Test (NLV). Baseline characteristics, lipids, and neuropsychological tests results did not differ between the intervention groups. The mean HbA<sub>1c</sub> was  $8.1 \pm 1.0\%$ , and the diabetes duration was  $8.9 \pm 5.9$  years. Atorvastatin 10 and 80 mg respectively reduced plasma triglyceride by 19 and 39%, total cholesterol by 27 and 42%, and LDL cholesterol by 36 and 56%. The baseline results of the auditory-verbal memory test were below mean (i.e.,  $\geq 1$  SD) in 71% of the study population, in comparison with the normative data (8). The baseline results on the other neuropsychological tests did not differ from a nondiabetic population. The verbal memory test (CVLT) improved 24% (a mean of seven extra words) after 30 weeks of treatment with atorvastatin 80 mg. In the atorvastatin 10 mg group, the CVLT

improved only 8% (a mean of two extra words), and in the placebo group, no effect was observed. Verbal memory improvement correlated with an increase in HDL cholesterol ( $r = 0.67$ ,  $P < 0.05$ ), a reduction in LDL cholesterol ( $r = -0.34$ ,  $P < 0.05$ ), and a reduction in triglycerides ( $r = -0.34$ ,  $P = 0.07$ ) after adjustment for age, baseline HDL cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, triglycerides, and verbal memory in the entire population. Atorvastatin did not affect psychomotor speed, attention, and executive functioning.

To summarize, in this small cohort of hyperlipidemic patients with type 2 diabetes who were treated with atorvastatin, verbal memory improvement was associated with improvement of the diabetic dyslipidemia profile. Low- and high-dose atorvastatin had no significant effect on cognitive functioning.

INGRID BERK-PLANKEN, MD<sup>1</sup>  
 INGE DE KONIG, MCRP<sup>2</sup>  
 RONALD STOLK, MD, PHD<sup>3</sup>  
 HANS JANSEN, MRBC, PHD<sup>1</sup>  
 NICOLINE HOOGERBRUGGE, MD, PHD<sup>4</sup>

From the <sup>1</sup>Department of Internal Medicine, University Hospital Rotterdam, The Netherlands; the <sup>2</sup>Department of Neurology, University Hospital Rotterdam, The Netherlands; the <sup>3</sup>Julius Center for Patient Oriented Research, Utrecht, The Netherlands; and the <sup>4</sup>Department of Anthropogenetics, University Medical Center Nijmegen, The Netherlands.

Address correspondence to Ingrid Berk-Planken, Department of Internal Medicine, University Hospital Dijkzigt, P.O. Box 1738, 3000 DR Rotterdam, The Netherlands. E-mail: Berk@inw3.azr.nl.

## References

1. Strachan MWJ, Deary IJ, Ewing FME, Frier BM: Is type II diabetes associated with an increased risk of cognitive dysfunction? *Diabetes Care* 20:438–445, 1997
2. Dornan TL, Peck GM, Dow JDC, Tattersall RB: A community survey of diabetes in the elderly. *Diabet Med* 9:860–865, 1992
3. Ryan CM, Geckle M: Why is learning and memory dysfunction in type 2 diabetes limited to older adults? *Diabetes Metab Res Rev* 16:308–315, 2000
4. Perlmutter LC, Nathan DM, Goldfinger SH, Russo PA, Yates J, Larkin M: Triglyceride levels affect cognitive function in noninsulin-dependent diabetics. *J Diabetic Complications* 2:210–213, 1988
5. Helkala EL, Niskanen L, Vinamaki h, Partanen J, Uusitupa M: Short-term and long-term memory in elderly patients with NIDDM. *Diabetes Care* 18:681–685, 1995
6. Rogers RL, Meyer JS, McClintic K, Mortel KF: Reducing hypertriglyceridemia in elderly patients with cerebrovascular disease stabilizes or improves cognition and cerebral perfusion. *Angiology* 40:260–269, 1989
7. The DALI Study Group: The effect of aggressive versus standard lipid lowering by atorvastatin on diabetic dyslipidemia. *Diabetes Care* 24:1335–1341, 2001
8. Mulder JL, Dekker R, Dekker PH: *Verbale Leer en geheugen Test Handleiding (Dutch manual)*. Lisse, Swets and Zeitlinger, 1996

## Rosiglitazone in Combination With Glimpiride Plus Metformin in Type 2 Diabetic Patients

Type 2 diabetic patients are often treated with a combination of antidiabetic agents. The need to use drugs with different and complementary mechanisms of action frequently arises in daily clinical practice. There are several reasons to do this; namely, the disease itself is progressive, with deterioration of glycemic control over time, and monotherapeutic attempts to achieve and maintain glycemic control often fail in the long run (1,2).

Some patients do not accept insulin treatment because of the fear of needles and injections, the fear that the complications of diabetes are caused by insulin, and other false beliefs, and are willing to take as many antidiabetic pills the doctor is prepared to prescribe.

The combination of a sulfonylurea with metformin is commonly used in clinical practice. But when this potent combination is no longer able to provide acceptable glycemic control, the addition of an antidiabetic drug with a different mode of action may lead to improved metabolic control.

The peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- $\gamma$  (PPAR- $\gamma$ ) agonist rosiglitazone has been shown to produce significant improvement in glycemic control when administered to patients who were inadequately controlled on the combination of glibenclamide and metformin (3). Similar findings were obtained in a trial with troglitazone, the first member of the thiazolidinedione class of antidiabetic agents. In a

double-blind placebo-controlled trial, the addition of troglitazone in a therapeutic regimen of sulfonylurea and metformin in inadequately controlled type 2 diabetic patients led to significant improvement in glycemic control (4). The trial was completed before troglitazone was taken off the market because of hepatotoxicity.

We examined the efficacy of rosiglitazone when added to a therapeutic regimen of glimepiride and metformin in type 2 diabetic patients.

A total of 38 Greek diabetic patients inadequately controlled on maximum doses of glimepiride (6 mg/day) and metformin (2,550 mg/day) were given rosiglitazone. There were 20 men and 18 women, the mean age was  $58.6 \pm 8.1$  (mean  $\pm$  SD), diabetes duration was  $10.5 \pm 6$  years, and BMI was  $31 \pm 4.8$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>. The patients were divided into two groups. In the first group (19 patients), the dose of rosiglitazone was 4 mg/day, whereas in the second group (19 patients), the dose was 8 mg/day.

HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels were measured by high-performance liquid chromatography. Paired *t* testing was used for statistical analysis, and  $P < 0.05$  was considered significant. Twenty weeks after the addition of rosiglitazone there was a statistically significant decrease in HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels in both groups.

In the first group of patients, the average HbA<sub>1c</sub> before the treatment modification was  $8.9 \pm 1.1\%$  and baseline fasting plasma glucose (FPG) was  $10.7 \pm 2.2$  mmol/l. After the treatment modification HbA<sub>1c</sub> was  $7.8 \pm 0.9\%$  ( $P < 0.001$ ) and FPG  $8.9 \pm 1.2$  mmol/l ( $P < 0.0001$ ). In the second group, the average baseline HbA<sub>1c</sub> was  $9 \pm 1.1\%$  and the baseline FPG was  $10.8 \pm 2.3$  mmol/l. After the treatment modification, HbA<sub>1c</sub> was  $7.6 \pm 0.8$  ( $P < 0.0001$ ) and FPG was  $7.9 \pm 1$  mmol/l ( $P < 0.0001$ ).

The treatment with rosiglitazone was well tolerated. Hypoglycemia was the most frequent side effect in both patient groups (18.6% at 4 mg/day and 28% at 8 mg/day). The dose of glimepiride and/or metformin was reduced in patients with hypoglycemic episodes, and the reduction proved to be effective in avoiding hypoglycemic reactions. Mean body weight increased in both rosiglitazone groups (4.2 kg at 4 mg/day and 4.6 kg at 8 mg/day).

Rosiglitazone treatment has rarely



been associated with severe liver reactions (5–7). No symptoms or signs of liver disease were observed, and no change in liver function tests was noted in the patients in our treatment groups for the 20-week period of follow-up.

Our findings are in accordance with those of other investigators who found that in inadequately controlled type 2 diabetic patients, on treatment with a sulfonylurea and metformin, the addition of rosiglitazone produces significant improvement in glycemic control and is safe and well tolerated (3).

Given the analogous results obtained with troglitazone, it is very possible that this is a class effect of thiazolidinediones and not a specific action of rosiglitazone. However, a major issue is whether hepatotoxicity is a class characteristic of all thiazolidinediones related at least partly to the activation of PPAR- $\gamma$  receptors, or whether it is unique to troglitazone and thus spares newer glitazones, such as rosiglitazone and pioglitazone.

JOHN A. KIAYIAS, MD, PHD  
EUGENIA D. VLACHOU, BN, MSC  
ELENI THEODOSIOPOULOU, BN, PHD  
ELLI LAKKA-PAPADODIMA, MD, PHD

From the Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Henry Dunant Hospital, Athens, Greece.

Address correspondence to John A. Kiayias, MD, Agisilaou 72 St., Sparti 23100, Greece. E-mail: jkiayias@hotmail.com.

## References

1. Turner RC, Cull CA, Frighi V, Holman RR: Glycemic control with diet, sulfonylurea, metformin, or insulin in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus: progressive requirement for multiple therapies (UKPDS 49): UK Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) Group. *JAMA* 281:2005–2012, 1999
2. Matthews DR, Cull CA, Stratton IM, Holman RR, Turner RC: Sulphonylurea failure in non-insulin-dependent diabetic patients over six years: UK Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) Group. *Diabet Med* 15:297–303, 1998
3. Jones N, Jones T, Menci L, Xu Jane, Freed M, Kreider M: Rosiglitazone in combination with glibenclamide plus metformin is effective and well tolerated in type 2 diabetes patients (Abstract). *Diabetologia* 44 (Suppl. 1):A904, 2001
4. Yale JF, Valiquett TR, Owens-Grillo JK, Whitcomb RW, Foyt HL: The effect of a thiazolidinedione drug, troglitazone, on glycemia in patients with type 2 diabetes

mellitus poorly controlled with sulphonylurea and metformin: a multicenter randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Ann Intern Med* 134:737–745, 2001

5. Al-Salman J, Arjomand H, Kemp DG, Mittal M: Hepatocellular injury in a patient receiving rosiglitazone: a case report. *Ann Intern Med* Jan 18: 132:121–124, 2000
6. Forman LM, Simmons DA, Diamond RH: Hepatic failure in a patient taking rosiglitazone. *Ann Intern Med* 132:118–21, 2000
7. Gouda HE, Khan A, Schwartz I, Cohen R: Liver failure in a patient treated with long-term rosiglitazone therapy. *Am J Med* 111: 584–85, 2001

## Preobesity in World Health Organization Classification Involves the Metabolic Syndrome in Japanese

Obesity has increased at an alarming rate throughout the world and has been regarded as a global epidemic disease in light of its close association with a cluster of cardiovascular risk factors, including hypertension, dyslipidemia, and hyperglycemia. This clustering of metabolic disorders is known as the metabolic syndrome, which is associated with insulin resistance (1). BMI is an estimate of total body fat mass and is probably the most useful scale to define obesity. Obesity has been defined as a BMI  $>30.0$  kg/m<sup>2</sup> in World Health Organization (WHO) classification (2), but this does not take into account the morbidity and mortality associated with more modest degrees of overweight. A significant increase in risk of death from cardiovascular disease was found for all BMIs of  $>25.0$  kg/m<sup>2</sup> in women and  $>26.5$  kg/m<sup>2</sup> in men in a prospective study conducted in the U.S. (3). The relation between BMI up to 30.0 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and the relative risk of several chronic conditions caused by excess body fat, including type 2 diabetes, hypertension, coronary heart disease, and cholelithiasis, appears to be approximately linear (4). In Japan and most other Asian countries, a pronounced increase in the prevalence of overweight and obesity has been observed during the past two decades (5). Although the Japanese are often considered to be nonobese com-

pared with Caucasians, because of the differences in the prevalence of obesity and BMI distribution, the clustering of cardiovascular risk factors is thought to occur in this relatively lean population as well.

To investigate whether an increment in body weight increases the risk of metabolic complications in the Japanese, we studied the relation of a graded classification of obesity using BMI values based on the WHO classification to components of the metabolic syndrome, including the levels of fasting plasma glucose (FPG), total cholesterol (TC), triglycerides (TGs), high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), blood pressure, and uric acid. In a population-based cross-sectional study of 1,559 healthy adults (1,169 men, 390 women) aged 35–60 years who underwent annual health examinations in 1998, we classified the subjects into four groups: underweight (BMI  $<18.5$  kg/m<sup>2</sup>,  $n = 113$ ), normal (18.5–24.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>,  $n = 1,086$ ), preobese (25.0–29.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>,  $n = 323$ ), and class I obese (30.0–34.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>,  $n = 37$ ) based on the WHO classification (Table 1). Venous blood was sampled after an overnight fast for routine laboratory investigations. Comparisons between groups were performed with Bonferroni's multiple comparison test. In our study the prevalence of BMI  $\geq 25.0$  kg/m<sup>2</sup> was 23.1%, and that of BMI  $\geq 30$  kg/m<sup>2</sup> was 2.4%. All but one of the components were significantly higher (only HDL-C was lower) for the preobese group compared with the normal group ( $P < 0.001$ ). These components were also higher for the normal group than for the underweight group, except for TGs ( $P < 0.01$ ). No statistically significant differences were observed among any of the parameters except for systolic blood pressure in the preobese and class I obese groups, whereas there were differences in all of the parameters besides TC ( $P = 0.09$ ) between class I obese and normal groups ( $P < 0.05$ ) (Table 1). This means that a significant increase in all of the components of the metabolic syndrome was recognized in preobesity defined as BMI 25.0–29.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup> in the WHO classification. However, no BMI-related differences in FPG, TC, TGs, HDL-C, diastolic blood pressure, and uric acid were observed between preobesity and class I obesity. Therefore, abnormalities in these parameters seem to reach a plateau before the BMI reaches 30.0 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, although this

Table 1—Metabolic parameters by grade of obesity defined by a WHO expert committee

BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	WHO classification	n	FPG (mg/dl)	TC (mg/dl)	TG (mg/dl)	HDL-C (mg/dl)	Systolic BP (mmHg)	Diastolic BP (mmHg)	Uric acid (mg/dl)
<18.5	Underweight	113	88 ± 11	178 ± 28	79 ± 24	62 ± 14	118 ± 13	70 ± 10	4.8 ± 1.2
18.5–24.9	Normal	1,086	92 ± 15*	196 ± 33*	113 ± 77	56 ± 13*	122 ± 14*	73 ± 10*	5.3 ± 1.4*
25.0–29.9	Preobese	323	96 ± 14†	207 ± 34†	167 ± 111†	48 ± 9.8†	128 ± 13†	78 ± 10†	6.0 ± 1.3†
30.0–34.9	Class I obese	37	98 ± 18	205 ± 38	179 ± 122	47 ± 8.4	133 ± 12‡	81 ± 10	6.5 ± 1.5

Values are means ± SD. \*P < 0.01 (versus underweight); †P < 0.001 (versus normal); ‡P < 0.01 (versus preobese). BP, blood pressure.

finding should be confirmed in a larger population study.

Thus, 1) the risk of metabolic syndrome is significantly related to the degree of obesity, 2) underweight appears to be more preventive against the metabolic syndrome than normal weight, and 3) preobesity in the WHO classification involves increased cardiovascular risk factors for the Japanese. Therefore, a lower BMI at 25.0 kg/m<sup>2</sup> should be used for the Japanese population to estimate the prevalence of obesity and to identify the high-risk groups for cardiovascular disease. Racial differences should thus be taken into account when defining obesity, and we propose a BMI of 25.0 kg/m<sup>2</sup> as the optimal cutoff point for obesity in Japanese and presumably other Asian populations.

TSUGUHIITO OTA, MD  
TOSHINARI TAKAMURA, MD, PHD  
NOBUYUKI HIRAI, MD, PHD  
KEN-ICHI KOBAYASHI, MD, PHD

From the Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Kanazawa University Graduate School of Medical Science, Kanazawa, Ishikawa, Japan.

Address correspondence to Toshinari Takamura, Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Kanazawa University Graduate School of Medical Science, 13-1 Takara-machi, Kanazawa, Ishikawa 920-8641, Japan. E-mail: tt@medf.m.kanazawa-u.ac.jp.

## References

- DeFronzo RA, Ferrannini E: Insulin resistance: a multifaceted syndrome responsible for NIDDM, obesity, hypertension, dyslipidemia and atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease. *Diabetes Care* 14:173–194, 1991
- World Health Organization: *Obesity: Preventing and Managing the Global Epidemic: Report of a WHO Consultation on Obesity*. Geneva, World Health Org., 1997 (publ. no. WHO/NUT/NCD/98.1)
- Calle EE, Thun MJ, Petrelli JM, Rodriguez C, Heath CW: Body-mass index and mortality in a prospective cohort of U.S.

adults. *N Engl J Med* 341:1097–1105, 1999

- Willet WC, Dietz WH, Colditz GA: Guidelines for healthy weight. *N Engl J Med* 341:427–433, 1999
- Popkin BM: The nutrition transition in low-income countries: an emerging crisis. *Nutr Rev* 52:285–298, 1994

## Prevalence of Metabolic Syndrome Among HIV Patients

Recently published observations (1) suggest that among HIV-positive patients treated with highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART), the incidence of cardiovascular diseases is increased. Until now, no specific risk factors have been identified except for those related to behavior or metabolic abnormalities. So far, a sum of metabolic abnormalities have frequently been reported among these patients, including increased lipid levels, abnormal fat distribution, elevated blood pressure, and disturbance in glucose metabolism (2). Studies designed to identify subclinical atherosclerosis in HIV-infected patients on HAART have been inconclusive. Numerous modalities, including carotid intimal thickness measurement, brachial reactivity, and electron beam computed tomography, have shown varying results; at this time, it is unclear what the results mean. The metabolic syndrome is a cluster of risk factors (disturbance in glucose metabolism, central obesity, hypertension, and dyslipidemia) caused by insulin resistance (3,4). Metabolic syndrome is considered a powerful independent risk factor for cardiovascular morbidity and mortality (4). Insulin resistance is frequent among HIV patients on HAART (5), but there are no data about the prevalence of the metabolic syndrome in these patients.

We evaluated the prevalence of the metabolic syndrome in a large cohort of HIV patients on HAART. We studied 553 patients (321 men, 232 women) with a mean age of 37.1 ± 7.3 years (range 20–61). Metabolic syndrome has been defined according to criteria released by the Third Report of the National Cholesterol Education Program Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults III (3). In particular, HIV patients with three or more of the following risk factors were defined as having the metabolic syndrome: 1) high fasting glucose (≥6.1 mmol/l), 2) central obesity (waist circumference >102 cm in men and >88 cm in women), 3) hypertension (≥130/85 mmHg), 4) hypertriglyceridemia (≥1.69 mmol/l), and 5) low HDL (<1.04 mmol/l in men and <1.29 mmol/l in women) (3).

Among HIV patients, 133 (24.0%) showed high fasting glucose or antidiabetes medication use, 209 (37.8%) had central obesity, 234 (42.3%) showed hypertension, 328 (59.3%) showed hypertriglyceridemia, and 290 (52.4%) showed low HDL. One single risk factor was present in 108 (19.5%) patients, two in 95 (17.2%), three in 146 (26.4%), four in 67 (12.1%), and five in 38 (6.9%). Of the subjects, 99 (17.9%) showed no risk factors. We found that 251 patients had the metabolic syndrome (at least three risk factors), leading to a prevalence of 45.4%. This prevalence was more than twofold that reported recently by Ford et al. (6) in the general population and was even higher than that observed in subjects older than 60 years (6). Although we are referring to two different populations (Italian HIV patients and American adults), the difference in the prevalence of metabolic syndrome between a cohort of HIV patients on HAART and the general population appears to be very remarkable.

Our data show that among HIV pa-

tients, the prevalence of metabolic syndrome is impressively high, considering the mean age of our sample population; this finding could explain why HIV patients may have an increased risk for cardiovascular disease. Despite the improvement of prognosis related to HIV infection due to the effect of antiretroviral therapy, an increase of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality should be expected. In the years to come, cardiovascular diseases may become important clinical problems for HIV-infected patients, mainly because the cluster of risk factors defining the metabolic syndrome increases cardiovascular risk more than each single component (4). On this basis, a close monitoring of cardiovascular risk factors and their aggressive treatment in HIV patients to reduce cardiovascular-related morbidity and mortality appear necessary.

CARMINE GAZZARUSO, MD<sup>1</sup>  
 PAOLO SACCHI, MD<sup>2</sup>  
 ADRIANA GARZANITI, MD<sup>3</sup>  
 PIETRO FRATINO, MD<sup>1</sup>  
 RAFFAELE BRUNO, MD<sup>2</sup>  
 GAETANO FILICE, MD<sup>2</sup>

From the <sup>1</sup>Internal Medicine Unit–Diabetes Center, IRCCS Maugeri Foundation Hospital, Pavia, Italy; the <sup>2</sup>Division of Infectious and Tropical Diseases, University of Pavia, IRCCS S. Matteo Hospital, Pavia, Italy; and the <sup>3</sup>Diabetes Center, Azienda Ospedaliera, Pavia, Italy.

Address correspondence to Carmine Gazzaruso MD, IRCCS Maugeri Foundation Hospital, Internal Medicine Unit–Diabetes Center, Via Ferrata 8, 27100 Pavia, Italy. E-mail: cgazzaruso@fsm.it.

## References

1. Duong M, Buisson M, Cottin Y, Piroth L, Lhuillier I, Grappin M, Chavanet P, Wolff JE, Portier H: Coronary heart disease associated with the use of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-1 protease inhibitors: report of four cases and review. *Clin Cardiol* 24:690–694, 2001
2. Hadigan C, Meigs JB, Corcoran C, Rietchel P, Piecuch S, Basgoz N, Davis B, Sax P, Stanley T, Wilson PWF, D'Agostino RB, Grinspoon S: Metabolic abnormalities and cardiovascular disease risk factors in adults with human immunodeficiency virus infection and lipodystrophy. *Clin Infect Dis* 32:130–139, 2001
3. Executive summary of the third report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel of Detection and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III). *JAMA* 285:2486–2497, 2001

4. Isomaa B, Almgren P, Tuomi T, Forsen B, Lahti K, Nissen M, Taskinen MR, Groop L: Cardiovascular morbidity and mortality associated with the metabolic syndrome. *Diabetes Care* 24:683–689, 2001
5. Grinspoon S: Insulin resistance in the HIV-lipodystrophy syndrome. *Trends Endocrinol Metab* 12:413–419, 2001
6. Ford SE, Giles WH, Dietz WH: Prevalence of the Metabolic Syndrome Among US Adults. *JAMA* 287:356–359, 2002

## Effect of Losartan on Plasma C-Reactive Protein in Type 2 Diabetic Patients With Microalbuminuria

Recent data suggest that the renin-angiotensin system may participate in inflammatory responses and that angiotensin II is a proinflammatory mediator in renal damage (1). An association between C-reactive protein (CRP), a sensitive marker of inflammation, and urinary albumin excretion in the microalbuminuric range has been reported in nondiabetic as well as type 2 diabetic subjects in the Insulin Resistance Atherosclerosis Study (2). Angiotensin II type 1 (AT<sub>1</sub>) receptor antagonists have been shown to have a renal protective effect and to reduce proteinuria in type 2 diabetic patients with either microalbuminuria or overt nephropathy (3–5); therefore, we investigated whether the beneficial effects of these agents are partly mediated through an anti-inflammatory action as a result of angiotensin II blockade. We did this by evaluating the effect of losartan on plasma CRP levels in a group of type 2 diabetic patients with microalbuminuria.

Concentration of CRP was measured from stored plasma samples from a previous 6-month randomized double-blind placebo-controlled study investigating the effect of losartan (50 mg daily) on endothelial function in 80 type 2 diabetic patients with microalbuminuria. A full description of the design and methods has been published (6). Plasma CRP levels were measured at baseline and 6 months after treatment, and 80 nondiabetic control subjects matched for age, sex, BMI, and smoking status were recruited to establish a reference range for CRP. High-

sensitivity CRP (hs-CRP) was measured by a particle-enhanced immunoturbidimetric assay (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) using anti-CRP mouse monoclonal antibodies coupled to latex microparticles. Urinary mean albumin excretion rate (MAER) was determined from two consecutive 12-h overnight urine collections. Statistical analyses were performed using logarithmically transformed data because of their skewed distribution. Within-group comparisons were analyzed by paired *t* tests, and between-group comparisons were analyzed by two-sample *t* tests.

At baseline, the diabetic patients had significantly higher plasma hs-CRP levels (median [interquartile range]) than the nondiabetic control subjects (1.58 [0.71–3.25] vs. 0.86 [0.42–2.16] mg/l, respectively; *P* < 0.01). There were no significant differences in MAER in the losartan- and placebo-treated groups at the beginning of the study. Within-group analysis showed that treatment with losartan reduced MAER (baseline vs. 6 months: 70.8 [41.8–112.6] vs. 54.5 [27.6–85.9] μg/min, respectively; *P* = 0.007), whereas an increase in MAER was observed in the placebo group (53.0 [38.4–102.6] vs. 78.5 [40.5–141.0] μg/min, respectively; *P* = 0.02). As a result, the losartan-treated group had significantly lower MAER than the placebo-treated group at 6 months (*P* = 0.04). No differences were found in plasma hs-CRP between the losartan- and the placebo-treated groups at baseline (1.61 [0.93–3.39] vs. 1.44 [0.54–2.79] mg/l, respectively) or at 6 months (1.74 [0.98–2.92] vs. 1.46 [0.69–3.49] mg/l, respectively).

In conclusion, type 2 diabetic patients with microalbuminuria have elevated plasma CRP levels. Losartan significantly reduces the degree of microalbuminuria in these patients, but the lowering of urinary albumin excretion by AT<sub>1</sub> receptor antagonist is not associated with any changes in plasma hs-CRP concentration. Our data would suggest that losartan, at a dose sufficient to reduce microalbuminuria, does not have a significant anti-inflammatory effect.

KATHRYN TAN, MD<sup>1</sup>  
 WING-SUN CHOW, MMBS<sup>1</sup>  
 YING WONG, MMBS<sup>1</sup>  
 SAMMY SHIU, BSC<sup>1</sup>  
 SIDNEY TAM, MMBS<sup>2</sup>

From the <sup>1</sup>Department of Medicine, University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong, Peoples Republic of China; and the <sup>2</sup>Clinical Biochemistry Unit, Queen Mary Hospital, Hong Kong, People's Republic of China.

Address correspondence to Dr. Kathryn Tan, Department of Medicine, Queen Mary Hospital, Pole Fulam Road, Hong Kong, People's Republic of China. E-mail: kebta@hkucc.hku.hk.

## References

1. Ruiz-Ortega M, Lorenzo O, Suzuki Y, Ruperez M, Egido J: Proinflammatory actions of angiotensins. *Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens* 10:321–329, 2001
2. Festa A, D'Agostino R, Howard G, Mykkanen L, Tracy RP, Haffner SM: Inflammation and microalbuminuria in nondiabetic and type 2 diabetic subjects: the Insulin Resistance Atherosclerosis Study. *Kidney Int* 58:1703–1710, 2000
3. Parving HH, Lehnert H, Brochner-Mortensen J, Gomis R, Andersen S, Arner P: The effect of irbesartan on the development of diabetic nephropathy in patients with type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med* 345:870–878, 2001
4. Brenner BM, Cooper ME, de Zeeuw D, Keane WF, Mitch WE, Parving HH, Remuzzi G, Snapinn SM, Zhang Z, Shahinfar S: Effects of losartan on renal and cardiovascular outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes and nephropathy. *N Engl J Med* 345:861–869, 2001
5. Lewis EJ, Hunsicker LG, Clarke WR, Berl T, Pohl MA, Lewis JB, Ritz E, Atkins RC, Rohde R, Raz I: Renoprotective effect of the angiotensin-receptor antagonist irbesartan in patients with nephropathy due to type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med* 345:851–860, 2001
6. Tan KC, Chow WS, Ai VH, Lam KS: Effects of angiotensin II receptor antagonist on endothelial vasomotor function and urinary albumin excretion in type 2 diabetic patients with microalbuminuria. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev* 18:71–76, 2002

## COMMENTS AND RESPONSES

### The Value of Self-Monitoring of Blood Glucose

The issues surrounding self-monitoring of blood glucose (SMBG) are interesting and complex. Recently published American Diabetes Association (ADA) Position

Statements (1–3) encourage the use of SMBG in all diabetic patients and urge governments and other payers to meet the cost. Until 6 months ago, however, reliable data to support these views in patients with type 2 diabetes have not been available.

At first sight, the recent report by Franciosi et al. (4) appears to make conclusions contrary to the ADA Position Statements, but closer examination reveals this not to be the case. Franciosi studied 2,855 patients with type 2 diabetes recruited from 204 different centers. Different methodologies for HbA<sub>1c</sub> measurement were adjusted for mathematically, whereas statistical methods were used for adjusting between different treatment regimes and for between-center variability (the latter accounting for 27% of the differences in the results between groups). In non-insulin-treated patients, Franciosi et al. found that there was a nonsignificant 0.2% increase in HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels between no SMBG and infrequent (<1/week) SMBG testing, with a further increase of 0.3% in HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels in patients who tested at least daily.

However, the study was not designed to determine the causality of these differences. The authors state that “a higher frequency of SMBG was related to higher HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels, thus suggesting that patients with poor metabolic control have a greater tendency to self monitor.”

Contrary to the implication of the concluding sentence of the abstract, this paper presents no data to detract from the extension of SMBG to patients with non-insulin-treated type 2 diabetes.

A few months earlier, Karter et al. (5) published the results of a 24,312-patient study performed by Kaiser Permanente. In contrast to the study by Franciosi et al., in this study all patients were health maintenance organization members following the same treatment protocols and had HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels that did not need adjustment. They were randomized by design into treatment groups before statistical analysis. Treatment groups were type 1 diabetic patients ( $n = 1,159$ ), insulin-treated type 2 diabetic patients ( $n = 5,552$ ), type 2 diabetic patients on oral treatments ( $n = 12,786$ ), and type 2 diabetic patients using dietary measures only ( $n = 4,815$ ). In addition to these study size and design benefits, Karter et al. also had a control group of 24,302 patients

who could not be included in the main study.

Within each treatment group, Karter et al. found clinically significant decreases of 0.7% in HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels in patients who used daily SMBG compared with patients who did not perform SMBG. Comparison with the control cohort confirmed the results, and the study conclusions remained consistent and significant for each treatment group.

Karter et al. provide good data to support ADA Position Statements to promote the use of SMBG in all diabetic patients, regardless of diagnosis or treatment, and one of the conclusions of Franciosi et al. should remain the goal for all diabetic patients: “Our findings suggest that self-monitoring of blood glucose can have an important role in improving metabolic control if it is an integral part of a wider educational strategy devoted to the promotion of patient autonomy.”

MICHAEL COURT, MB, CHB, MRCP, MFPM

M.S. is an independent consulting pharmaceutical physician with an interest in diabetes.

Address correspondence to Dr. Michael Court, 32 Green End, Coberton, Cambridge, CB3 7DYU.K. E-mail: mcourt@cpharmac.com.

M.C. has received consulting fees from Roche Diagnostics.

## References

1. American Diabetes Association: Standards of medical care for patients with diabetes mellitus (Position Statement). *Diabetes Care* 24 (Suppl. 1):S33–S43, 2001
2. American Diabetes Association: Tests of glycemia in diabetes (Position Statement). *Diabetes Care* 24 (Suppl. 1):S80–S82, 2001
3. American Diabetes Association: Third party reimbursement for diabetes care, self-management education, and supplies (Position Statement). *Diabetes Care* 24 (Suppl. 1):S120–S121, 2001
4. Franciosi M, Pellegrini F, De Berardis G, Belfiglio M, Cavaliere D, Di Nardo B, Greenfield S, Kaplan SH, Sacco M, Tognoni G, Valentini M, Nicolucci A, the QuED Study Group: The impact of blood glucose self-monitoring on diabetic control and quality of life in type 2 diabetic patients: an urgent need for better educational strategies. *Diabetes Care* 24:1870–1877, 2001
5. Karter AJ, Ackerson LM, Darbinian JA, D'Agostino RB Jr, Ferrara A, Liu J, Selby JV: Self-monitoring of blood glucose levels and glycemic control: the Northern

California Kaiser Permanente Diabetes Registry. *Am J Med* 111:1–9, 2001

## Self-Monitoring of Blood Glucose Can Be Effective in Type 2 Diabetes Only If It Serves a Clearly Identified Purpose

### Response to Court

The use of self-monitoring of blood glucose (SMBG) in type 2 diabetic patients is still a matter of debate, as documented by the letter from Dr. Court (1). Despite its recommendation for all diabetic patients by the American Diabetes Association, the evidence supporting its effectiveness in improving glycemic control is questionable. A recent meta-analysis of all randomized trials on this topic failed to show any benefit for patients practicing SMBG (2). Previous observational studies were also unable to document a relation between frequency of SMBG and metabolic control (3).

From this point of view, the results from Karter et al. (4) are the first to show, in a highly homogeneous setting, a positive association between SMBG practice and metabolic control, irrespective of the treatment. These data are not confirmed by our results (5) or those from the recently published third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES III) (6). We believe that the large number of centers involved in our study, as well as in the national sample of the NANHES III, represent a strength rather than a limitation because they provide a true picture of diabetes care, which is without any doubt much more heterogeneous than that described in the article by Karter et al.

To take into account the inter- and intracenter variability, we applied appropriate multilevel models, thus adjusting for the correlation between observations relative to patients enrolled by the same center. The comparability of HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels was made possible by widely accepted mathematical transformations; in previous analyses, we have shown that HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels in the very same population were strongly associated with physicians' be-

liefs, as well as with known clinical correlates (7).

We agree that our study does not exclude the possible benefit of SMBG in type 2 diabetes; on the contrary, it clearly shows that SMBG can be associated with better metabolic control in those patients able to self-adjust insulin doses, thus stressing the crucial role played by patient education. On the other hand, when the information deriving from SMBG cannot be readily used by the patient or in the absence of clear guidelines on the actions to be undertaken in the presence of high blood glucose levels in individuals not treated with insulin, this practice can be related to psychological harm and feelings of powerlessness, as our data clearly show.

We believe that a deeper knowledge of the features of diabetes care in the Kaiser Permanente Medical Care Program of Northern California, which made SMBG so successful in determining lower HbA<sub>1c</sub> levels (even in those patients treated with diet alone), would be of great interest in understanding the transferability of these results in other, more heterogeneous, clinical settings.

ANTONIO NICOLUCCI, MD  
MONICA FRANCIOSI, MSC (BIOL)  
FABIO PELLEGRINI, MS  
GIORGIA DE BERARDIS, MSC (CHEM)

From the Department of Clinical Pharmacology and Epidemiology, Istituto di Ricerche Farmacologiche Mario Negri, Consorzio Mario Negri Sud, S. Maria Imbaro, Italy.

Address correspondence to Antonio Nicolucci, Department of Clinical Pharmacology and Epidemiology, Consorzio Mario Negri Sud, Via Nazionale, 66030 S. Maria Imbaro (CH), Italy. E-mail: nicolucci@cmns.mnegrri.it.

### References

1. Court M: The value of self-monitoring of blood glucose. *Diabetes Care* 25:1255–1256, 2002
2. Coster S, Gulliford MC, Seed PT, Powriet JK, Swaminathan R: Self-monitoring in type 2 diabetes mellitus: a meta-analysis. *Diabet Med* 17:755–761, 2000
3. Evans JMM, Newton RW, Ruta DA, MacDonald TM, Stevenson RJ, Morris AD: Frequency of blood glucose monitoring in relation to glycaemic control: observational study with diabetes database. *BMJ* 319:83–86, 1999
4. Karter AJ, Ackerson LM, Darbinian JA, D'Agostino RB, Ferrara A, Liu J, Selby JV: Self-monitoring of blood glucose levels

and glycemic control: the Northern California Kaiser Permanente Diabetes Registry. *Am J Med* 111:1–9, 2001

5. Franciosi M, Pellegrini F, De Berardis G, Belfiglio M, Cavaliere D, Di Nardo B, Greenfield S, Kaplan SH, Sacco M, Tognoni G, Valentini M, Nicolucci A: The impact of blood glucose self-monitoring on metabolic control and quality of life in type 2 diabetic patients. *Diabetes Care* 24:1870–1877, 2001
6. Harris MI: Frequency of blood glucose monitoring in relation to glycemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 24:979–982, 2001
7. The QuED Study Group: The relationship between physicians' self-reported target fasting blood glucose levels and metabolic control in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 24:423–429, 2001

## Evidence-Based Nutritional Recommendations for the Treatment and Prevention of Diabetes and Related Complications

### A European perspective

We read with interest the revised 2002 Clinical Practice Recommendations as they relate to nutrition therapy for diabetes (1) as well as the associated Technical Review (2). We would strongly endorse the need to individualize this component of treatment because advice is indeed necessary regarding other aspects of lifestyle, oral hypoglycemic agents, and insulin. However, we question some of the recommendations regarding dietary carbohydrates.

The need for evidence-based guidelines in all aspects of medical management is universally recognized. Unfortunately, with regard to nutritional recommendations, there are no randomized-controlled clinical trials with morbidity and mortality as end points. These are regarded as the ultimate type of evidence on which to make recommendations. We therefore have to use less conclusive approaches to study, including several different epidemiological methods and studies of dietary

manipulations on surrogate end points known to be related to morbidity and mortality. This inevitably leads to subjective interpretation regarding the quality of studies because it is clearly inappropriate to simply count the numbers of investigations pointing in one direction or another. Furthermore, there is need to determine the emphasis that should be given to one type of evidence compared with another. We suggest that meticulously conducted and controlled human studies of people with diabetes that involve dietary manipulations over a period of weeks or months and that acknowledge clinically relevant end points should provide the most powerful level of evidence, especially when the findings are compatible with epidemiological data. It is also important to consider the manner in which recommendations are likely to be interpreted by health professionals and patients.

With these considerations in mind, we express concern regarding two aspects of the recommendations regarding carbohydrates. The recommendations that the "total amount of carbohydrate in meals or snacks is more important than the source or type" and that "as sucrose does not increase glycemia to a greater extent than isocaloric amounts of starch, sucrose and sucrose-containing foods do not need to be restricted by people with diabetes" (A-level evidence) (1) are in our opinion not backed by convincing evidence and are open to misrepresentation. The first recommendation regarding carbohydrate (also based on A-level evidence) indicates that "foods containing carbohydrate from whole grains, fruits, vegetables and low fat milk should be included in a healthy diet," but provides no indication that these are the most desirable choices (1). Thus, despite the caveat based on "expert consensus" that "sucrose and sucrose-containing foods should be eaten in the context of a healthy diet," it appears, according to this set of recommendations, that it is perfectly acceptable for the bulk of dietary carbohydrate to be derived from highly refined (processed) starchy foods, foods rich in sucrose, and other sugars or sucrose. We know of no medium or long-term studies in which such a dietary practice has been shown to be compatible with good glycemic control and optimum levels of risk factors for the complications of diabetes. Indeed, most of the well-controlled studies in which sucrose has been shown to be an acceptable

component of the diabetic dietary prescription have included modest intakes of sucrose eaten with meals as part of a high-fiber diet, with the sucrose displacing other fiber-depleted carbohydrate-containing foods (3,4). Such a recommendation also has the potential to increase the energy density of the diet, surely an undesirable step when obesity rates are escalating out of control in all age groups. The latter is especially remarkable in the young age groups, considering that calories from fluids have been shown to satisfy less than solid food (5). A high intake of sugary beverages has been convincingly shown to be related to subsequent risk of obesity in children (6). The potential for misinterpretation has already been clearly demonstrated by a news item in the *British Medical Journal* (7) that describes the new recommendations under the headline "U.S. relaxes sugar ban for people with diabetes"

Under the heading of B-level evidence is the statement that "there is insufficient evidence of long-term benefit to recommend the use of low-glycemic index diets as a primary strategy in food/meal planning" (1) and that there is no need to recommend that people with diabetes consume a greater amount of fiber than other Americans. There is impressive evidence from carefully controlled studies that diets containing low-glycemic index foods (8) or foods high in fiber (9) are associated with appreciably improved levels of several measures of carbohydrate metabolism and cardiovascular risk factors. These studies confirm a substantial body of earlier research and suggest that these two characteristics of carbohydrate-containing foods may independently influence glycemic control, insulin levels, and lipoprotein-mediated risk of cardiovascular disease (10–12). There is also recent epidemiological evidence that a high intake of dietary fiber improves glycemic control and reduces the risk of ketoacidosis in type 1 diabetes (13). The Food and Agriculture Organization/World Health Organization Expert Consultation on Carbohydrates endorsed the use of glycemic index as a means of determining optimum carbohydrate-containing foods (14).

Thus, we believe that there is a convincing evidence base to advise that although sucrose and other added sugars may be included in moderation in the diets of people with diabetes, the bulk of

dietary carbohydrate should be derived from foods with a low glycemic index and/or foods that are rich in soluble fiber. Such a recommendation permits the choice of foods from a wide range of fruits, vegetables, and whole-grain cereals and, although processed starchy foods are not excluded, they are not regarded as equivalent to these food choices. The European recommendations for people with diabetes that include such advice (15) will be updated to include the evidence base from which they were derived.

JIM MANN, MD<sup>1</sup>  
KJELD HERMANSEN, MD<sup>2</sup>  
BENGT VESSBY, MD<sup>3</sup>  
MONIKA TOELLER, MD<sup>4</sup>

FOR THE DIABETES NUTRITION STUDY  
GROUP OF THE EUROPEAN ASSOCIATION FOR  
THE STUDY OF DIABETES

From the <sup>1</sup>Department of Human Nutrition, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand; the <sup>2</sup>Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Aarhus Amtssygehus, Aarhus, Denmark; the <sup>3</sup>Department of Geriatrics, University of Uppsala, Uppsala, Sweden; and the <sup>4</sup>Deutsches Diabetes-Forschungsinstitut und der Heinrich-Heine-Universität Deutsche Diabetesklinik, Dusseldorf, Germany.

Address correspondence to Dr. James Mann, Department of Human Nutrition, University of Otago, P.O. Box 56, Dunedin, New Zealand. E-mail: beth.gray@stonebow.otago.ac.nz.

## References

1. American Diabetes Association: Clinical Practice Recommendations 2002. *Diabetes Care* 25 (Suppl. 1):S1–S147, 2002
2. Franz MJ, Bantle JP, Beebe CA, Brunzell JD, Chiasson JL, Garg A, Holzmeister LA, Hoogwerf B, Mayer-Davis E, Mooradian AD, Purnell JQ, Wheeler M: Evidence-Based Nutrition Principles and Recommendations for the Treatment and Prevention of Diabetes and Related Complications. *Diabetes Care* 25:148–198, 2002
3. Peterson DB, Lambert J, Gerring S, Darling P, Carter RD, Jelfs R, Mann JI: Sucrose in the diet of diabetic patients: just another carbohydrate? *Diabetologia* 29:216–220, 1986
4. Mann JI: Simple sugars and diabetes. *Diabet Med* 4:135–139, 1987
5. Di Meglio DP, Mattes RD: Liquid versus solid carbohydrate: effects on food intake and body weight. *Int J Obes* 24:794–800, 2000
6. Ludwig DS, Peterson KE, Gortmaker SL: Relation between consumption of sugar-sweetened drinks and childhood obesity: a prospective, observational analysis. *Lancet* 357:505–508, 2001
7. Josefson D: U.S. relaxes sugar ban for people with diabetes. *BMJ* 324:70, 2002

8. Jarvi AE, Karlström BE, Granfeldt YE, Björck IE, Asp NG, Vessby BO: Improved glycemic control and lipid profile and normalized fibrinolytic activity in a low-glycemic index diet in type 2 diabetic patients. *Diabetes Care* 22:10–18, 1999
9. Chandalia M, Garg A, Luthjohanna D, von Bergmann K, Grundy SM, Brinkley LJ: Beneficial effects of high dietary fiber intake on patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *N Engl J Med* 342:1392–1398, 2000
10. Mann JI: Dietary fibre and diabetes revisited. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 55:919–921, 2001
11. Buyken AE, Toeller M, Heitkamp G, Karanamos B, Rottiers R, Muggeo M, Fuller JH, the EURODIAB IDDM Complications Study Group: Glycemic index in the diet of European outpatients with type 1 diabetes: relations to glycosylated hemoglobin and serum lipids. *Am J Clin Nutr* 73:574–581, 2001
12. Buyken AE, Toeller M, Heitkamp G, Irslinger K, Holler G, Santeusanio F, Stehle P, Fuller JH, the EURODIAB IDDM Complications Study Group: Carbohydrate sources and glycaemic control in type 1 diabetes mellitus. *Diabet Med* 17:351–359, 2000
13. Buyken AE, Toeller M, Heitkamp G, Vitelli F, Stehle P, Scherbaum WA, Fuller JH: Relation of fibre intake to HbA1c and the prevalence of severe ketoacidosis and severe hypoglycaemia: EURODIAB IDDM Complications Study Group. *Diabetologia* 41:882–890, 1998
14. Food and Agriculture Organization/World Health Organization: *Carbohydrates in Human Nutrition: Report of a Joint FAO/WHO Expert Consultation FAO Food and Nutrition Study Group*. Geneva, World Health Org., 1998 (Tech. Rep. Ser., no. 66)
15. Diabetes and Nutrition Study Group of the European Association for the Study of Diabetes: Nutritional recommendations for the nutritional management of patients with diabetes mellitus. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 54:353–355, 2000

## Response to the Diabetes Nutrition Study Group of the European Association for the Study of Diabetes

We welcome the European perspective, although we do not completely share it. We agree with the statement of Mann et al. (1) that “meticulously conducted and controlled human studies of people with diabetes that involve dietary manipulations over a period of weeks or months. . . provide the most powerful evidence.” However, we take issue with several concerns they express. First, we take issue with the statement that it is important to consider how recommendations are likely to be interpreted by health professionals and patients. Second, we continue to believe that the total amount of carbohydrate is more important than the source or type. Third, we continue to believe that sucrose does not need to be restricted, relative to other carbohydrates, because of concern about aggravating hyperglycemia.

It was an initial determination of the American Diabetes Association Task Force that it was our task to write, as accurately as possible, evidence-based nutrition principles and recommendations. The implementation of these principles and recommendations was to be determined by health professionals in their individualized nutrition counseling with patients. Furthermore, we concluded that patients have the right to read and know

accurate nutrition information. With this information, it is then their right to make decisions about their own food choices. Too often in the past, health professionals have taken a parental approach, such as “do this because it is good for you,” or a “food police” approach, such as “don’t eat sugar.” These approaches have not led to successful outcomes. Table 1 outlines this well.

With respect to amount and source of carbohydrate, we stand behind our original recommendation. However, as stated in our response to Wolever (2), our recommendation about the amount of carbohydrate might be more clear if it were changed to say the total amount of “available” carbohydrate is more important than the source or the type. In type 1 diabetic subjects, the amount of carbohydrate in test meals influenced the amount of insulin necessary to control glycemia, whereas glycemic index, fiber content, and caloric content did not (3).

With regard to the concern about sucrose, it is clearly stated in our introduction that “basic to the nutrition recommendations is the underlying concern for optimal nutrition through healthy food choices and an active lifestyle” (4). The section on sucrose, as noted by Mann et al. (1), also states that “sucrose and sucrose-containing foods should be eaten in the context of a healthy diet” (4,5). Mann et al. states that they know of no medium or long-term studies where the practice of focusing on total carbohydrate was shown to be compatible with good glycemic control. Please note that, in the 20 studies quoted, when total carbohydrate came from a variety of

**Table 1—Comparison of traditional and empowerment viewpoints regarding diabetes medical nutrition therapy**

Traditional viewpoint	Patient-centered viewpoint
Food choices affect physical health, including diabetes management.	Food choices affect psychosocial quality of life as well as physical health.
The professional is the expert in nutrition and is therefore in charge of developing a meal plan based on assessed needs.	The professional is the expert in nutrition, and patients are the experts about themselves and their life circumstances.
The focus is on metabolic goals, such as weight and blood glucose levels. The professional provides instruction on an appropriate meal plan and teaches clients how to follow it.	Desired metabolic outcomes shape behavior change plans but are not in themselves behaviors that clients can control. The focus is on behavioral goals, i.e., specific action steps that clients can control.
The professional feels effective and successful when clients follow nutrition recommendations.	The professional teaches behavior change skills so clients can achieve their own nutritional goals. The professional feels effective and successful when clients become skilled at making informed choices and solving problems.

From Maryniuk MD: Counseling and education strategies for improved adherence to nutrition therapy. In *American Diabetes Association Guide to Medical Nutrition Therapy for Diabetes*. Alexandria, VA, American Diabetes Association, 1999, p. 369

starches or starches plus sucrose, the sucrose intake represented approximate usual intake, and only Peterson et al. (6) made an attempt to use sucrose with fiber-containing foods. In most of the studies, rigorous control of the nutrients under study was established by providing meals to subjects. One of the studies provided 23% and another 30% of energy from sucrose. Two of the studies lasted 28 days. If the total carbohydrate intake was kept similar, the responses were also similar. Was the European perspective that both sucrose and starch should be restricted in the diabetic diet because both aggravate hyperglycemia generated with these studies in mind? If so, does this not affirm the concept that the total amount of carbohydrate is more important than the source or type?

The headline "U.S. relaxes sugar ban for people with diabetes," which appeared in the *British Medical Journal* (7), surprised us. The relaxation of the restriction on sucrose was nothing new, having been recommended in 1994 (8).

With regard to the statement by Mann et al. (1) that a "high intake of sugary beverages has been convincingly shown to be related to subsequent risk of obesity in children," we would call attention to another study (9) in which added sugars were found to be relatively unimportant when it came to overall diet quality in individuals between 2 and 19 years of age.

With regard to the glycemic index, the study by Jarvi et al. (10) did find benefit, but as noted in the previous reply to the letter by Irwin (11), other studies (4) have not confirmed long-term benefit from low-glycemic index diets. One study is not "impressive evidence." The same applies to fiber. Whereas some intervention studies have reported benefit (12,13), others have not (14–16). Moreover, the study by Chandalia et al. (13), which compared 24 g fiber with 50 g fiber, would support our statement that it "appears that ingestion of large amounts of fiber is necessary to confer metabolic benefits. It is unclear whether the palatability and gastrointestinal side effects of fiber in this amount would be acceptable to most people" (5,6). The control arm of the study used 24 g dietary fiber and had no beneficial effects on glucose, lipid, or insulin levels. This amount of fiber is clearly at the upper end of usual intake for most Americans and would, by itself, require major lifestyle changes for most

Americans to achieve. The 50-g dietary fiber diet included two servings of oatmeal (15 g carbohydrate/serving), six slices of whole wheat bread, six to seven servings of fruit (15 g carbohydrate/serving), and three servings of vegetables (15 g carbohydrate/serving). For many individuals, this type of food plan would require very dramatic changes in eating habits.

In conclusion, we stand behind our original recommendations, as we believe they are evidence based.

MARION J. FRANZ, MS, RD, CDE  
JOHN P. BANTLE, MD

CO-CHAIR AMERICAN DIABETES  
ASSOCIATION NUTRITION PRINCIPLES AND  
RECOMMENDATIONS TASK FORCE

Address correspondence to Marion J. Franz, MS, RD, CDE, 6635 Limerick Dr., Minneapolis, MN 55439. E-mail: marionfranz@aol.com.

#### References

- Mann J, Hermansen K, Vessby B, Toeller M, the Diabetes Nutrition Study Group of the European Association for the Study of Diabetes: Evidence-based nutritional recommendations for the treatment and prevention of diabetes and related complications: a European perspective (Letter). *Diabetes Care* 25:1256–1258, 2002
- Wolever TMS: American Diabetes Association evidence-based nutrition principles and recommendations are not based on evidence (Letter). *Diabetes Care* 25:1263–1264, 2002
- Rabasa-Lhoret R, Garon J, Langelier H, Poisson D, Chiasson J-L: The effects of meal carbohydrate content on insulin requirements in type 1 patients with diabetes treated intensively with the basal bolus (ultralente-regular) insulin regimen. *Diabetes Care* 22:667–673, 1999
- Franz MJ, Bantle JP, Beebe CA, Brunzell JD, Chiasson J-L, Garg A, Holzmeister LA, Hoogwerf B, Mayer-Davis E, Mooradian A, Purnell JQ, Wheeler M: Evidence-based nutrition principles and recommendations for the treatment and prevention of diabetes and related complications (Technical Review). *Diabetes Care* 25:148–198, 2002
- American Diabetes Association: Evidence-based nutrition principles and recommendations for the treatment and prevention of diabetes and related complications (Position Statement). *Diabetes Care* 25:202–212, 2002
- Peterson DB, Lambert J, Gerrig, Darling P, Carter RD, Jelfs R, Mann JI: Sucrose in the diet of diabetic patients: just another

carbohydrate? *Diabetologia* 29:216–220, 1986

- Josefson D: US relaxes sugar ban for people with diabetes. *BMJ* 324:70, 2002
- American Diabetes Association: Nutrition recommendations and principles for people with diabetes mellitus (Position Statement). *Diabetes Care* 17:519–522, 1994
- Forshee RA, Storey ML: The role of added sugars in the diet quality of children and adolescents. *J Am Coll Nutr* 20:32–43, 2001
- Jarvi A, Karlstrom B, Granfeldt Y, Bjorck I, Asp NG, Vessby B: Improved glycemic control and lipid profile and normalized fibrinolytic activity on a low glycemic index diet in type 2 diabetic patients. *Diabetes Care* 22:10–18, 1999
- Irwin T: New dietary guidelines from the American Diabetes Association (Letter). *Diabetes Care* 25:1262, 2002
- Giacco R, Parillo M, Rivellese AA, LaSorella G, Giacco A, D'Episcopo L, Riccardi G: Long-term dietary treatment with increased amounts of fiber-rich low-glycemic index natural food improves blood glucose control and reduce the number of hypoglycemic events in type 1 patients with diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 23:1461–1466, 2000
- Chandalia M, Garg A, Luthohann D, von Bergmann K, Grundy SM, Brinkley LJ: Beneficial effects of a high dietary fiber intake in patients with type 2 diabetes. *N Engl J Med* 342:1392–1398, 2000
- Lafrance L, Rabasa-Lhoret R, Poisson D, Ducros F, Chiasson J-L: The effects of different glycaemic index foods and dietary fibre intake on glycaemic control in type 1 diabetic patients on intensive insulin therapy. *Diabet Med* 15:972–978, 1998
- Hollenbeck CB, Coulston AM, Reaven GM: To what extent does increased dietary fiber improve glucose and lipid metabolism in patients with noninsulin-dependent diabetes mellitus (NIDDM)? *Am J Clin Nutr* 43:16–24, 1986
- Nuttall FQ: Dietary fiber in the management of diabetes. *Diabetes* 42:503–508, 1993

## Insulin Resistance After Renal Transplantation

Hjelmsaeth et al. (1) have validated the use of seven oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT)-derived insulin sensitivity indexes against the euglycemic-hyperinsulinemic clamp technique in a Caucasian renal transplant population. We agree with the authors that the avail-



ability of more cost- and time-efficient surrogate estimates of insulin sensitivity than the euglycemic-hyperinsulinemic clamp would greatly benefit the design of future epidemiological studies investigating the role of insulin resistance in the extremely high incidence of diabetes and cardiovascular disease in renal transplant recipients (2,3). The authors found all seven insulin sensitivity indexes to correlate significantly with the euglycemic clamp. They concluded that an insulin sensitivity index based on glucose and insulin serum concentrations 2 h after the glucose challenge from the OGTT suffices best in renal transplant recipients. However, the routine performance of OGTTs to assess insulin sensitivity in renal transplant recipients is cumbersome, time-consuming, and frequently impossible in busy outpatient practices. McAuley et al. (4) recently suggested an insulin sensitivity index based on fasting serum insulin and triglyceride concentrations ( $\text{Exp}[2.63 - 0.28\ln(\text{insulin}) - 0.3\ln(\text{TG})]$ ) as a better predictor of insulin sensitivity than homeostasis model assessment (HOMA) in the general population. Insulin sensitivity indexes based on fasting parameters alone don't have the drawback of interference with outpatient practices. For this reason, it would have been very interesting if the authors had included this insulin sensitivity index in their analyses to assess whether this measure correlates better with the results from the euglycemic-hyperinsulinemic clamp than with HOMA or even the insulin sensitivity indexes derived from the 2-h glucose and insulin concentrations of the OGTT.

AIKO P.J. DE VRIES, MD  
STEPHAN J.L. BAKKER, MD

From the Department of Medicine, Groningen University Medical Center, Groningen, the Netherlands.

Address correspondence to Aiko P.J. de Vries, Department of Medicine, Division of Nephrology, Groningen University Medical Center, P.O. Box 30.001, NL-9700 RB Groningen, The Netherlands. E-mail: a.p.j.de.vries@int.azg.nl.

References

- Hjelmsaeth J, Midtvedt K, Jenssen T, Hartmann A: Insulin resistance after renal transplantation: impact of immunosuppressive and antihypertensive therapy. *Diabetes Care* 24:2121–2126, 2001
- Weir MR, Fink JC: Risk for posttransplant diabetes mellitus with current immuno-

suppressive medications. *Am J Kidney Dis* 34:1–13, 1999

- Kasiske BL: Cardiovascular disease after renal transplantation. *Semin Nephrol* 20:176–187, 2000
- McAuley KA, Williams SM, Mann JI, Walker RJ, Lewis-Barned NJ, Temple LA, Duncan AW: Diagnosing insulin resistance in the general population. *Diabetes Care* 24:460–464, 2001

## Insulin Resistance After Renal Transplantation

### Response to de Vries and Bakker

We think that the question raised by de Vries and Bakker (1) in regard to our study (2) is appropriate. It is important to find the most convenient and adequate method to estimate insulin resistance (IR) in transplant recipients without necessarily carrying out an oral glucose tolerance test. Also, because the IR observed in transplant recipients is a common side effect of treatment with prednisolone, this issue is probably of interest for most physicians.

Accordingly, we have validated the insulin sensitivity index (ISI) suggested by McAuley et al. (3), based on fasting serum insulin and triglycerides (TG) ( $\text{ISI}_{\text{McAULEY}} = \text{Exp}[2.63 - 0.28 \times \ln(\text{insulin}) - 0.31 \times \ln(\text{TG})]$ ), against the results from our glucose clamp studies. The equation proposed by McAuley et al. correlated significantly and reasonably well with the clamp-derived ISI (Spearman's correlation;  $r = 0.43, P = 0.004$ ) (Table 1). This is superior to the results

from the other ISIs based on either fasting insulin (insulin resistance index [IRI]:  $\text{IRI}_{\text{INSO}}$ ;  $r = -0.32$ ) or fasting glucose and insulin ( $\text{IRI}_{\text{HOMA}}$ ;  $r = -0.30$ ) (4).

In addition, we calculated the correlation between our clamp results and the Quantitative Insulin Sensitivity Check Index:  $\text{ISI}_{\text{QUICKI}} = 1/[\log I_0 + \log G_0]$ , where  $I_0$  is the fasting insulin ( $\mu\text{U/ml}$ ) and  $G_0$  is the fasting glucose (mg/dl) (5). This equation also correlated significantly with the clamp-derived ISI ( $r = 0.30, P = 0.049$ ) similar to the  $\text{IRI}_{\text{INSO}}$  and the  $\text{IRI}_{\text{HOMA}}$ .

We therefore suggest that the  $\text{ISI}_{\text{McAULEY}}$  is the most appropriate formula to use when estimating insulin action in steroid-treated patients when fasting insulin, glucose, and triglyceride concentrations are known. However, our previously proposed formula ( $\text{ISI}_{\text{TX}} = 0.208 - 0.0032 \times \text{BMI} - 0.0000645 \times \text{Ins}_{120} - 0.00375 \times \text{Gluc}_{120}$ ) remains superior to other known estimates of insulin action when the 2-h glucose and insulin concentrations are available.

JØRAN HJELMESÆTH, MD<sup>1,2</sup>  
KARSTEN MIDTVEDT, MD, PHD<sup>2</sup>  
TROND JENSSEN, MD, PHD<sup>2</sup>  
ANDERS HARTMANN, MD, PHD<sup>2</sup>

From the Medical Department, Vestfold Central Hospital, Tønsberg, Norway; and the <sup>2</sup>Department of Medicine, Section of Nephrology, Rikshospitalet, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway.

Address correspondence to Jøran Hjelmsæth, MD, Medical Department, Vestfold Central Hospital, Boks 2168, 3103 Tønsberg, Norway. E-mail: joran@online.no.

References

- de Vries APJ, Bakker SJL: Insulin resistance after renal transplantation (Letter). *Diabetes Care* 25:1259–1260, 2002

Table 1—Correlation of  $\text{ISI}_{\text{CLAMP}}$  to surrogate measures of insulin sensitivity and insulin resistance

		Spearman's correlation (r)
$\text{IRI}_{\text{INS120}}$	2-h Insulin	-0.45*
$\text{IRI}_{\text{AUCGI}}$	AUC glucose/AUC insulin	-0.44*
$\text{ISI}_{\text{MATSUDA}}$	Composite index	0.41*
$\text{ISI}_{\text{TX}}$	Modified Stumvoll index	0.58†
$\text{IRI}_{\text{INSO}}$	Fasting insulin	-0.32‡
$\text{IRI}_{\text{HOMA}}$	Homeostasis model assessment	-0.30‡
$\text{ISI}_{\text{QUICKI}}$	Quantitative insulin sensitivity check index	0.30‡
$\text{ISI}_{\text{McAULEY}}$		0.43*

\* $P < 0.01$ ; † $P < 0.001$ ; ‡ $P < 0.05$ . AUC, area under curve.

- Hjelmesæth J, Midtvedt K, Jenssen T, Hartmann A: Insulin resistance after renal transplantation: impact of immunosuppressive and antihypertensive therapy. *Diabetes Care* 24:2121–2126, 2001
- McAuley KA, Williams SM, Mann JI, Walker RJ, Lewis-Barned NJ, Temple LA, Duncan AW: Diagnosing insulin resistance in the general population. *Diabetes Care* 24:460–464, 2001
- Matthews D, Hosker J, Rudenski A, Naylor B, Treacher D, Turner R: Homeostasis model assessment: insulin resistance and  $\beta$ -cell function from fasting plasma glucose and insulin concentrations in man. *Diabetologia* 28:412–419, 1985
- Katz A, Nambi SS, Mather K, Baron AD, Follmann DA, Sullivan G, Quon MJ: Quantitative insulin sensitivity check index: a simple, accurate method for assessing insulin sensitivity in humans. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 85:2402–2410, 2000

## A Randomized Controlled Trial Using Glycemic Plus Fetal Ultrasound Parameters Versus Glycemic Parameters to Determine Insulin Therapy in Gestational Diabetes With Fasting Hyperglycemia

We read with interest the paper from Kjos et al. (1) exploring the usefulness of an approach to the management of gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) that takes into account not only maternal glycemic parameters but also ultrasound information of fetal growth. The rationale behind this approach is that due to (unmeasurable) differences in nutrient placental transport, only a minority of infants are at risk of perinatal morbidity, and that by focusing only on maternal hyperglycemia, a large subset of women will require insulin therapy, leading to the potential to increase the risk of small-for-gestational-age (SGA) infants (2). An article from our group (3) is also quoted as an example of increased risk of SGA infants in mothers with intensively treated GDM, when in fact the birth weight distribution was per-

fectly symmetrical (7.32% SGA, 85.0% adequate for gestational age, 7.68% large for gestational age) and comparable to that of the control population (data not shown in the article). However, in these infants of mothers with GDM, we did observe an increased morbidity in the SGA subgroup versus those who were adequate and large for gestational age, which is the usual pattern in newborns (4–6). Our interpretation of both observations (normal birth weight distribution and increased morbidity in the SGA subgroup in women with GDM receiving intensive metabolic therapy) is that the treatment “restored” birth weight and morbidity levels to those that could be expected without the concurrence of GDM. It is also remarkable that large-for-gestational-age infants did not have a particularly increased risk of morbidity.

APOLONIA GARCÍA-PATTERSON, MD<sup>1</sup>  
 ROSA CORCOY, PHD<sup>1</sup>  
 MONTSE BALSSELLS, MD<sup>1</sup>  
 ORENCI ALTIRRIBA, PHD<sup>2</sup>  
 JUAN MARÍA ADELANTADO, PHD<sup>3</sup>  
 LUÍAS CABERO, PHD<sup>3</sup>  
 ALBERTO DE LEIVA, PHD<sup>1</sup>

From the <sup>1</sup>Department of Endocrinology and Nutrition, Hospital de Sant Pau, Barcelona, Spain; the <sup>2</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Hospital de Sant Pau, Barcelona, Spain; and the <sup>3</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Hospital de Sant Pau, Barcelona, Spain.

O.A. is deceased.

Address correspondence to Rosa Corcoy, Servei d'Endocrinologia i Nutrició, Hospital de Sant Pau, Sant Antoni M<sup>o</sup> Claret 167, Barcelona 08025, Spain. E-mail: rcorcoy@santpau.es.

### References

- Kjos SL, Schaefer-Graf U, Sardesi S, Peters RK, Buley A, Xiang AH, Bryne JD, Sutherland C, Montoro MN, Buchanan TA: A randomized controlled trial using glycemic plus fetal ultrasound parameters versus glycemic parameters to determine insulin therapy in gestational diabetes with fasting hyperglycemia. *Diabetes Care* 24:1904–1910, 2001
- Langer O, Levy J, Brustman L, Anyaegbunam A, Merdatz R, Divon M: Glycemic control in gestational diabetes mellitus: how tight is tight enough: small for gestational age versus large for gestational age? *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 161:646–653, 1989
- García-Patterson A, Corcoy R, Balsells M, Altirriba O, Adelantado JM, Cabero L, de Leiva A: In pregnancies with gestational diabetes mellitus and intensive therapy, perinatal outcome is worse in small-for-

- gestational-age infants. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 179:481–485, 1998
- Lubchenco LO, Bard H: Incidence of hypoglycemia in newborn infants classified by birthweight and gestational age. *J Pediatr* 109:865–868, 1986
- Friedman L, Levis PJ, Clifton P, Bulpitt CJ: Factors influencing the incidence of neonatal jaundice. *BMJ* 2:1235–1237, 1978
- Lin YS, Chang FM, Liu CH: Comparison of umbilical blood gas and acid-base status of small-for-dates and normal Chinese newborns. *J Formos Med Assoc* 91:396–399, 1992

## Response to García-Patterson et al.

We read with interest the letter by García-Patterson et al. (1) that appears in this issue of *Diabetes Care*. We thank them for their correction and close reading of our article (2). We also thank them for highlighting their findings that small-for-gestational-age (SGA) infants born to women with gestational diabetes had increased neonatal morbidity compared with those with appropriate and large-for-gestational-age growth. We do agree that intensive glycemic control has been shown by their study (3) and several others to normalize the birth weight pattern of infants born to women with gestational diabetes. Langer et al. (4) have shown that the proportion of SGA growth increases as the mean glucose levels were decreased by intensive insulin therapy. Thus, in our collective efforts to “normalize” birth weights of these infants through strict euglycemia, we suggest that whereas this strategy may benefit those infants who are at risk for excessive fetal growth, it may adversely effect those infants who are at risk for SGA growth. We believe that ultrasound assessment of fetal growth should be used in conjunction with maternal glycemia to identify which pregnancies would benefit from intensive therapy.

SIRI L. KJOS, MD<sup>1</sup>  
 THOMAS A BUCHANAN, MD<sup>1,2</sup>

From the <sup>1</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, University of Southern California Keck School of Medicine, Los Angeles, California; and the <sup>2</sup>Department of Medicine, University of Southern California Keck School of Medicine, Los Angeles, California.

Address correspondence to Siri L. Kjos, MD,

1240 North Mission Rd., Rm. L1017, Los Angeles, CA 90033. E-mail: skjos@hsc.usc.edu.

References

1. García-Patterson A, Corcoy R, Balsells M, Altirriba O, Adelantado JM, Cabero L, de Leiva A: A randomized controlled trial using glycemic plus fetal ultrasound parameters versus glycemic parameters to determine insulin therapy in gestational diabetes with fasting hyperglycemia: a comment (Letter). *Diabetes Care* 25:1261, 2002
2. Kjos SL, Schaefer-Graf U, Sardesi S, Peters RK, Buley A, Xiang AH, Bryne JD, Sutherland C, Montoro MN, Buchanan TA: A randomized controlled trial using glycemic plus fetal ultrasound parameters versus glycemic parameters to determine insulin therapy in gestational diabetes with fasting hyperglycemia. *Diabetes Care* 24:1904-1910, 2001
3. Garcia-Patterson A, Corcoy R, Balsells M, Altirriba O, Adelantado JM, Cabero L, de Leiva A: In pregnancies with gestational diabetes mellitus and intensive therapy, perinatal outcome is worse in small-for-gestational-age newborns. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 179:481-485, 1998
4. Langer O, Levy J, Brustman L, Anyaegbum A, Merdatz R, Divon M: Glycemic control in gestational diabetes mellitus: how tight is tight enough: small for gestational age versus large for gestational age? *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 161:646-653, 1989

## New Dietary Guidelines From the American Diabetes Association

The new Dietary Guidelines from the American Diabetes Association (ADA) provide no support for the use of the glycemic index in the management of diabetes. However, it should be made clear to the ADA's membership that the ADA's position is at odds with recent reviews and recommendations from authorities that have evaluated the same evidence. Specifically:

1) The United Nations World Health Organization and the Food and Agriculture Organization recommend in their 1997 expert consultation report on Carbohydrates in Human Nutrition that when looking at carbohydrate-containing foods, the glycemic index should "be used to compare foods of similar composition within food groups" (1).

2) The European Association for the Study of Diabetes Nutrition Group recommend in their 1999 revision of guidelines for the management of patients with diabetes that: "Foods with a low glycemic index (e.g., legumes, oats, pasta, par-boiled rice, certain raw fruits) should be substituted when possible for those with a high glycemic index since they may help to improve glycemic control and lipid levels" (2).

3) The Dietary Guidelines for Older Australians (1999) specifically recommend the consumption of lower glycemic index cereal-based foods: "Eat plenty of cereals, breads and pastas—preferably high-fiber foods and those with a lower glycemic index" (3).

4) Recommendations for the use of glycemic index in meal planning are also outlined by Diabetes Australia (<http://www.diabetesaustralia.com.au>), the Juvenile Diabetes Research Foundation Australia (<http://jdrf.org.au>), and the International Diabetes Institute in Melbourne (<http://www.diabetes.com.au>).

In Australia, people with diabetes have benefited from the general acknowledgment among health professionals that the glycemic index is one tool among many that can be used in diabetes management. The glycemic index is already familiar to many consumers. We recently conducted a random telephone survey of Australian grocery buyers and found that nearly 30% of respondents were aware of the glycemic index, and after the glycemic index was explained, 71% stated they would be likely to use the glycemic index in food purchase decisions. A member survey by Diabetes Australia in 2000 found that two in three respondents would like to see the glycemic index stated in nutrition panels.

This awareness has stimulated the introduction of a glycemic index symbol program for food labels. The program is run by a nonprofit company formed as a partnership between Diabetes Australia, the Juvenile Diabetes Research Foundation, and the University of Sydney. The aim is to promote consumer awareness and understanding of glycemic index as an important guide for food purchase decisions. Carbohydrate-containing foods that have been properly glycemic index tested (tested in vivo according to published methodology) are licensed to carry an easily recognizable symbol on their labels. Foods must meet several nutrition

criteria for their food group. The license fees are used to fund educational activities about the glycemic index and to support the research and education undertaken by our member organizations.

TONI IRWIN, BSC, DIP NUTR DIET, MPH

From the University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia.

Address correspondence to Toni Irwin, 3 Kawana St., Frenchs Forest NSW 2086, Australia. E-mail: gisymbol@optushome.com.au.

T.I. is employed by the University of Sydney, a member organization of Glycemic Index Ltd., which runs the Glycemic Index Symbol Program.

References

1. Food and Agriculture Organization/World Health Organization: *Carbohydrates in Human Nutrition: Report of a Joint FAO/WHO Report*. Rome, FAO Food and Nutrition Paper 66, 1998
2. The Diabetes and Nutrition Study Group (DNSG) of the European Association for the Study of Diabetes (EASD) 1999: Recommendations for the nutritional management of patients with diabetes mellitus. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 54:353-355, 2000
3. National Health and Medical Research Council: *Dietary Guidelines for Older Australians*. Canberra, Australian Capital Territory, AusInfo, 1999
4. Recommendations for the use of glycemic index in meal planning. Available from <http://www.diabetesaustralia.com.au/submission-documents.htm>. Accessed 13 May 2002.

## Response to Irwin

The American Diabetes Association nutrition principles and recommendations (1,2) do acknowledge that a number of factors influence the glycemic response to food, including the amount of carbohydrate, type of sugar, nature of the starch, cooking and food processing, particle size, food structure, and other food components (fat and natural substances that slow digestion) as well as the fasting and preprandial glucose concentrations, severity of glucose intolerance, and the second meal or lente effect (1). The question that the task force asked was, is there evidence that chronic consumption of low-glycemic index foods will contribute to improved glycemia in people with diabetes? The concern being that if another layer of complexity (glycemic index) is to be added to food/meal planning guide-

**Table 1—Type 1 diabetes: low-glycemic index diets compared with high-glycemic index diets in studies lasting 2 weeks or longer (5 studies, 48 subjects)**

Endpoint	Low GI significantly better than high GI	No significant difference
HbA <sub>1c</sub>	0	4 [n = 40] (3,4,6,7)
Fructosamine	3 [n = 27] (3,5,6)	1 [n = 9] (7)
Fasting plasma glucose	0	3 [n = 27] (3,5,6)

Data are n. Numbers in parenthesis refer to the reference list. GI, glycemic index.

lines, there should be clear evidence of benefit.

To answer this question, all studies comparing low- and high-glycemic index diets for 2 weeks or longer were reviewed. As can be seen from Tables 1 and 2, the number of studies is limited. Moreover, the design and implementation of several of these studies is subject to criticism, and in none of the studies was the effect of the diets on postprandial glucose concentrations reported.

Clearly, longer and larger studies are needed to evaluate the utility of glycemic indexing. Until such studies are available, use of low-glycemic index diets is not, in our judgment, evidenced based. Recommendations by other organizations do not change this. We do acknowledge that some individuals might benefit from low-glycemic index diets. However, a decision to use such a diet should be an individual one made in consultation with a nutrition counselor.

MARION J. FRANZ, MS, RD, CDE

JOHN P. BANTLE, MD

CO-CHAIR AMERICAN DIABETES ASSOCIATION NUTRITION PRINCIPLES AND RECOMMENDATIONS TASK FORCE

Address correspondence to Marion J. Franz, 6635 Limerick Dr., Minneapolis, MN 55439. E-mail: marionfranz@aol.com.

References

1. Franz MJ, Bantle JP, Beebe CA, Brunzell JD, Chiasson J-L, Garg A, Holzmeister LA,

Hoogwerf B, Mayer-Davis E, Mooradian A, Purnell JQ, Wheeler M: Evidence-based nutrition principles and recommendations for the treatment and prevention of diabetes and related complications (Technical Review). *Diabetes Care* 25:148–198, 2002

2. American Diabetes Association: Evidence-based nutrition principles and recommendations for the treatment and prevention of diabetes and related complications (Position Statement). *Diabetes Care* 25:202–212, 2002

3. Collier GR, Giudici S, Kalmusky J, Wolever TMS, Helman G, Wesson V, Ehrlich RM, Jenkins DJA: Low glycaemic index starchy foods improve glucose control and lower serum cholesterol in diabetic children. *Diab Nutr Metab* 1:11–19, 1988

4. Calle-Pascual AL, Gomez V, Leon E, Bordiu E: Foods with a low glycemic index do not improve glycemic control of both type 1 and type 2 diabetic patients after one month of therapy. *Diabet Metab* 14:629–633, 1988

5. Fontvieille AM, Acosta M, Rizkalla SW, Bornet F, David P, Letanoux M, Tchobroutsky G, Slama G: A moderate switch from high to low glycaemic-index foods for 3 weeks improves the metabolic control of type I (IDDM) diabetic subjects. *Diab Nutr Metab* 1:139–143, 1988

6. Fontvieille AM, Rizkalla SW, Penfornis A, Acosta M, Bornet FR, Slama G: The use of low glycaemic index foods improves metabolic control of diabetic patients over five weeks. *Diabet Med* 9:444–450, 1992

7. Lafrance L, Rabasa-Lhoret R, Poisson D, Ducros F, Chiasson J-L: The effects of different glycaemic index foods and dietary fibre intake on glycaemic control in type 1

diabetic patients on intensive insulin therapy. *Diabet Med* 15:972–978, 1998

8. Jenkins DJA, Wolever TMS, Buckley G, Lam KY, Giudici S, Kalmusky J, Jenkins AL, Patten RL, Bird J, Wong GS, Josse RG: Low-glycemic index starchy foods in the diabetic diet. *Am J Clin Nutr* 48:248–254, 1988

9. Brand JC, Colagiuri S, Crossman S, Allen A, Roberts DCK, Truswell AS: Low glycemic index foods improve long-term glycemic control in NIDDM. *Diabetes Care* 14:95–101, 1991

10. Wolever TMS, Jenkins DJA, Vuksan V, Jenkins AL, Wong GS, Josse RG: Beneficial effect of low-glycemic index diet in type 2 diabetes. *Diabet Med* 9:451–458, 1992

11. Wolever TMS, Jenkins DJA, Vuksan V, Jenkins AL, Buckley GC, Wong GS, Josse RG: Beneficial effects of low-glycemic index diets in overweight NIDDM. *Diabetes Care* 15:562–564, 1992

12. Frost G, Keogh B, Smith D, Akinsanya K, Leeds A: The effect of low-glycemic carbohydrate on insulin and glucose responses in vivo and in vitro in patients with coronary heart disease. *Metabolism* 45:669–672, 1996

13. Luscombe ND, Noakes M, Clifton PM: Diets high and low in glycemic index versus high monounsaturated fat diets: effects on glucose and lipid metabolism in NIDDM. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 53:473–478, 1999

14. Jarvi A, Karlstrom B, Granfeldt Y, Bjorck I, Asp NG, Vessby B: Improved glycemic control and lipid profile and normalized fibrinolytic activity on a low glycemic index diet in type 2 diabetic patients. *Diabetes Care* 22: 10–18, 1999

15. Heilbronn LK, Noakes M, Clifton PM: The effect of high- and low-glycemic index energy restricted diets on plasma lipid and glucose profiles in type 2 diabetic subjects with varying glycemic control. *J Am Coll Nutr* 21:120–127, 2002

## American Diabetes Association Evidence-Based Nutrition Principles and Recommendations Are Not Based on Evidence

I am disappointed with the American Diabetes Association’s Position statement: Evidence-Based Nutrition Principles and Recommendations for the Treatment and Prevention of Diabetes and Related Complications (1) and technical review of the same title (2). The recommendations are not

**Table 2—Type 2 diabetes: low-glycemic index diets compared with high-glycemic index diets in studies lasting 2 weeks or longer (10 studies, 174 subjects)**

Endpoint	Low GI significantly better than high GI	No significant difference
HbA <sub>1c</sub>	1 [n = 16] (9)	5 [n = 92] (4, 6, 8, 13, 15)
Fructosamine	3 [n = 41] (10,11,14)	3 [n = 54] (8, 12, 13)
Fasting plasma glucose	0	9 [n = 162] (6, 8–15)

Data are n. Numbers in parenthesis refer to the reference list. GI, glycemic index.

based on evidence. There is no explanation of the methods used for searching the literature and selecting papers for inclusion, nor any indication of the criteria used to grade the evidence (3). The sections in the technical review dealing with carbohydrate contain several errors, but space does not allow me to deal with all of the issues. I will focus just on the following recommendation: "With regard to the glycemic effects of carbohydrates, the total amount of carbohydrate in meals or snacks is more important than the source or type."

The technical review indicates that dietary carbohydrates consist of monosaccharides, disaccharides, polyols, oligosaccharides, polysaccharides, and fiber, and it includes discussion about using correct terminology when referring to dietary carbohydrate. Presumably, therefore, the authors understood the meaning of the term "carbohydrate," and used it intentionally. There are no qualifications. Thus, it can be presumed that amount is always more important than source or type of carbohydrate without exception. This carries a great deal of weight because it is said to be based on A-level evidence, the highest possible level of evidence and supposedly virtually indisputable.

I will not dwell on the fact that this is not based on any evidence. No data comparing the relative effects of different amounts versus different sources of carbohydrate was considered, and a large amount of evidence against it was ignored. I want to point out that nonglycemic carbohydrates will not raise blood glucose, no matter how much is consumed. Thus, sometimes, source is more important than amount. By denying this, the recommendation may harm people with diabetes.

More and more carbohydrates with little or no effect on blood glucose, such as polyols, fructo-oligosaccharides, and resistant starch, are appearing in the food supply. Some foods contain most of their carbohydrate in this form. For example, chocolate bars containing over 90% of their carbohydrate as lactitol have been promoted at the annual meeting of the Canadian Diabetes Association for several years. Lactitol is not absorbed (4,5) and, therefore, presumably has no effect on blood glucose. If a person with type 1 diabetes based preprandial insulin dose primarily on the amount of carbohydrate, as recommended, too much insulin might be taken before consuming a snack or

meal containing predominantly nonglycemic carbohydrates, and an unexpected hypoglycemic episode might result.

Perhaps I am over-reacting, but I would like to know why the authors made this recommendation and who will be responsible if someone is injured because of it?

THOMAS M.S. WOLEVER, MD, PHD

From the Department of Nutritional Sciences, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada.

Address correspondence and reprint requests to Thomas Wolever, Department of Nutritional Sciences, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario M5S 3E2, Canada. E-mail: thomas.wolever@utoronto.ca.

T.W. is the president and part owner of and has received consulting fees, donations, and grants from Glycaemic Index Testing Incorporated.

## References

1. American Diabetes Association: Evidence-based nutrition principles and recommendations for the treatment and prevention of diabetes and related complications (Position Statement). *Diabetes Care* 25 (Suppl. 1):S50–S60, 2002
2. Franz MJ, Bantle JP, Beebe CA, Chiasson J-L, Garg A, Holzmeister LA, Hoogwerf B, Mayer-Davis E, Mooradian AD, Purnell JQ, Wheeler M: Evidence-based nutrition principles and recommendations for the treatment and prevention of diabetes and related complications. *Diabetes Care* 25: 148–198, 2002
3. Meltzer S, Leiter L, Daneman D, Gerstein HC, Lau D, Ludwig S, Yale J-F, Zinman B, Lillie D, Steering and Expert Committees: Clinical practice guidelines for the management of diabetes in Canada. *CMAJ* 159 (Suppl. 8):S1–S29, 1998
4. Wursch P, Koellreutter B, Schweizer TF: Hydrogen excretion after ingestion of five different sugar alcohols and lactulose. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 43:819–825, 1989
5. Patil DH, Grimble GK, Silk DBA: Lactitol, a new hydrogenated lactose derivative: intestinal absorption and laxative threshold in normal human subjects. *Br J Nutr* 57: 195–199, 1987

## Response to Wolever

We thank M.S. Wolever (1) for his letter asking about the process used to develop the American Diabetes Association (ADA) nutrition principles and recommendations. As with all ADA technical reviews and position statements, the process is determined by the ADA as outlined in the ADA Clinical

Practice Recommendations 2002 introduction (2), which is used for all new and revised ADA position statements. Members of the task force reviewed the literature with emphasis on research published since the last nutrition review completed in 1994. After completion of the technical review nutrition principles (3), nutrition recommendations were developed and classified according to the system outlined by the ADA for position statements (4).

In regard to the concern that "nonglycemic carbohydrates" will not raise blood glucose, you make an important point. Carbohydrates such as fiber and sugar alcohols have minimal effects on blood glucose. In the recommendation that total amount of carbohydrate in meals or snacks is more important than the source or type, it is implied that the carbohydrate is digested and absorbable. Thus, fiber should not be considered, and sugar alcohols should be considered at a reduced level because of their partial absorption. Perhaps this should have been stated rather than implied. The recommendation would be clearer if it were changed to state that the total amount of "available" carbohydrate in meals or snacks is more important than the source or type.

Your biggest concern appeared to be that a person eating a chocolate bar sweetened with the polyol lactitol might be confused as to the amount of available carbohydrate and administer too much insulin. However, you might presume that the lactitol-sweetened chocolate bar was selected because the person wanted the reduced sugar and caloric intake and would take this into account. Consultation with a dietitian would be useful to clear up any confusion in this regard.

Incidentally, in other polyol-sweetened foods, such as ice cream or cookies, the difference in the total amount of carbohydrate in the alcohol-sweetened foods, compared with foods sweetened with sucrose, is small, even when the amount of sugar alcohol is subtracted from the total carbohydrate.

MARION J. FRANZ, MS, RD, CDE  
 JOHN P. BANTLE, MD  
 CO-CHAIR AMERICAN DIABETES  
 ASSOCIATION NUTRITION PRINCIPLES AND  
 RECOMMENDATIONS TASK FORCE

Address correspondence to Marion J. Franz, 6635 Limerick Dr., Minneapolis, MN 55439. E-mail: marionfranz@aol.com.



#### References

1. Wolever MS: American Diabetes Association evidence-based nutrition principles and recommendations are not based on evidence (Letter). *Diabetes Care* 25:1263–1264, 2002
2. American Diabetes Association: Clinical Practice Recommendations 2002. *Diabetes Care* 25 (Suppl. 1):S1–S2, 2002
3. Franz MJ, Bantle JP, Beebe CA, Brunzell JD, Chiasson J-L, Garg A, Holzmeister LA, Hoogwerf B, Mayer-Davis E, Mooradian A, Purnell JQ, Wheeler M: Evidence-based nutrition principles and recommendations for the treatment and prevention of diabetes and related complications (Technical Review). *Diabetes Care* 25:148–198, 2002
4. American Diabetes Association: Evidence-based nutrition principles and recommendations for the treatment and prevention of diabetes and related complications (Position Statement). *Diabetes Care* 25:202–212, 2002.